



Università degli Studi Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria
Archivio Istituzionale dei prodotti della ricerca

A Metabarcoding Survey on the Fungal Microbiota Associated to the Olive Fruit Fly

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Original

A Metabarcoding Survey on the Fungal Microbiota Associated to the Olive Fruit Fly / Malacrinò, A., Schena, L., Campolo, O., Laudani, F., Mosca, S., Giunti, G., Strano, C.p., Palmeri, V.. - In: MICROBIAL ECOLOGY. - ISSN 0095-3628. - 73:3(2017), pp. 677-684. [10.1007/s00248-016-0864-z]

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12318/1478> since: 2020-11-23T19:01:51Z

Published

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-016-0864-z>

The final published version is available online at: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00248-016->

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website

Publisher copyright

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria (<https://iris.unirc.it/>) When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

1 *This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: [Malacrinò, A., Schena, L., Campolo,*
2 *O., Laudani, F., Mosca, S., Giunti, G., ... & Palmeri, V. (2017). A metabarcoding survey on the*
3 *fungal microbiota associated to the olive fruit fly. *Microbial ecology*, 73(3), 677-684.], which has*
4 *been published in final doi [DOI 10.1007/s00248-016-0864-z]. The terms and conditions for*
5 *the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms*
6 *of use and more information see the publisher's website"*

7

8 Antonino Malacrinò^{1,2}, Leonardo Schena^{1*}, Orlando Campolo¹, Francesca Laudani¹,
9 Saveria Mosca¹, Giulia Giunti¹, Cinzia Patricia Strano¹, Vincenzo Palmeri¹

10

11 **A metabarcoding survey on the fungal microbiota associated to**
12 **the olive fruit fly**

13 **Affiliations:**

14 ¹Dipartimento di Agraria, Università degli Studi “Mediterranea” di Reggio Calabria,
15 Reggio Calabria, Italy

16 ²Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie e Forestali, Università degli Studi di Palermo, Palermo,
17 Italy

18

19 ***Corresponding author:** Leonardo Schena, Dipartimento di Agraria, Università
20 “Mediterranea” di Reggio Calabria, Reggio Calabria, Italy – Tel. +39 0965 1694249 –
21 email lschena@unirc.it

22

23 **Abstract**

24 The occurrence of interaction between insects and fungi is interesting from an ecological
25 point of view, particularly when these interactions involve insect pests and plant
26 pathogens within an agroecosystem. In this study, we aimed to perform an accurate
27 analysis on the fungal microbiota associated to *Bactrocera oleae* (Rossi) through a
28 metabarcoding approach based on 454 pyrosequencing. From this analysis we retrieved
29 43,549 reads that clustered into 128 OTUs, of which 29 resulted the “core” associate
30 fungi of *B. oleae*. This fungal community was mainly represented by sooty mould fungi,
31 such as *Cladosporium* spp., *Alternaria* spp. and *Aureobasidium* spp., by plant pathogens
32 like *Colletotrichum* spp. and *Pseudocercospora* spp., along with several other less
33 abundant taxa whose ecology is unclear in most of the cases. Our findings lead to new
34 insights into the microbial ecology of this specific ecological niche, enabling the
35 understanding of a complex network of interactions within the olive agroecosystem.

36

37 *Keywords:* 454 Pyrosequencing; High Throughput Sequencing; *Bactrocera oleae*;
38 *Cladosporium*; *Colletotrichum*; *Pseudocercospora*

39 Introduction

40 Insects and fungi can co-occur in multiple habitats performing a wide variety of
41 reciprocal interactions, from mutualistic symbiosis to antagonistic activities [1, 2]. These
42 interactions are interesting from an ecological point of view, especially when
43 associations between insect pests and plant pathogenic fungi occur within an
44 agroecosystem. Indeed, herbivores can be attracted, repelled or can act indifferently
45 toward tissues infected by fungal pathogens [3, 4]. One of the examples of insect-fungi
46 association involves scolytid beetles with many different fungal species, including
47 symbionts and plant pathogens, which those insects can exploit as food source [2, 5].
48 Furthermore, phytopathogenic fungi can be enhanced by the damages caused by insect
49 herbivores during feeding and/or oviposition [3]. Antagonistic interactions are also
50 known to occur and entomopathogenic fungi such as *Metarhizium brunneum* have been
51 also exploited in pest management strategies [6, 7].

