



Università degli Studi Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria
Archivio Istituzionale dei prodotti della ricerca

A cooperative crowdsensing system based on flying and ground vehicles to control respiratory viral disease outbreaks

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Original

A cooperative crowdsensing system based on flying and ground vehicles to control respiratory viral disease outbreaks / Sahraoui, Y., Kerrache, C.A., Amadeo, M., Vegni, A.M., Korichi, A., Nebhen, J., Imran, M.. - In: AD HOC NETWORKS. - ISSN 1570-8705. - 124:102699(2022), pp. 1-13.
[10.1016/j.adhoc.2021.102699]

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12318/118785> since: 2023-03-17T17:58:53Z

Published

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.adhoc.2021.102699>

The final published version is available online at: <https://www.sciencedirect>.

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website

Publisher copyright

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria (<https://iris.unirc.it/>) When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

A Cooperative Crowdsensing System based on Flying and Ground Vehicles to Control Respiratory Viral Disease Outbreaks

Yesin Sahraoui^{a,*}, Chaker Abdelaziz Kerrache^b, Marica Amadeo^c, Anna Maria Vegni^d, Ahmed Korichi^a, Jamel Nebhen^e, Muhammad Imran^f

^a*Kasdi Merbah University of Ouargla, Ouargla, Algeria*

^b*Laboratoire d'Informatique et de Mathématiques, Université de Laghouat, Laghouat, Algeria*

^c*University Mediterranea of Reggio Calabria, Calabria, Italy*

^d*Department of Engineering, Roma Tre University, Italy*

^e*Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, College of Computer Engineering and Sciences, P.O. Box 151, Alkharj 11942, Saudi Arabia*

^f*College of Applied Computer Science, King Saud University, Saudi Arabia*

Abstract

The massive increase in population density in cities has led to several urban problems, such as an increment of air pollution, traffic congestion, and a faster spread of infectious diseases. With the rapid innovation in the intelligent sensors technology, and its integration into smart vehicles and Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs), a novel sensing paradigm has been promoted, namely *vehicular crowdsensing*, which leverages on-board sensors to capture information from the surrounding environment. Collected data are then analysed to take proper countermeasures. In this paper, we present a smart coordination mechanism between UAVs and ground vehicles (GVs), which sense information like body temperature and breathing rate of people, in order to support a variety of monitoring applications, including discovering the presence of infectious diseases. In our framework, namely GUAVA, aerial and ground vehicles are equipped with GPS devices and thermal cameras to monitor specific geographic areas, detect humans' vital parameters and, at the same time, discover duplicate data by identifying matching faces in thermal video sequences with the GaussianFace algorithm. The sensing tasks in hard-to-reach places are assigned to UAVs, with the ability to power up wirelessly from the nearest GV and offload the collected monitoring images to it. Simulation results have assessed our proposed framework, showing good performance in terms of distinct Quality of Service (QoS) metrics.

Keywords: UAVs; Covid-19; Crowdsensing; Sensors; Internet of Things; Internet of Vehicles.

1. Introduction

In recent years, the Mobile CrowdSensing (MCS) paradigm has made great technological leaps [1], thanks to the massive diffusion of mobile devices, ranging from smartphones to vehicles, equipped with different sensors, including cameras, gyroscopes and Global Positioning System (GPS) receivers. The huge amount of collected –and sometimes locally processed– data can feed a variety of smart city applications in different domains, like environment monitoring, intelligent transportation and even healthcare. In particular, MCS can cover a key role in discovering infectious diseases, like Covid-19, and/or predicting their spread. Several smartphone-based crowdsensing solutions have been developed with the aim of preventing Covid-19 infections [2, 3]. Similar approaches to fight Covid-19 disease have employed wearable sensors [4, 5], as well as crowdsensing solutions based on sensor-equipped vehicles, as the one proposed in [6].

So far, vehicles have been considered among the major contributors to MCS systems because, unlike other mobile devices, they do not have strict energy, storage and processing constraints [7]. At the same time, however, vehicles’ movements are restricted to roads topologies that limit their crowdsensing capability. This shortcoming can be overcome by Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs), which can leverage high mobility and minimal costs to collect data at large-scale, potentially everywhere [8].

Nowadays, in such a world-wide pandemic scenario, UAVs are being considered for combating the Covid-19 disease [9], by assuming such mobile devices augmented with thermal cameras, in order to control and monitor social distancing and to gather vital parameters from wearable sensors for further analysis and processing on remote cloud facilities. However, despite the great flexibility and the highly dynamic mobility patterns provided by UAVs, these latter are still confronted with their limited lifetime, since their working time is constrained by the on-board battery capacity.

To cope against this intrinsic limitation and to exploit the best capability of vehicular technology, in this paper we propose GUAVA *i.e.*, a ground vehicle (GV) assisted UAV crowdsensing framework, that performs sensing tasks and real-time data collection across large-scale areas to prevent the spread of infectious diseases. In the proposed GUAVA framework, GVs assist UAVs in the pervasive data collection process, while also providing a prompt recharging mechanism. More specifically, the contributions of this paper are summarized as follows:

- We propose **GUAVA** (**G**round vehicle assisted **UAV** crowdsensing fr**A**mework) aiming to monitor geographic areas and collect vital parameters of people

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: `sahraoui.yesin@univ-ouargla.dz` (Yesin Sahraoui), `ch.kerrache@lagh-univ.dz` (Chaker Abdelaziz Kerrache), `marica.amadeo@unirc.it` (Marica Amadeo), `annamaria.vegni@uniroma3.it` (Anna Maria Vegni), `ahmed.korichi@gmail.com` (Ahmed Korichi), `j.nebhen@psau.edu.sa` (Jamel Nebhen), `cimran@ksu.edu.sa` (Muhammad Imran)

in smart cities, and even in unpaved areas or regions that are hard to reach from vehicles (*e.g.*, muddy roads, narrow streets of old cities, parks and stadium, etc.). Thermal cameras are used to capture images of the monitored areas and also body temperature and breathing rate parameters of people, which may be crucial to discover the presence of infectious diseases like Covid-19;

- We leverage the facial recognition technology built into thermal cameras to detect matching people faces and avoid duplicate data in the crowdsensing process; moreover, to solve the power system constraint, we assume that GVs carry wireless power chargers to recharge UAVs;
- Through extensive simulations and comparisons against benchmark schemes, we evaluate the effectiveness of our proposal GUAVA for collecting data in real time and in a realistic setting.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce recent related works dealing with crowdsensing solutions, with a major focus on vehicular and healthcare applications. Differently from the state-of-the-art, GUAVA aims to contrast pandemic diseases, like Covid-19, through the exploitation of both UAV swarms and GVs, equipped with thermal cameras in order to detect and monitor infected people. Section 3 presents the proposed GUAVA framework, and the different tasks addressed by GVs and UAVs. In Section 4 we conduct the assessment of GUAVA’s effectiveness, expressed in terms of both network performance and face matching accuracy. Finally, open challenges and conclusion are summarized in Section 5.

2. Related Works

In this section, we review existing research on mobile crowdsensing based on vehicular networks and UAVs. A detailed comparison of existing solutions presented in the following subsections is reported in Table 1, where different approaches are classified in terms of adopted paradigm (*i.e.*, IoV/VANET/UAV), architecture (*i.e.*, centralized (C) and decentralized (D)), accuracy (*i.e.*, low, medium, and high), cost, timeliness, energy constraints, coverage (*i.e.*, scalable, limited, and full), security issues, trustworthiness and reward.

2.1. Vehicle-based crowdsensing

In recent years, many researches have been conducted on vehicular crowdsensing applications with different targets, such as the monitoring of traffic in smart cities and, more recently, the support of healthcare services [10]. In parallel, multiple works have considered solutions to cope against the main open issues of the crowdsensing paradigm, like the support of privacy and trustworthiness and the definition of incentive mechanisms for vehicles. Some notable solutions are reported in the following.

1 **Vehicular traffic applications.** Wang *et al.* introduce the vehicular
2 crowdsensing paradigm in public transports, which are characterized by sched-
3 uled and predictable trajectories [11]. An optimization problem has been de-
4 signed to select the crowd of participants in order to maximize the spatial-temporal
5 coverage. The authors prove that the selection of participants is NP-hard in
6 large cities, and they adopt a greedy efficient combination query algorithm to
7 solve the problem in a near-optimal fashion.