52 Under this perspective, the olive tree cultivation appears of particular interest, mainly
53 because of its wide diffusion within Mediterranean Basin and the yearly increase of
54 areas cultivated with this crop. Unfortunately, the key pest *Bactrocera oleae* (Rossi)
55 (olive fruit fly) is often associated to olive trees, which larvae can strongly affect quality
56 and quantity of olives and oil [8, 9]. Control of this insect, as well as other tephritid pests,
57 is very complex and generally relies on integrated pest management (IPM) strategies
58 which include synthetic insecticides, repellent minerals, baited traps and biocontrol
59 agents [10-14]. Moreover, serious olive diseases can be caused by fungal plant

60 pathogens including *Spilocaea oleagina*, *Colletotrichum* spp. and *Pseudocercospora* spp.
61 [15].

62 In a recent companion study, we investigated the fungal microbial community of olive
63 fruit flies using a molecular method based on the amplification of the Internal
64 Transcribed Spacer 2 (ITS2) region of the ribosomal DNA (rDNA) with universal primers,
65 the cloning of amplicons and the Sanger sequencing of a representative number of
66 clones [16]. In that study, we provided inedited information about the *B. oleae* fungal
67 microbiota, that was dominated by fungi associated to the olive sooty moulds like
68 *Cladosporium* spp., *Alternaria* spp. and *Aureobasidium* spp. [17]. Furthermore, relevant
69 fungal pathogens including *Colletotrichum* spp. and *Pseucercospora cladosporioides*
70 were also detected [16, 18, 19]. The presence of these fungi on the body of the olive
71 fruit fly is likely to affect their epidemiology, as they can exploit insects as carrier to
72 spread [3, 4]. In particular, pathogens affecting fruit such as *Colletotrichum* species may
73 be enhanced during the infection process through ovipositing wounds [4].

74 In recent years, High Throughput Sequencing (HTS) technologies, combined with
75 amplicon targeted sequencing, made easier to comprehensively study the microbial
76 communities on any type of matrix [20-22]. The main advantage of this technique, over
77 culture-dependent methods, is the ability to theoretically detect all organisms that
78 possesses the targeted barcode gene. This includes uncultivable organisms and rare taxa
79 that are usually not detected by culturing techniques and less powerful approaches
80 based on fragment cloning and Sanger sequencing [16]. The ITS regions of the ribosomal
81 rDNA are the most used DNA barcodes in fungal metabarcoding since they can be easily

82 amplified and sequenced with universal primers, and their sequences are highly
83 represented in genetic databases [23, 24]. The choice of using either ITS1 or ITS2 is
84 optional since these regions share many properties, enabling similar discrimination
85 levels, although the ITS2 is generally preferred due to its wider diffusion in public
86 databases. Furthermore, performing the metabarcoding only on ITS2 region led to a
87 series of advantages, mainly due to the low variability of its length (reduced sequencing
88 bias). In this way, it is also possible to avoid the amplification of the highly conserved
89 5.8S region, that could lead to the formation of chimeric ITS1-ITS2 amplicons from
90 different species [25, 26]. A major drawback of the ITS regions as barcode genes concern
91 difficulties in discriminating phylogenetically related fungal species, that may have
92 almost identical sequences but completely different ecology, including pathogenicity.
93 This disadvantage may be partially solved by combining bioinformatics and phylogenetic
94 analysis of unique representative sequences, along with validated reference sequences
95 [22, 27, 28]. These analyses may enable the exploitation of all available genetic
96 variations within the ITS2 region and the identification of detected taxa with the highest
97 possible level of accuracy [22, 28].

98 The aim of this study was the accurate investigation of the whole fungal microbiota
99 associated to male and female individuals of the olive fruit fly, using an amplicon
100 metabarcoding approach based on 454 pyrosequencing. The use of a HTS approach
101 yielded a much wider range of amplicons compared to our previous study and enabled
102 an in depth analysis of the fungal diversity associated to *B. oleae*. This approach was
103 combined with specific phylogenetic analyses, in order to enable the best possible
104 identification of major detected taxa, giving particular attention to plant pathogens.

105 **Materials and methods**

106 ***Sampling and DNA extraction***

107 Samples were collected in the beginning of November 2014 in Rizziconi, Calabria, Italy
108 (38°40' N, 15°92' E) in sites randomly selected among olive orchards within a 100
109 hectares wide area. Sampling sites (6 in total, approximately 1 Ha each) were
110 characterized by a similar natural vegetation and homogeneous ecological conditions
111 (300 m a.s.l., southern exposition, 5-10% of slope), olive tree age (50-70 years old),
112 cultivar (Ottobratica) and planting pattern (10×10 m). Thirty insects (15 males and 15
113 females) were individually collected using sterile plastic vials from each sampling site in
114 order to get a total of 180 specimens. Insects were kept at low temperature (≈5°C) for a
115 maximum of 5 hours and then stored at -80°C. Each insect was crushed in the extraction
116 buffer (10 mM Tris, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 0.5% SDS) with the aid of a bead mill
117 homogenizer (5 min at 30 Hz), and the mixture was treated with Proteinase K (5Prime
118 GmbH, Germany) following the producer's protocol. Total DNA was extracted and
119 analysed by electrophoresis as described by Schena and Cooke [29]. DNA concentration
120 and quality was assessed by means of a Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo
121 Fisher Scientific Inc., USA).

122 ***454 GS FLX+ library preparation***

123 Libraries for 454 GS FLX+ sequencing were prepared using fusion primers
124 (<http://www.454.com/>) targeting the fungal ITS2 region of the rDNA [25]. PCR reactions
125 (total volume of 25 µl) were conducted using 1 µl of extracted DNA (≈50 µg), 1X Taq

126 buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 40 μM dNTPs, 1 unit of Taq polymerase (AccuPrime™, Thermo
127 Fisher) and 0.5 μM of each primer (ITS3 and ITS4) [30]. Both primers were modified to
128 construct fusion primers appropriate for 454 sequencing with adapter sequences A and
129 B, a key sequence and multiplex identifiers (MIDs) (<http://www.454.com/>). A total of 12
130 MIDs (MID 1-5-6-7-9-10-11-12-14-15-19-20) were used to identify male and female
131 specimens from each sampling site. Amplifications were performed in a Mastercycler Ep
132 Gradient S (Eppendorf, Germany) set at 94°C for 3 minutes followed by 35 cycles of 94°C
133 for 30s, 55°C for 30s and 72°C for 30s and by a final extension of 10 minutes at 72°C. The
134 fungal ITS2 region was amplified in triplicate from single flies in order to decrease the
135 stochastic variability among reactions [31]. A non-template control in which target DNA
136 was replaced by nuclease-free water was included in all PCR reactions. PCR products
137 were analysed by electrophoresis as described above, and purified using Agencourt
138 AMPure XP kit (Beckman Coulter Inc., CA, USA). For each sex and sampling site
139 amplicons were pooled together and their concentration was measured by means of
140 Qbit instrument (Applied Biosystems), and normalized to a concentration of 1 ng/μl with
141 molecular biology grade water. Ten μl of each pooled sample were sequenced by
142 Macrogen Inc. (Seoul, Korea) on 1/8 of a sequencing plate on 454 GS FLX+ System (454
143 Life Sciences, Branford, CT, USA).

144 ***HTS data processing***

145 Raw sequencing data were processed using QIIME 1.8.0 [32], setting the minimum
146 quality score to 25. Furthermore, mismatches in the primer sequence were not allowed
147 and sequences <150 bp, >1000bp, containing homopolymers >10 bp and with >6

148 ambiguous bases, were discarded. Reads were denoised using denoise wrapper [33],
149 and chimeric sequences were identified using USEARCH 6.1 algorithm [34] combining a
150 reference-based with a de novo detection. ITSx was used to extract ITS2 sequences [35].
151 Reads were then clustered into Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) using the BLAST
152 method, with 0.99 similarity threshold to the UNITE dynamic reference database [23]
153 accessed on January 2016 (<http://unite.ut.ee/>). When reads failed to hit to reference
154 database, sequences were clustered as de novo. Singletons were discarded from
155 analyses. The UNITE database was employed, using BLAST algorithm, for the taxonomic
156 identifications of OTU representative sequences.