8 Xu *et al.* in [12] design a trustworthy vehicular crowdsensing framework,
9 named TPSense, that augments Road Side Units (RSUs) with fog computing
10 capabilities. RSUs acts as fog computing nodes for processing and storing event-
11 reports; they collect information from nearby vehicles and share it with a remote
12 cloud server, whenever needed. TPSense offers to vehicles data trustworthiness
13 and privacy support through the use of blind signature technology, which allows
14 to replace the identities of vehicles with random pseudonyms. Finally, in [13]
15 Shao *et al.* present a vehicular crowdsensing scheme that gathers traffic infor-
16 mation by taking road topologies into account. In the conceived design, sensing
17 vehicles offload the collected data to sponsor vehicles located at the roads junc-
18 tions. These latter perform a local processing and identify the traffic condition
19 in real time. Computed results are then sent to a central server, which offers a
20 global and updated vision of the traffic on a map.

21 **Healthcare applications.** A comprehensive discussion about how vehic-
22 ular networking can help in fighting pandemics (and in particular Covid-19
23 disease) has been recently reported in [14]. The authors identify public trans-
24 ports as a fundamental means for collecting identity and health information of
25 the passengers and their travel history. On-board sensors are able to recognize
26 individuals that have intentionally broken the quarantine or people with Covid-
27 19 symptoms, and they can send notifications to government authorities to take
28 proper actions. For instance, in case a person is found to be infected, home
29 quarantine can be issued for the passengers of the same public transportation.

30 In a previous work [6], Sahraoui *et al.* propose a framework based on the In-
31 ternet of Vehicles (IoV) paradigm to control Covid-19 disease outbreaks in real-
32 time. In the envisioned design, vehicles are augmented with thermal cameras
33 to sense the pedestrians' body temperature and their breathing rate. Collected
34 data are sent to an edge server where they are processed and displayed in the
35 form of a heat map, tracking the potentially affected areas. Simulation results
36 show that the proposed design exhibits good Quality of Service (QoS) metrics,
37 expressed in terms of packet delivery ratio, delay, and throughput. In the same
38 context, to reduce the spread of Covid-19 disease, the authors in [15] present a
39 monitoring framework that leverages IoV and a deep learning objects detection
40 algorithm through Faster Region-based Convolutional Neural Networks (Faster-
41 RCNN), to provide real-time notifications about physical distancing violations.

42 Compared to these existing works, in this paper we present a hybrid crowd-
43 sensing approach, based not only on UAV devices, but assisted by ground vehi-
44 cles, in order to guarantee an extended service coverage. The proposed GUAVA
45 framework considers a data collection process based on a coordination mecha-
46 nism between vehicles and UAVs.

2.2. UAV-based crowdsensing

Over the last few years, different literature works have focused on crowdsensing using UAVs in smart cities and wild environments, for emergency scenarios and health applications, such as the monitoring of infected people. As a matter, recently, the role of UAVs for combating infectious epidemics has largely been increasing.

Monitoring in smart cities. In [16], Barka *et al.* propose a distributed design based on UAVs for real-time urban traffic monitoring, in coordination with GVs and RSUs in a trustworthy manner. To provide crowd-related data without introducing additional overhead, the proposal focuses on the collection of Cooperative Awareness Messages, which include the current position, speed and direction of the vehicles, as defined by the European Telecommunication Standard Institute (ETSI) to support vehicular safety applications.

The use of a swarm of UAVs in urban environments for continuous video surveillance is introduced in [17]. There, to overcome the energy issue, a car-mounted landing platform is used as mobile charging station that allows UAVs to be powered based on a smart charging scheduling mechanism. In addition, a task coordination method is implemented to properly place the UAVs, by trading-off between the video surveillance requirement, *i.e.*, the continuous tracking of mobile ground targets, and the operational safety requirement. Another UAV-based video surveillance solution is reported in [18], where the authors assume that UAVs are equipped with face recognition sensors, working according to the Local Binary Pattern Histogram (LBPH) algorithm. Two use cases are considered in the experimentation: in the first case, videos are processed locally by UAVs, while in the second case, the processing is offloaded to a Mobile Edge Computing (MEC) server. Not surprisingly, the results demonstrate that, compared to the local processing, the MEC-based offloading approach reduces the computation time of face recognition and promptly detects suspicious persons, while also saving the scarce energy resources of UAVs.

Monitoring in wild areas. UAVs are a crucial means to monitor wild and rough areas. In this context, Zhang and Li in [19] present a framework based on UAV for remote sensing in regions that are not covered by public broadband networks. By combining 5G and Long-Range (LoRa) technologies, the proposed approach is able to perform data collection at high speed. Conversely, the authors in [20] study the use of a single UAV to collect data from clustered wireless sensor networks (WSNs) to monitor animals in wide and harsh areas. Two distinct data collection methods are considered. In the first one, the UAV visits all the cluster heads that received the sensing information from their cluster members. In the second one, in order to limit the energy consumption at the UAV and reduce the flight time, the cluster heads send the sensing information to a sink node that aggregates it and makes it available to the UAV.

Finally, the authors in [21] design a framework for disaster management using cloud-assisted UAVs. During their flying time, UAVs are configured to record videos of the disaster area and to perform a light pre-processing to filter out unnecessary frames. Then, the essential information is sent to the cloud for post-processing.

Monitoring and prevention of epidemics.

UAVs can provide different services in healthcare scenarios, ranging from the remote delivery of medications, sanitization, masses screening and patient monitoring to the pervasive data collection of information that can prevent the spreading of infectious epidemics [22, 23]. Nowadays, with the Covid-19 outbreak, UAVs have been used in several countries to monitor crowds and give instructions to people not in compliance with social distancing guidelines. Moreover, if equipped with a thermal camera, they have been used for screening individuals and monitoring people's temperature and heart rates [23].

The work in [9] is the first attempt to provide a comprehensive UAV-based networked system to fight infectious epidemics like Covid-19. The proposal assumes that the geographic area to be monitored is divided into zones, each one assisted by a single UAV with a thermal camera. Two main services are provided, namely (i) to check the social distancing and sending alerts in case of violations and (ii) to collect people vital parameters from wearable sensors. The proposal also focuses on the design of a smart mobility algorithm that improves the movements of the UAVs in their zone and avoids collisions. However, it does not address strategies to cope against the main constraint faced by UAVs, that is the limited battery life.

Leveraging on the above motivations and open issues, in this paper we propose a crowdsensing platform where UAVs are assisted by GVs offering nearby wireless charging services. Similarly to other approaches [23], UAVs and GVs are augmented with thermal cameras that capture the temperature and breathing rate of people. To properly detect human body temperature through thermal cameras in GUAVA, we can refer to several existing works, including the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) guidelines on how to deploy thermal camera systems with high accuracy ¹, and recent studies, like the one in [24]. There, it has been shown that, although sunlight conditions can impact on the body temperature measurements, thermal cameras provide the possibility of setting emissivity and reflected temperature compensation to cope against this issue and provide reliable results. In addition, in order to avoid that data from the same person are wrongly collected multiple times, we leverage a high performing facial recognition algorithm to discard redundant data.

¹See ISO/TR 13154:2017 at <https://www.iso.org/standard/69347.html>).

Table 1: Comparison of the existing schemes.

Existing scheme	Paradigm	Architecture	Accuracy	Cost	Timeliness	Energy constraints	Coverage	Security&Privacy	Trustworthiness	Reward
Sahraoui <i>et al.</i> [6]	IoV	D	high	low	high	low	scalable	✓	✓	✗
Sahraoui <i>et al.</i> [15]	IoV	D	high	low	high	low	scalable	✓	✓	✗
Xu <i>et al.</i> [12]	IoV	D	high	low	high	low	n/a	✓	✓	✗
Elbir <i>et al.</i> [14]	IoV	D	high	low	low	low	limited	✗	✗	✗
Shao <i>et al.</i> [13]	VANET	C	low	low	medium	low	scalable	✗	✗	✗
Wang <i>et al.</i> [11]	VANET	C	medium	medium	high	low	scalable	✗	✗	✓
Yi <i>et al.</i> [25]	VANET	C	low	low	high	low	limited	✗	✗	✓
Barka <i>et al.</i> [16]	UAV/VANET	D	high	medium	high	high for UAV	limited	✓	✓	✗
Trotta <i>et al.</i> [17]	UAV/VANET	D	high	medium	high	low	scalable	n/a	n/a	✗
Motlagh <i>et al.</i> [18]	UAV	C	medium	low	high	medium	full	✗	✗	✗
Vera-Amaro <i>et al.</i> [20]	UAV	C	high	high	high	high	full	✗	n/a	✗
Zhang and Li [19]	single UAV	C	high	low	high	high	scalable	n/a	n/a	✗
Luo <i>et al.</i> [21]	UAV	C	high	low	high	high	full	✗	✗	✗
Our proposal	UAVs/IoV	D	high	low	low	low	full	✓	✓	✗

3. GUAVA Framework

This section describes the GUAVA framework and its main tasks. Specifically, we first introduce the reference system model, where UAVs and GVs collaborate for data sensing and transmission. The algorithm for the detection and alerting of infected people is then presented in Subsection 3.2, while the face recognition approach is described in Subsection 3.3.