157 The method described by Magurran and Anderson [36] was applied to decompose the
158 Species Abundance Distribution (SAD) and identify core and satellite OTUs, associated
159 to our samples. The threshold between the two categories was set as the number of
160 samples at which the SAD fitted a log-normal distribution. The core dataset was
161 employed for taxonomic and ecological aspects, while the whole community
162 composition was used in alpha and beta diversity analysis. Alpha diversity was estimated
163 through the Species Accumulation Curves (SAC) and a set of diversity indices (Shannon-
164 Weaver and Equitability). The beta diversity was tested through a Principal Coordinates
165 Analysis (PCoA) approach with 95% confidence ellipses, supporting these results with a
166 PERMANOVA non-parametric approach determined with 999 permutations. Analyses
167 were performed with QIIME 1.8.0 [32] and Microsoft® Excel® 2013.

168 ***Taxonomic identification of core taxa***

169 Since the UNITE database enabled a reliable identification of fungi only at the genus
170 level [37], all OTUs were manually re-checked for their identity using BLAST searches of
171 GenBank and Fungal Barcoding Databases (<http://www.fungalbarcoding.org/> - accessed
172 [on January 2016](#)). Furthermore, some “core” OTUs were phylogenetically analysed
173 along with related reference sequences to enable their identification with highest
174 possible level of accuracy. This latter approach was only possible when comprehensive
175 dataset of validated reference sequences were available in literature (see Fig. S1). OTUs
176 associated to “Uncultured fungi” were not analysed. For each fungal genus, sequences
177 were aligned using MUSCLE [38] and phylogenetically analysed with MEGA6 [39] using
178 a Maximum Likelihood approach with a Tamura-Nei substitution model and a Gamma
179 distributed substitution rate (1000 bootstraps for each analysis). Taxa for which it was
180 not possible to use this approach, the identification was performed through a BLAST
181 search, considering as reliable the identifications with a minimum query cover of 90%
182 and a percentage of identity greater than 95%.

183

184 **Results**

185 After processing raw pyrosequencing data (quality filtering, denoising, chimera
186 discarding), we retrieved 43,549 reads with an average of 3,660 sequences per sample
187 and a mean length of 251 bp. Almost all reads (99.74%) were associated to the kingdom
188 fungi. With a 0.99 cutoff, and without considering clusters with less than 5 sequences, a
189 total of 128 OTUs were identified. As shown in Fig. 1, the Species Accumulation Curve
190 (SAC) tend to flatten as the number of analysed sequences increased, indicating that the

191 sequencing was deep enough to detect most fungal diversity. Alpha diversity indices
192 revealed a high diversity and a low equitability of fungal taxa associated to the olive fruit
193 fly (Tab. 1). A slight higher diversity was revealed in males as compared to females (Table
194 1), but Beta diversity analyses did not show significant differences according to both
195 PCoA (Fig. S2) and PERMANOVA analyses (PseudoF = 1.13; $P = 0.20$).

196 The SAD analysis (Fig. 2), allowed the identification of 29 “core” OTUs (persistence ≥ 6
197 samples - goodness of fit $\chi^2=17.54$; $P = 0.17$). These sequences represented the 89.7%
198 of the total fungal sequences retrieved in this study. According to BLAST and
199 phylogenetic analyses 5 OTUs were identified at the species level, 8 were assigned to
200 species-complexes and 16 were only identified at the genus level (Table 2). On the other
201 hand, the satellite part of SAD included 99 OTUs, mainly represented by fungi belonging
202 to *Hannaella oryzae*, *Alternaria* sp., *Penicillium* sp., *Fusarium* sp., *Cladosporium* sp.,
203 several unidentified taxa and other little characterized fungi, with an unclear ecological
204 role (Tab. S1).

205 The genus *Cladosporium* represented the $77.81 \pm 5.34\%$ of core OTUs sequences, with a
206 total of 30,102 reads clustering into 6 OTUs. Among them, 3 OTUs were associated to
207 the *Cladosporium cladosporioides* and one to the *Cladosporium herbarum* species
208 complexes, respectively (Table 2; Fig. S1). The remaining 2 OTUs clustered within the
209 genus but did not match any currently known taxa (Fig. S1). A total of 4,344 sequences
210 ($9.78 \pm 3.93\%$) clustering in a single OTU was associated to *Alternaria* sect. *Alternata*. Also
211 the genus *Aureobasidium* was well represented ($4.64 \pm 1.34\%$), with a single OTU
212 identified as *Aureobasidium pullulans*. Finally, 1 OTU was identified as *Leptosphaerulina*

213 *chartarum* ($0.57\pm 0.21\%$) and another one was associated to the genus *Devriesia*
214 ($0.61\pm 0.07\%$) (Fig. S1).