3.1. System model

The gathering process can be performed during night and day and even in difficult circumstances, since thermal cameras work efficiently even in low light conditions, and they are generally not affected by adverse weather, including fog, rain and high temperatures. As shown in Figure 1, the proposed GUAVA crowdsensing system includes aerials and ground vehicles (in the following simply referred to as *collectors*), equipped with thermal cameras and GPS devices to collect (i) images of the monitored area and (ii) body parameters of the people present in the monitored area. Data are then sent to a Collection Center for further processing.

In the following, we provide a description of main entities and related tasks of GUAVA framework, with reference to Figure 1.

Collectors. GVs and UAVs are collector nodes that work cooperatively to perform the sensing tasks and ensure full coverage in the designated area. While GVs operate on some predefined streets, as determined by government and local authorities, UAVs are remotely controlled² to fly at low altitudes and collect data in rough areas, especially those that are difficult to access by GVs, such as stadiums, parks, etc.

The considered thermal cameras combine facial recognition and vital sign monitoring functions. They are configured to provide a surveillance service of the monitored area and to measure in real time with high precision two parameters of the people there present, namely (i) body temperature and (ii) breathing rate, which are widely used to assess the degree of infection of respiratory diseases like Covid-19 [26]. Specifically, to properly measure the breathing rate of people in places of mass gathering, we refer to the approaches designed in [27, 28]. It was shown that the breathing rate can be inferred from images captured by thermal cameras by monitoring how the temperature of the nasal area changes during inhalation and exhalation. As a result, the temperature of the nasal region increases during exhalation and decreases during inhalation and the thermal camera can capture such a variation. The respiration rate is then determined from the breath-to-breath intervals.

When the sensed body temperature exceeds the threshold of 38°C and the breathing rate is higher than 30 times/minute, the collectors detect a potential

²We assume that a remote Control Center is in charge of remotely monitoring the missions of UAVs.




Figure 1: General design of our GUAVA framework.

1 infected individual. In addition, to avoid duplicate sensing data, a face recog-
2 nition algorithm is implemented by the Collectors. Based on facial landmarks
3 extraction, the method is able to detect matching faces with high accuracy [29],
4 according to some features such as distance between the eyes, ears shape and
5 size, nose size, lip shape, as it will be clarified in the next section.

6 When performing their mission in a certain geographic area, the collectors
7 associate the sensed body parameters (*i.e.*, breathing rate and temperature) to
8 the corresponding human face. Then, by accessing the previous collected infor-
9 mation, they check if the same person has been already sensed. If a matching
10 is found, then the information is discarded; otherwise, it is transmitted to an
11 edge server, where the data Collection Center is located, as it will be clarified in
12 the following. As a result, if the collectors encounter the same person multiple
13 times during their mission, no redundant data is transmitted.

14 To cope against the power limitations of UAVs, which mainly depend on the
15 distance traveled during hover time and the speed, we assume that every GV
16 is equipped with a wireless power charger on its roof. When the battery level
17 of a UAV drops below a certain threshold, a notification is sent to the Control
18 Center, which promptly redirects it to the nearest power supply vehicle. Notice
19 that several variables can impact on the UAV's power consumption, including
20 aerodynamic layout and structure design of the device, the weather conditions,
21 the service type, the battery type, the hour of the day and the use of solar
22 power as energy resource [30]. Therefore, it is not possible to provide an *a*
23 *priori* precise estimation of the duration of the UAV battery. However, recent

works like [31, 32, 33] have shown that, on average, recent UAVs are able to flight with a sensor payload, *e.g.*, camera and GPS device, for about 30 minutes of time with a fully-charged battery. This allows the full coverage of many hard-to-reach areas. However, in the presence of large areas or when many people have to be sensed, multiple UAVs can be simultaneously used to avoid the interruption of the service and the consequent QoS degradation. In our proposal, each UAV is responsible for a specific area that is not overlapping with the other UAVs monitoring areas. As an instance, Figure 2 shows the case of four UAVs monitoring adjacent areas where UAVs mobility follows a growing helical rectangular trajectory. With an average speed of 20 m/s, a range of 200 m, and a rectangle enlargement of 200 m at each step, a single UAV can cover about 1 km² every half an hour, considering that according to the recent developments the average flight time of UAVs is about 30 minutes.




Figure 2: Example about UAVs monitoring trajectory.

Collection Center. Sensed data are sent to a *Collection Center*, which consists of an edge server offering local storage and additional low-delay data processing. While GVs transmit in real time both surveillance images and body parameters to the Collection Center, UAVs are programmed to stream only the body parameters, which are supposed to be smaller in size *i.e.*, a few kbytes. More specifically, each data unit includes the person's geolocation information, body temperature, and breathing rate. In addition, a flag is associated to every data unit showing if its source is a UAV or a GV. To save energy resources, UAVs offload the collected surveillance images to the GV during the re-charging process. This latter will then forward the images to the Collection Center.

The main target of Collection Center is to maintain a database of the monitored areas and to create a heatmap showing the geographical areas where there are potentially infected people. By visualizing the heatmap, government authorities can issue additional control checks in the potentially affected area

to prevent the spread of the disease.

By following the 3GPP-V2X (vehicle-to-everything) specification in [34], the Collection Center can be implemented as a V2X Application Server according to the Multi-Access Edge Computing (MEC) paradigm. By providing storage and computing resources close to where data are produced, the Collection Center ensures that data are processed in real-time at the network edge thus also limiting the traffic load in the core network. Multiple Collection Centers can be deployed in different geographic areas and their storage and processing resources can be sized according to the estimation of the amount of data that are received. Depending on their role, the interested consumers can access the data from a Collection Center or from multiple ones. For instance, Health Department Inspectors working on a specific area will access only the data from that area; vice versa, if the Health Ministry is interested in an overall map of the suspected infection cases, the data from multiple Collection Centers will be accessed.

Communication. To ensure low delay in the data delivery process, the communication between Collectors and Collection Center leverages 4G/5G Long Term Evolution (LTE) connectivity. As depicted in Figure 1, aerial and ground vehicles transfer the sensed data to LTE base stations through, respectively, UAV-to-Infrastructure (U2I) and Vehicle-to-Infrastructure (V2I) communications. The base stations then forward the information to the edge server via wired links. Finally, UAV-to-Vehicle (U2V) connectivity is used during the recharging process to offload the surveillance images from the UAV to the GV.

Notice that, in GUAVA framework, in order to limit the energy consumption, we neglected to implement an additional communication exchange between UAVs for discarding possible duplicate data, *e.g.*, caused by people moving between areas covered by distinct devices. As for the redundancy caused by ground vehicles and UAVs monitoring the same area, we recall that collected data is labeled with time and GPS position before being sent to the Collection Center. This latter can then perform a spatio-temporal overlapping to filter out the redundant data. Also, for energy issues, the GUAVA framework is not able to track specific people who move between collectors, but it reports an estimation of the areas where possible infectious cases are present, in order to take proper countermeasures.

3.2. Remote sensing process

The pseudocode of the conceived GUAVA crowdsensing process is presented in Algorithm 1. Let us assume that the data collectors consist of a set of GVs, *i.e.* $G = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N\}$ with $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and a set of UAVs, *i.e.* $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_M\}$ with $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Each collector is assigned to a geographic area in order to collect surveillance images and vital signs of the available people, represented as a set $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_K\}$ with $K \in \mathbb{N}$. The localisation of the i -th GV (*i.e.*, g_i with $i \leq N$) can be captured by the local GPS device as $\vec{l}_{g_i} = (x_{g_i}, y_{g_i})$. Similarly, the localization of the j -th UAV (*i.e.*, u_j with $j \leq M$) can be expressed as $\vec{l}_{u_j} = (x_{u_j}, y_{u_j}, z_{u_j})$.

1 The k -th person (*i.e.*, p_k with $k \leq K$) is identified by its face, and has a 2D
 2 location information compared to GVs *i.e.*, $\vec{l}_{p_k} = (x_k, y_k)$, and a 3D location
 3 information compared to UAVs *i.e.*, $\vec{l}_{p_k} = (x_k, y_k, z_k)$. In order to be detected,
 4 the k -th person must be within the sensing range of the i -th GV *i.e.*, $r_s^{(i)}$, or
 5 within the sensing range of the j -th UAV *i.e.*, $r_s^{(j)}$, as follows:

$$\|\vec{l}_{g_i} - \vec{p}_k\| \pm \varepsilon \leq r_s^{(i)} \quad \text{OR} \quad \|\vec{l}_{u_j} - \vec{p}_k\| \pm \varepsilon \leq r_s^{(j)}. \quad (1)$$

6 where we included the absolute error ε defined as $\varepsilon = \|d_m - \tilde{d}\|$, with d_m [m] as
 7 the measured distance and $\tilde{d}$ [m] as the estimated one.

8 Based on the experimental results in [35, 36], Long-Wave Infrared (LWIR)
 9 thermal cameras on-board of UAVs and GVs are able to recognize human faces
 10 from a distance of 30 m with 100% accuracy, but reasonably the face recognition
 11 performance decreases as the distance increases. No sensing can be performed
 12 when the distance exceeds 90 m. Therefore, we set the maximum sensing range
 13 for adequately detecting people's parameters in our framework to the value of
 14 30 m, *i.e.*, $r_s^{(i)} = r_s^{(j)} = 30$ m.

15 As defined in [37, 38], the following threshold values for, respectively, body
 16 temperature and breathing rate are used to detect a potentially infectious indi-
 17 vidual, namely $\tau_1 = 38$ °C, and $\tau_2 = 30 \text{ times/min}$.

18 An individual p_k is considered potentially infected if, and only if, its sensed
 19 body temperature $\vec{V}_{s1,k}$ and the sensed breathing rate $\vec{V}_{s2,k}$ are higher than
 20 given thresholds τ_1 and τ_2 , respectively, *i.e.*,

$$\vec{V}_{s1,k} \geq \tau_1 \quad \text{AND} \quad \vec{V}_{s2,k} \geq \tau_2, \quad (2)$$

21 and we also considered the temperature measurement response time $\vec{T}_{s1}$ and
 22 the breathing rate measurement response time T_{s2} should be lower than given
 23 thresholds (*i.e.*, $val_{1,2}$ [ms]), such as:

$$\vec{T}_{s1} \leq val_1 \quad \text{AND} \quad \vec{T}_{s2} \leq val_2. \quad (3)$$

24 Data from different collectors are integrated to support decisions at the
 25 Collection Center. Specifically, we assume to have a data table as a form of
 26 triplet *i.e.*, $\langle \text{infected case}, \text{GPS coordinates}, \text{face image} \rangle$, associated to a
 27 given collector responsible to the data acquisition. Notice that redundant data
 28 are omitted in this work. If the sensed parameters are associated to a face that
 29 does not match any other previously detected, they will be considered as a new
 30 potential infectious case. Therefore, GVs send the sensed (and not redundant)
 31 vital signs satisfying the above mentioned condition expressed in Eq. (2), directly
 32 to the Collection Center, together with the images of the monitored area. Being
 33 represented with the tuple $\{\text{geo-localization}, \text{temperature}, \text{breathing rate}\}$, the
 34 collected parameters allow to identify the position of possibly infected people
 35 and to take additional countermeasures. Conversely, to save energy resources,
 36 UAVs transmit in real-time only the sensed parameters and temporarily cache

1 the surveillance images. As shown in Algorithm 1, these latter will be offloaded
2 during the re-charging process to the closest GV and then sent to the Collection
3 Center. Notice that the sensing process of the j -th UAV occurs if its energy
4 level (*i.e.*, $\mathcal{E}_{u_j}$) is enough to accomplish this task *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{E}_{u_j} > \chi, \quad (4)$$

5 where χ is a given energy threshold. If Eq. (4) does not hold, the j -th UAV
6 computes the distance to the closest GV *i.e.*,

$$\min_j d_{u_j, g_i}, \quad \forall g_i \in G, \quad (5)$$

7 in order to move to that position (*i.e.*, $\vec{l}_{g_i}$) and recharge its battery level, as
8 well as offload the set of collected images. Notice that the UAV can move
9 autonomously to the nearest GV or also driven by the Control Center.

10 Finally, when the monitoring process in the designed area has been com-
11 pleted (*i.e.*, the whole area has been covered) the collectors can be assigned to
12 a new one.

13 3.3. Face recognition process

14 **Deep Neural Network.** The face recognition process used in our GUAVA
15 framework leverages existing studies on deep neural network architectures [39]
16 and consists of the following steps *i.e.*, (i) localization of human face in the
17 video images –*face detection*– using bounding boxes, (ii) normalization of the
18 face to extract features from it and, finally, (iii) classification –*face recognition*–,
19 in order to find the matching faces based on existing database. The deep neural
20 network architecture for face recognition consists of a convolutional layer as a
21 set of trainable filters, then the input passes through the pooling layer to reduce
22 its spatial size [39]. The output of convolutional/pooling layers is flattened and
23 fed it into a fully connected layer, to be classified using an activation function.

24 As expected, the use of this architecture has led to a massive increase in
25 the performance, which has approached and, in recent times, even exceeded the
26 human level capacity. Nevertheless, some conditions still affect the performance
27 of face recognition, including head poses, illuminations, facial expressions and
28 occlusions [40].

29 **GaussianFace model.** To improve the face recognition performance and
30 avoid the transmission of duplicated sensing, in this paper we propose to use the
31 GaussianFace model, originally described in [29], which is one of the best tools
32 currently available to capture matching faces from images obtained by surveil-
33 lance cameras. The GaussianFace model surpasses humans-level performance
34 in identifying matching faces, reaching the accuracy ratio of 98.52%, as com-
35 pared to 97.53% for humans, when using the Labeled Faces in the Wild (LFW)
36 dataset [41].

37 As shown in Figure 3, after detecting the human face and extracting it from
38 a streaming video, the facial feature extraction process begins with adjusting
39 the thermal input image to a specific size *i.e.*, 150×120 pixels, depending on




Figure 3: GaussianFace model for face verification used in GUAVA framework.

1 some facial landmarks, including both mouth corners, eyes and nose. Then,
2 each face image is divided into overlapping regions of 25×25 pixels, the multi-
3 scale uniform LBP histograms are extracted in each region, and create a feature
4 vector. In the face recognition stage, this model is used either as a Binary
5 Classifier (GaussianFace-BC) or as a Feature Extractor (GaussianFace-FE) and
6 compares the face with a given face dataset to match similar faces and reveal
7 similarities.

8 In our scenario, the GaussianFace model is selected to avoid duplicate crowd-
9 sensing data. Firstly, we measure the similarity vector $x_i = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p)^T$
10 between a pair of face images A and B as an input to the GaussianFace-BC
11 model, or the joint feature vector $x_i = [(x_i^A)^T, (x_i^B)^T]^T$ with its flipped version
12 $x_i^{flipped} = [(x_i^B)^T, (x_i^A)^T]^T$, as an input to the GaussianFace-FE model. Then,
13 we perform the assessment of the latent vector $\vec{F}$, in a similar way as in [42], as
14 each input point x_i has a latent variable f_i . Then, we use a squashed function
15 in order for the output y_i to be into $[0, 1]$, $\Pi(f_i) = p(y_i = 1|f_i)$. Finally, we
16 predict the matching face image(s).

17 4. Experimentation

18 In this section, we evaluate the proposed GUAVA design by first targeting
19 two main aspects *i.e.* (i) assessing the efficiency of the selected face recognition
20 process and (ii) assessing the QoS of the overall crowdsensing process.

21 Then, we compare GUAVA against a benchmark crowdsensing platform,
22 namely BUCST [16] in terms of (i) amount of monitored area over time, (ii)
23 traffic overhead. Finally, we focus on the energy consumption issue and an
24 optimization process related to the minimization of the number of GVs and
25 UAVs, subject to performance requirements, is investigated.

4.1. Simulation setup and performance metrics

To assess the QoS of the proposed crowdsensing process, we leveraged the Network Simulator 3 (ns-3) software to build the reference scenario as in Figure 1, where a set of collectors perform the crowdsensing service in a city area and send the collected parameters to the edge server of the Collection Center. In particular, we considered a set of pedestrian users walking the streets of the Annaba city center (Algeria). There, GVs move according to the realistic Simulation of Urban MObility (SUMO) model [43], while UAVs move according to the Gauss Markov Mobility Model in 3D environment [44]. This mobility model assumes that when the UAV gets closer to an obstacle, it will change its direction to avoid collisions; it thus depicts a more realistic behavior for UAVs. Of course, we are aware that realistic flight conditions can strongly impact on the UAV mobility, as well as on the energy consumption and the QoS metrics, causing higher delay and lower throughput. However, UAV flight conditions can be corrected by the Control Center that can intervene in some cases, such as to redirect the UAV to the nearest GV for wireless charging.

To simulate the collection of vital signs from the pedestrian users, possibly affected by Covid-19, we considered the daily average number of Covid-19 cases reported by the local authorities in the area, during the past autumnal months, w.r.t. the population density. Based on this value, we created a synthetic data set, as in [45], that we imported in ns-3 to randomly simulate the presence of potential infectious people in the scenario. Table 2 summarizes the considered simulation settings.

To evaluate the performance of our GUAVA proposal in ns-3 environment, we used the following metrics:

- **Packet Delivery Ratio (PDR)**, which expresses the ratio of packets sent by the Collectors that have successfully reached the Collection Center. We assume that the sensed information per each potentially infectious individual, as described in Section 3, fits a single data packet;
- **End-to-End (E2E) delay** d_{e2e} [ms], which expresses the time interval since the packet has been transmitted from the source (*i.e.*, UAV or GV) to the instant when it reaches the Collection Center successfully;
- **Throughput** Θ [Kbit/s], which refers to the number of bits per second that are successfully delivered to the Collection Center;
- **Mean jitter or delay variation** [ms], which refers to the variation in the delay of packets delivered to the Collection Center.

For face matching evaluation, we used facial images obtained from FERET dataset [46] that were normalized to a size of 150×130 pixels in order to obtain equal footing and allow processing and features extraction. The training dataset for the recognition test consisted of 100 images, and we used a gallery as reference set consisting of 1000 images, and one probe set containing 623 images with different facial expressions, such as smile, astonishment, contempt, fear, and anger.




Figure 4: E2E (network) delay vs. the UAVs/GVs density.

1 To estimate the accuracy of the recognition process, we considered the recog-
 2 nition rate or success rate metric, which is calculated by dividing the correctly
 3 identified probe images on the total testing samples.

4 4.2. Results

5 In this subsection, we first assess the accuracy of the selected GaussianFace-
 6 FE recognition process and then we investigate the performance of the GUAVA
 7 proposal in terms of the above mentioned QoS metrics.

9 4.2.1. Accuracy of the face recognition algorithm

10 As shown in Table 3, we consider the selected dataset and compare it against
 11 gallery images. The dataset contains images of facial expressions and provides
 12 a high success rate (and a consequent low error rate, i.e, only 3.69%) with low
 13 training. The model is typically highly accurate, but it can be affected by the
 14 A-PIE (Ageing, Pose, Illumination, Expression) problems for face recognition.

15 It can be generally observed that the GaussianFace algorithm is a very ef-
 16 ficient approach in term of accuracy at the expenses of a slightly high delay,
 17 i.e., about 1.02 s per face recognition with i-5 4300U CPU @1.90 GHz processor
 18 speed and 4 GB RAM, without dedicated graphic card. In particular, when
 19 considering the overall E2E delay per each crowdsensed information, which in-
 20 cludes the time needed for data sensing, face recognition and data delivery to
 21 the Collection Center, we can notice that the major contribution is due to the
 22 face recognition.

23 To better assess this aspect, we distinguish the network delay (needed for
 24 the data delivery) and the recognition delay. Figure 4 illustrates the network




Figure 5: Total E2E delay vs. the UAVs/GVs density.

1 delay *i.e.*, d_{e2e} [ms], when varying the UAVs/GVs density (without taking into
2 account the delay introduced by the face recognition process). It shows that the
3 use of LTE technology in UAVs and GVs provides an extremely low E2E delay,
4 which does not go beyond 120 ms. As the density of the collectors increases,
5 the delay increases due to the higher chances of packet collisions. Vice versa,
6 Figure 5 shows the total E2E delay, which is higher due to the impact of the face
7 recognition process. The targeted monitoring application can however tolerate
8 such a delay, which is lower than 1.2 s even in the worst case.

9 It is worth observing that there are multiple available face recognition algo-
10 rithms in the literature, some of them are faster than the considered Gaussian-
11 Face algorithm but also less accurate. For instance, when we tested a traditional
12 algorithm for face detection, *i.e.*, the Viola Jones' algorithm [47], which uses the
13 Haar Classifier for face detection, and couples it with a real-time face recognition
14 pattern, using the LBPH algorithm, the average time taken per frame for face
15 recognition is about 34.90 ms. The price to pay is however the lower accuracy,
16 *i.e.*, a higher false positive rate. In our scenario, this also means that the system
17 would not be able to properly recognize duplicate sensed information, which is
18 instead crucial in our design. Therefore, we recommend selecting a successful
19 recognition algorithm like GaussianFace.

20 Finally, to study the feasibility of adding other features besides the facial
21 recognition, we used Faster R-CNN algorithm in order to (i) detect the moni-
22 tored object (*i.e.*, persons) and (ii) measure its surface to distinguish from the
23 objects with a different surface. In addition to GUAVA, where only face recogni-
24 tion is performed, we consider GUAVA+ that combines both facial recognition
25 and object surface measurement as illustrated in Figure 6.

26 Furthermore, using the monitored objects mobility patterns, we created an-




Figure 6: GUAVA different versions, with specific features.




Figure 7: A recognition comparison between GUAVA different versions.

1 other version, namely GUAVA++, that besides facial recognition and object
 2 surface measurement, it filters out the potential duplication through the con-
 3 sideration of the mobility patterns of the monitored objects. This means that
 4 two objects with similar mobility patterns are considered as a same object. Ob-
 5 tained accuracy results are shown in Figure 7 and depict that adding these two
 6 features (*i.e.*, object surface measurement and mobility patterns) - surprisingly
 7 - results in a decrease of the overall accuracy. Indeed, since the surfaces of
 8 the people change with the movement of the arms and feets, the same person's
 9 surface is variable and hence considered as a different one. The mobility of per-
 10 sons can be effective only in sparse cases, not in high density scenarios. Hence,
 11 considering only the facial recognition can offer at least a 12% higher accuracy.
 12 Hence, considering only the facial recognition can offer at least a 12% higher
 13 accuracy.

14 15 4.2.2. Evaluation of QoS metrics

16 Figure 8 shows the packet delivery ratio when varying the UAVs/GVs den-
 17 sity. It can be observed that the proposed scheme gives a significantly high PDR
 18 in the LTE environment. It is always above 99%, with a preference for UAVs
 19 but, as the density increases, it begins to gradually decrease due to the higher
 20 chances of packet collisions between simultaneous transmissions.

21 Other QoS metrics, such as the throughput and jitter, are depicted in Fig-




Figure 8: PDR variation vs. UAVs/GVs density.

ure 9 and Figure 13, respectively. As expected, Figure 9 describes how the average throughput Θ in the network increases with the UAVs/GVs density, thanks to the higher number of collected information. Similarly, in Figure 13 the mean jitter shows an increase while varying the vehicular density, due to higher collisions and congestion.

To show the benefits of the cooperation between GVs and UAVs, we compare GUAVA against a crowdsensing platform named BUCST [16], where the monitoring process is the task of UAVs only, while GVs act as communication backbone. As metrics, we study the traffic overhead and the convergence of both frameworks with respect to the monitored area and the required monitoring time.

It can be observed from Figure 10 that GUAVA introduces a reduced overhead compared to the BUCST monitoring overhead. This is due to (i) the cooperation of GVs and UAVs that act both as Collectors and (ii) the face recognition algorithm that avoids the transmission of duplicated data.

Figure 11 instead shows the time for monitoring an area taken by the BUCST and GUAVA, in a scenario consisting of 2 UAVs, 10 GV, and only 1 edge server (*i.e.*, Collection Center) in every 1 km². Obtained results shown that even with the considered minimal simulation settings, GUAVA is able to monitor up to 16 km² in about 10 minutes clearly bypassing the state-of-art work BUCST that reached less than 9 km² in a similar period of time. Again, this is mainly due to the efficient UAV-to-GV cooperation in GUAVA.

4.2.3. Energy consumption analysis

By introducing a separated energy model for the thermal cameras, we perform a simulation campaign to show how the UAVs batteries are affected with and without thermal cameras using the same mobility patterns [48]. Obtained




Figure 9: Throughput variation vs. UAVs/GVs density.

1 results depicted in Figure 12 show that the overall energy consumption is very
 2 slightly affected by the use of thermal cameras which is very acceptable compared to the additional value and applications brought by such devices.

4 To obtain further insight about the available lifetime of UAVs w.r.t. QoS
 5 guarantees, we can address the following optimization problem related to the
 6 minimization of the number of GVs and UAVs collectors (*i.e.*, $N_{GV/UA V}$) that
 7 can provide a minimum QoS level, expressed as (*i*) guaranteed minimum throughput
 8 Θ [Mb/s] and (*ii*) maximum achievable E2E delay d_{e2e} [ms] *i.e.*,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \min N_{GV/UA V} \\
 & s.t. \quad \Theta \geq Th \\
 & \quad \quad d_{e2e} \leq \delta
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

9 where Th [Mb/s] represents the lower bound of achievable throughput threshold
 10 and δ [ms] is the upper bound of delay.

11 From Figure 4 and Figure 9, assuming different values of throughput Th
 12 and delay δ thresholds, we observe that the optimization problem defined in
 13 Eq. (6) provides the following solutions collected in Table 4. Notice that the
 14 minimum UAV/GV collector configuration comprised of $N = 10$ and $U = 10$
 15 is achieved only for $Th = 10$ Mbit/s and $\delta = [20, 40, 60]$ ms. For increasing
 16 throughput thresholds, the number of UAVs increases while still guaranteeing
 17 the QoS requirements, but the minimum number of GVs is not achieved. Finally,
 18 in case of very high throughput requirement, neither UAVs or GVs can provide




Figure 10: GUAVA additional overhead compared to BUCST.

1 the minimum configuration (*i.e.*, not available configuration).

2 5. Discussion and conclusion

3 5.1. Remarks

4 In this paper we presented a crowdsensing solution that takes advantage of
5 both ground and flying vehicles to fight pandemic situations. The proposal,
6 namely GUAVA, involves thermal camera based vital signs scanning and facial
7 recognition to help identifying potentially infectious individuals and discarding
8 duplicate sensed parameters. The performance assessment has consisted of two
9 main parts *i.e.*, (*i*) the evaluation of the accuracy of the facial recognition algo-
10 rithm, and (*ii*) the evaluation of the crowdsensing process in terms of network
11 QoS metrics and overhead, which has been obtained through simulations with
12 ns-3. Indeed, a first target of our analysis was to demonstrate the feasibility
13 of the proposed approach in a realistic network scenario. Performance evalua-
14 tion has shown that our design gives high performance in terms of various QoS
15 metrics, as well as face recognition rates.

16 Compared to other existing models such as [9, 16, 17], our architecture en-
17 ables the cooperation between UAVs and GVs, to detect and monitor the spread
18 of Covid-19 in real time. It also provides the following benefits *i.e.*, (*i*) it ensures
19 full coverage of city environments and hard-to-reach areas, (*ii*) it provides an
20 energy efficient solution for the UAVs through wireless GV chargers, leveraging
21 the GPS technology that provides location information of the nearest GV, (*iii*)
22 it implements data offloading from UAVs to GVs, to deal with storage capacity
23 and power consumption challenges, (*iv*) it leverages the LTE radio technology
24 for data transmission, which gives the high performances in terms of QoS met-
25 rics, and (*v*) it can be exploited in various other applications, including fighting
26 future pandemics and other disease like Ebola, tracking and identification of
27 lost children or fugitives in crowded public places, such as streets, stadiums and




Figure 11: GUAVA area monitoring convergence compared to BUCST.

1 parks. The selected face recognition algorithm, namely GaussianFace, adopted
2 in thermal cameras for real-time data duplication checks, outperforms most
3 existing algorithms in terms of accuracy, with low training.

4 5.2. Implications for stakeholders

5 The proposed GUAVA framework involves three different groups of stake-
6 holders with different roles and implications, *i.e.*, (i) Government authori-
7 ties and agencies, (ii) citizens, and (iii) local authorities and health officials.
8 The first category includes the Health Ministry and other permanent or semi-
9 permanent state agencies that oversee, manage and issue at high level all the
10 services related to the Covid-19 emergency. This implicates the need of ensur-
11 ing privacy support to the citizens since GUAVA framework collects face images
12 and body parameters of people in public areas, then exposing citizens to privacy
13 risks. Although different countries have different societal norms and values, in
14 principle Government Authorities and Agencies should ensure that collected
15 data are not used for purposes beyond the pandemic. Moreover, they should
16 guarantee that (i) the sensed data are not released to the public and (ii) they
17 are treated and processed according to the existing privacy regulations. This
18 aspect is very important also in case of false positive Covid-19 results: although
19 the GUAVA framework is able to recognize people with Covid-19 symptoms,
20 the final result about Covid-19 positivity should be always proved with a diag-
21 nostic test *e.g.* a molecular swab. Therefore, people recognized as potentially
22 infectious should be quickly monitored with an additional test to prevent a false
23 positive claim.

24 Also, it results necessary to estimate the economic impact of the adoption
25 of GUAVA service, due to the set-up and management of the wireless crowd-
26 sensing devices with thermal cameras. Governments Authorities and Agencies
27 have to perform a thorough feasibility study to identify the geographical areas




Figure 12: UAVs overall energy consumption at the end of the experiments both with and without thermal cameras.

where the GUAVA services are really required (*e.g.*, based on the reported daily cases, population density, etc.), thus balancing benefits and costs. To further maximize the benefits of investing in the GUAVA framework, other applications beyond the Covid-19 pandemic, *e.g.*, fugitive tracking, can be introduced in the framework. Finally, for local authorities and health officials, like the Police or specific public/private companies managing the GUAVA framework in practice, the usage of GUAVA implies the guarantee of people privacy, as well as the remote control of GV/UAV collectors.

5.3. Open Challenges

Although the conceived framework proved its effectiveness in the data collection process, there are still some open challenges in its practical deployment, as reported below:

- **Energy consumption of UAVs.** The recharging process of UAVs, which is needed from time to time, may lead to a temporary interruption of the crowdsensing service. To overcome this issue and guarantee a seamless service, an optimization strategy can be implemented. Based on the size of the geographic area to be covered and the approximately number of people to be detected and sensed, the duration of the UAV mission can be estimated. If this time exceeds the current battery lifetime of a single device, then the targeted geographic area can be divided into smaller regions that are assigned to more UAVs. Alternatively, a simpler approach would be to foresee the presence of a backup UAV that can complete the mission during the re-charging process of a previous UAV;
- **Smart selection of the targeted geographic areas.** Since the Collectors are wireless devices, the GUAVA crowdsensing process can be performed dynamically in different regions and according to the evolution of




Figure 13: Mean jitter variation vs. UAVs/GVs density.

the epidemic. Of course, to maximize the benefits of GUAVA, its service cannot be executed indiscriminately but only in specific geographic areas, typically places of mass gathering or places where a high rate of infections is expected (*i.e.*, the so-called red zones). A non trivial preliminary task to be performed is therefore the identification of the areas to be sensed, together with other related information that can make the best of the UAVs usage, like the expected number of people to be sensed and the expected weather conditions;

- **Management of UAV flight operations.** As known, UAVs' missions are affected by weather conditions, especially strong winds, which may divert them from their path. Therefore, how to choose the optimum flight height to ensure full coverage in the designated area, without interference with other devices and obstacles, is not trivial, as well as if the UAV battery voltage drops down suddenly or a damage occurs, there is a crash risk and it is necessary to adopt security solutions, such as using small parachutes;
- **Twins detection.** Concerning the face recognition process, we observe that the possible presence of look-alike faces, like twins, can make the process more challenging and additional techniques are needed to cope against such similarity issues. Moreover, with the advancements of face recognition technology, Deepfakes techniques that deceive existing algorithms [49] can also spread. It is therefore necessary to use a hybrid system that does not rely on a facial recognition technique only, but also associates it with other recognition modalities, such as upper-body recognition [50] (*e.g.*, shoulder-to-shoulder width, neck length/width, chest/waist size and back length, etc.). Fusing the two recognition modalities would allow us to

1 improve the accuracy of the overall recognition process.

2 Our future work will be devoted to solving the above mentioned open issues.
3 In particular, after proving the feasibility of the GUAVA framework, the next
4 evaluation step will be the creation of a prototype including a small set of
5 Collectors, *e.g.*, a GV acting as collector and re-charging station and a couple
6 of UAVs. The prototype will be also devoted to better study and improve
7 the accuracy of the face recognition process in presence of look-alike people
8 like twins. This can be done by combining other existing biometric technologies
9 with facial recognition, such as gait biometrics, in order to address the similarity
10 issue in people's faces.

11 Acknowledgment

12 This work was supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research at Prince
13 Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia.

14 References

- 15 [1] H. Ma, D. Zhao, P. Yuan, Opportunities in mobile crowd sensing, IEEE
16 Communications Magazine 52 (8) (2014) 29–35.
- 17 [2] J. M. Cecilia, J.-C. Cano, E. Hernández-Orallo, C. T. Calafate, P. Manzoni,
18 Mobile crowdsensing approaches to address the covid-19 pandemic in spain,
19 IET Smart Cities 2 (2) (2020) 58–63.
- 20 [3] E. Hernández-Orallo, C. T. Calafate, J.-C. Cano, P. Manzoni, Evaluating
21 the effectiveness of covid-19 bluetooth-based smartphone contact tracing
22 applications, Applied Sciences 10 (20) (2020) 7113.
- 23 [4] G. Quer, J. M. Radin, M. Gadaleta, K. Baca-Motes, L. Ariniello, E. Ramos,
24 V. Kheterpal, E. J. Topol, S. R. Steinhubl, Wearable sensor data and self-
25 reported symptoms for covid-19 detection, Nature Medicine (2020) 1–5.
- 26 [5] X.-R. Ding, D. Clifton, J. Nan, N. H. Lovell, P. Bonato, W. Chen, X. Yu,
27 Z. Xue, T. Xiang, X. Long, et al., Wearable sensing and telehealth technol-
28 ogy with potential applications in the coronavirus pandemic, IEEE Reviews
29 in Biomedical Engineering (2020).
- 30 [6] Y. Sahraoui, A. Korichi, C. A. Kerrache, M. Bilal, M. Amadeo, Remote
31 sensing to control respiratory viral diseases outbreaks using internet of ve-
32 hicles, Transactions on Emerging Telecommunications Technologies (2020)
33 e4118.
- 34 [7] X. Zhu, Y. Luo, A. Liu, W. Tang, M. Z. A. Bhuiyan, A deep learning-
35 based mobile crowdsensing scheme by predicting vehicle mobility, IEEE
36 Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems (2020).

- 1 [8] B. Wang, Y. Sun, D. Liu, H. M. Nguyen, T. Q. Duong, Social-aware uav-
2 assisted mobile crowd sensing in stochastic and dynamic environments for
3 disaster relief networks, *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology* 69 (1)
4 (2019) 1070–1074.
- 5 [9] A. Kumar, K. Sharma, H. Singh, S. G. Naugriya, S. S. Gill, R. Buyya,
6 A drone-based networked system and methods for combating coronavirus
7 disease (covid-19) pandemic, *Future Generation Computer Systems* 115
8 (2020) 1–19.
- 9 [10] J. Liu, H. Shen, H. S. Narman, W. Chung, Z. Lin, A survey of mobile
10 crowdsensing techniques: A critical component for the internet of things,
11 *ACM Transactions on Cyber-Physical Systems* 2 (3) (2018) 1–26.
- 12 [11] C. Wang, C. Li, C. Qin, W. Wang, X. Li, Maximizing spatial-temporal cov-
13 erage in mobile crowd-sensing based on public transports with predictable
14 trajectory, *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks* 14 (8)
15 (2018) 1550147718795351.
- 16 [12] Z. Xu, W. Yang, Z. Xiong, J. Wang, G. Liu, Tpsense: A framework
17 for event-reports trustworthiness evaluation in privacy-preserving vehicular
18 crowdsensing systems, *Journal of Signal Processing Systems* (2020) 1–11.
- 19 [13] L. Shao, C. Wang, L. Liu, C. Jiang, Rts: road topology-based scheme for
20 traffic condition estimation via vehicular crowdsensing, *Concurrency and
21 Computation: Practice and Experience* 29 (3) (2017) e3778.
- 22 [14] A. M. Elbir, G. Gurbilek, B. Soner, S. Coleri, Vehicular networks for com-
23 bating a worldwide pandemic: Preventing the spread of covid-19, *arXiv
24 preprint arXiv:2010.07602* (2020).
- 25 [15] Y. Sahraoui, C. A. Kerrache, A. Korichi, B. Nour, A. Adnane, R. Hussain,
26 Deepdist: A deep-learning-based iov framework for real-time objects and
27 distance violation detection, *IEEE Internet of Things Magazine* 3 (3) (2020)
28 30–34.
- 29 [16] E. Barka, C. A. Kerrache, N. Lagraa, A. Lakas, Behavior-aware uav-
30 assisted crowd sensing technique for urban vehicular environments, in: *2018
31 15th IEEE Annual Consumer Communications & Networking Conference
32 (CCNC)*, IEEE, 2018, pp. 1–7.
- 33 [17] A. Trotta, U. Muncuk, M. Di Felice, K. R. Chowdhury, Persistent crowd
34 tracking using unmanned aerial vehicle swarms: A novel framework for
35 energy and mobility management, *IEEE Vehicular Technology Magazine*
36 15 (2) (2020) 96–103.
- 37 [18] N. H. Motlagh, M. Bagaa, T. Taleb, Uav-based iot platform: A crowd
38 surveillance use case, *IEEE Communications Magazine* 55 (2) (2017) 128–
39 134.

- 1 [19] M. Zhang, X. Li, Drone-enabled internet of things relay for environmen-
2 tal monitoring in remote areas without public networks, *IEEE Internet of*
3 *Things Journal* (2020).
- 4 [20] R. Vera-Amaro, M. E. Rivero-Ángeles, A. Luviano-Juárez, Data collection
5 schemes for animal monitoring using wsns-assisted by uavs: Wsns-oriented
6 or uav-oriented, *Sensors* 20 (1) (2020) 262.
- 7 [21] C. Luo, J. Nightingale, E. Asemota, C. Grecos, A uav-cloud system for
8 disaster sensing applications, in: 2015 IEEE 81st Vehicular Technology
9 Conference (VTC Spring), IEEE, 2015, pp. 1–5.
- 10 [22] Y. Siriwardhana, G. Gür, M. Ylianttila, M. Liyanage, The role of 5g for dig-
11 ital healthcare against covid-19 pandemic: Opportunities and challenges,
12 *ICT Express* (2020).
- 13 [23] V. Chamola, V. Hassija, V. Gupta, M. Guizani, A comprehensive review
14 of the covid-19 pandemic and the role of iot, drones, ai, blockchain, and 5g
15 in managing its impact, *IEEE Access* 8 (2020) 90225–90265.
- 16 [24] F.-T.-Z. Khanam, L. A. Chahl, J. S. Chahl, A. Al-Naji, A. G. Perera,
17 D. Wang, Y. Lee, T. T. Ogunwa, S. Teague, T. X. B. Nguyen, et al.,
18 Noncontact sensing of contagion, *Journal of Imaging* 7 (2) (2021) 28.
- 19 [25] K. Yi, R. Du, L. Liu, Q. Chen, K. Gao, Fast participant recruitment al-
20 gorithm for large-scale vehicle-based mobile crowd sensing, *Pervasive and*
21 *Mobile Computing* 38 (2017) 188–199.
- 22 [26] S. Kluge, U. Janssens, T. Welte, S. Weber-Carstens, G. Marx, C. Kara-
23 giannidis, German recommendations for critically ill patients with covid-19,
24 *Medizinische Klinik, Intensivmedizin und Notfallmedizin* (2020) 1.
- 25 [27] G. Sun, Y. Nakayama, S. Dagdanpurev, S. Abe, H. Nishimura, T. Ki-
26 rimoto, T. Matsui, Remote sensing of multiple vital signs using a cmos
27 camera-equipped infrared thermography system and its clinical application
28 in rapidly screening patients with suspected infectious diseases, *Interna-*
29 *tional Journal of Infectious Diseases* 55 (2017) 113–117.
- 30 [28] G. Sun, T. Negishi, T. Kirimoto, T. Matsui, S. Abe, Noncontact monitoring
31 of vital signs with rgb and infrared camera and its application to screening
32 of potential infection, in: *Non-Invasive Diagnostic Methods-Image Process-*
33 *ing*, IntechOpen, 2018.
- 34 [29] C. Lu, X. Tang, Surpassing human-level face verification performance on
35 lfw with gaussianface, in: *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial*
36 *Intelligence*, Vol. 29, 2015.
- 37 [30] S. Morton, R. D’Sa, N. Papanikolopoulos, Solar powered uav: Design and
38 experiments, in: *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots*
39 *and Systems (IROS)*, 2015, pp. 2460–2466.

- 1 [31] S. Jung, Y. Jo, Y.-J. Kim, Flight time estimation for continuous surveil-
2 lance missions using a multirotor uav, *Energies* 12 (5) (2019) 867.
- 3 [32] K. Rawat, E. Lawrence, A mini-uav vtol platform for surveying applica-
4 tions, *IAES International Journal of Robotics and Automation* 3 (4) (2014)
5 259.
- 6 [33] G. Rossi, L. Tanteri, V. Tofani, P. Vannocci, S. Moretti, N. Casagli, Mul-
7 titemporal uav surveys for landslide mapping and characterization, *Land-*
8 *slides* 15 (5) (2018) 1045–1052.
- 9 [34] 3GPP, TS 23.285 v15.2.0. Technical Specification Group Services and Sys-
10 tem Aspects; Architecture enhancements for V2X services, release 15 (De-
11 cember 2018).
- 12 [35] T. Bourlai, B. Cukic, Multi-spectral face recognition: Identification of peo-
13 ple in difficult environments, in: 2012 IEEE International Conference on
14 Intelligence and Security Informatics, IEEE, 2012, pp. 196–201.
- 15 [36] T. Bourlai, J. Von Dollen, N. Mavridis, C. Kolanko, Evaluating the ef-
16 ficiency of a night-time, middle-range infrared sensor for applications in
17 human detection and recognition, in: *Infrared Imaging Systems: Design,*
18 *Analysis, Modeling, and Testing XXIII*, Vol. 8355, International Society for
19 Optics and Photonics, 2012, p. 83551B.
- 20 [37] D. A. Berlin, R. M. Gulick, F. J. Martinez, Severe covid-19, *New England*
21 *Journal of Medicine* (2020).
- 22 [38] X. Wang, J. Fang, Y. Zhu, L. Chen, F. Ding, R. Zhou, L. Ge, F. Wang,
23 Q. Chen, Y. Zhang, et al., Clinical characteristics of non-critically ill pa-
24 tients with novel coronavirus infection (covid-19) in a fangcang hospital,
25 *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* (2020).
- 26 [39] Q. Ke, J. Liu, M. Bennamoun, S. An, F. Sohel, F. Boussaid, Computer
27 vision for human–machine interaction, in: *Computer Vision for Assistive*
28 *Healthcare*, Elsevier, 2018, pp. 127–145.
- 29 [40] M. M. Ghazi, H. K. Ekenel, A comprehensive analysis of deep learning
30 based representation for face recognition, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.02894*
31 (2016).
- 32 [41] G. B. Huang, M. Ramesh, T. Berg, E. Learned-Miller, Labeled faces in the
33 wild: A database for studying face recognition in unconstrained environ-
34 ments.
- 35 [42] R. Urtasun, T. Darrell, Discriminative gaussian process latent variable
36 model for classification, in: *Proceedings of the 24th international confer-*
37 *ence on Machine learning*, 2007, pp. 927–934.

- 1 [43] SUMO (Simulation of Urban MObility), <http://sumo.sourceforge.net>
2 (accessed October 2, 2020).
- 3 [44] D. Broyles, A. Jabbar, J. P. Sterbenz, et al., Design and analysis of a 3-
4 d gauss-markov mobility model for highly-dynamic airborne networks, in:
5 Proceedings of the international telemetering conference (ITC), San Diego,
6 CA, 2010, pp. 25–28.
- 7 [45] Y. Sahraoui, A. Korichi, C. A. Kerrache, M. Bilal, M. Amadeo, Remote
8 sensing to control respiratory viral diseases outbreaks using internet of
9 vehicles, *Emerging Telecommunications Technologies* (2020).
- 10 [46] P. J. Phillips, H. Wechsler, J. Huang, P. J. Rauss, The feret database
11 and evaluation procedure for face-recognition algorithms, *Image and vision*
12 *computing* 16 (5) (1998) 295–306.
- 13 [47] Y.-Q. Wang, An analysis of the viola-jones face detection algorithm, *Image*
14 *Processing On Line* 4 (2014) 128–148.
- 15 [48] S. Akbari, Studying window energy performance using thermal camera,
16 Ph.D. thesis, North Dakota State University (2020).
- 17 [49] P. Korshunov, S. Marcel, Deepfakes: a new threat to face recognition?
18 assessment and detection, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.08685* (2018).
- 19 [50] K. Kim, Z. Yang, I. Masi, R. Nevatia, G. Medioni, Face and body associa-
20 tion for video-based face recognition, in: *2018 IEEE Winter Conference on*
21 *Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)*, IEEE, 2018, pp. 39–48.

Algorithm 1: Pseudocode of the GUAVA crowdsensing process for UAVs

Input :
 $G = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_i, \dots, g_N\}$ with $i \leq N$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ $\triangleright$ Set of GVs
 $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_j, \dots, u_M\}$ with $j \leq M$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$ $\triangleright$ Set of UAVs
 $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k, \dots, p_K\}$ with $k \leq K$ and $K \in \mathbb{N}$ $\triangleright$ Set of people
 $\mathcal{I}_s$ $\triangleright$ Set of stored images
 $\mathcal{E}_{u_j}$ $\triangleright$ Battery level of UAV u_j
 χ $\triangleright$ Warning threshold for batter level
Output: $\mathcal{I}_s$ $\triangleright$ Updated set of suspected cases

if $\mathcal{E}_{u_j} > \chi$ **then**
 while $\|\vec{l}_{u_j} - \vec{l}_{p_k}\| \leq r_s^{(j)}$ **do**
 $\vec{V}_{s1,k}, \vec{V}_{s2,k}$ $\triangleright$ Sensing vital signs from the thermal video
 Γ $\triangleright$ Extract faces from thermal video
 foreach $\Gamma \notin \mathcal{I}_s$ **do**
 $\mathcal{I}_s \leftarrow \mathcal{I}_s \cup \Gamma$
 if $\vec{V}_{s1,k} \geq \tau_1$ AND $\vec{V}_{s2,k} \geq \tau_2$ **then**
 Send the information to the edge server $\triangleright$ Possible p_k infected
 else
 Discard this case
 else
 $\min_i d_{u_j, g_i}, \forall g_i \in G$ $\triangleright$ Compute the distance to the closest GV
 Move to position $\vec{l}_{g_i}$ $\triangleright$ Wireless charging from the closest GV
 Offloading $\mathcal{I}_s$ to g_i
 while $\|\vec{l}_{g_i} - \vec{l}_{p_k}\| \leq r_s^{(i)}$ **do**
 $\vec{V}_{s1,k}, \vec{V}_{s2,k}$ $\triangleright$ Sensing vital signs from the thermal video
 Γ $\triangleright$ Extract faces from thermal video
 foreach $\Gamma \notin \mathcal{I}_s$ **do**
 $\mathcal{I}_s \leftarrow \mathcal{I}_s \cup \Gamma$
 if $\vec{V}_{s1,k} \geq \tau_1$ AND $\vec{V}_{s2,k} \geq \tau_2$ **then**
 Send the information to the edge server $\triangleright$ Possible p_k infected
 else
 Discard this case

Table 2: Simulation parameters.

	Simulation parameters	Values
General	Communication technology	LTE + wired
	Simulation Time	50 seconds
	UAVs density	2, 5, 10, 20, 40 [UAV/km ²]
	Number of eNB	1
	UAVs' mobility model	Gauss Markov 3D
	Simulation flight area	200 × 200 m
	Speed of UAVs (velocity)	20 m/s
	UAVs flight height	30 m
	Data packet size	1024
	GVs propagation loss model	Nakagami
LTE	UAVs propagation loss model	Friis
	LTE data packet type	TCP
	Transmission power	eNB (49 dBm)/UE (23 dBm)
	GVs density	2, 5, 10, 20, 40 [UAV/m ²]
	Simulation ground area	1471.47 × 1989.8 [m ²]

Table 3: Error rate result.

Probe dataset size	Probe images	Error rate
623 images		3.69%
	Facial expressions	

Table 4: Configuration pairs of minimum UAVs (*i.e.*, N) and GVs (*i.e.*, U) collectors achieving different throughput Th (*i.e.*, $Th = [10, 20, 30]$ Mbit/s) and E2E delay (*i.e.*, $\delta = [20, 40, 60]$ ms) thresholds.

		E2E delay threshold		
		20	40	60
Throughput threshold	10	$(N = 10, U = 10)$	$(N = 10, U = 10)$	$(N = 10, U = 10)$
	20	$(N = 0, U = 20)$	$(N = 0, U = 20)$	$(N = 0, U = 20)$
	30	n/a	n/a	$(N = 0, U = 40)$