215 Putative causal agents of plant diseases were also identified among core OTUs.
216 Specifically, we retrieved 2 OTUs belonging to the genus *Colletotrichum*. One of these
217 (COL1 – $0.72\pm 0.41\%$) was associated with *C. acutatum sensu stricto* and *C. cosmi*, while
218 the other one (COL2 – $1.12\pm 0.84\%$) clustered together with *C. gloeosporioides* (Fig. S1).
219 Another OTU accounting for the $0.36\pm 0.16\%$ of sequences was associated to *P.*
220 *cladosporioides*. Finally a group of sequences clustering in a single OTU were associated
221 to the genus *Botrytis* ($0.51\pm 0.17\%$). The remaining 15 OTUs were only analysed through
222 BLAST because of the lack of validated reference sequences (Tab. 3). According to this
223 analysis, they were associated to 4 species and 10 genera (Table 2).

224

225 **Discussion**

226 Olive is one of the most important crop around the world, and *B. oleae* represents the
227 most harmful pest, able to damage up to 100% of the production [8]. Our previous
228 survey investigated on the fungal microbiota of the olive fruit fly, providing preliminary
229 data based on a limited number of sequences [16]. Indeed, the use of a high-throughput
230 culture-independent sequencing approach provided a much high number of reads and,
231 as confirmed by rarefaction curves, enabled the analysis of the whole fungal diversity
232 associated to *B. oleae*. Furthermore, the lack of the cloning step needed in the Sanger
233 sequencing, allowed a more accurate quantitative analysis in term of relative abundance

234 of each detected taxon. In agreement with previous reports, coupling of QIIME analysis
235 together with the identification through BLAST and phylogenetic analysis, was useful to
236 identify taxa with the highest possible level of accuracy for the targeted fragment [22,
237 28]. According to these analyses, the 454 pyrosequencing confirmed all fungal genera
238 and species detected with the cloning/Sanger sequencing approach, along with new
239 previously undetected taxa. Considering both core and satellite OTUs a rich fungal
240 community was revealed and comprised fungi belonging to sooty moulds, plant
241 pathogens, and other mycetes with an undisclosed ecological role.

242 According to the earlier findings [16], the genus *Cladosporium* represented the wider
243 part of retrieved sequences, although its relative abundance (76%) was slightly lower as
244 compared to our previous study [16]. Within this genus, we identified OTUs belonging
245 to two species complexes (*C. cladosporioides* and *C. herbarum*), and other 2 OTUs that
246 may represent unknown species since they did not cluster with none of the currently
247 available sequences for this genus. The abundant presence of *Cladosporium* spp. was
248 expected, since it is one of the most common inhabitant of plants phylloplane and
249 carpoplane [40]. Sequences associated to *Alternaria* sect. *Alternata* were also widely
250 detected in our study (about 9% of sequences). This section of *Alternaria* represents not
251 only a widely known component of sooty moulds, but also a facultative pathogen of
252 both olive leaves and fruits [41, 42]. Moreover, we retrieved sequences that were
253 associated to *A. pullulans*, *Devriesia* sp. and *Epicoccum nigrum*, which together with
254 *Cladosporium* spp. and *Alternaria* spp. are the main representatives of sooty moulds
255 fungal communities [17, 40, 43].

256 A comparison of our results with those reported by Abdelfattah and colleagues [28], that
257 investigated the fungal diversity associated to olive leaves, flowers and fruit collected in
258 the same area, year and period, highlights an unexpected differential pattern of relative
259 abundance. Indeed, in our study, the sequences associated to the genus *Cladosporium*
260 were up to 42 times greater than that reported by Abdelfattah and co-workers.
261 Otherwise, sequences associated to the genus *Alternaria* showed the same pattern,
262 resulting up to 49 times more abundant on the body of *B. oleae* than that in the olive
263 phyllosphere. On the other hand, reads associated to the genus *Aureobasidium* were
264 more abundant on leaves and fruits than on insects, with values up to 10 times greater
265 on plant than on *B. oleae*. These results are of particular interest from an ecological
266 point of view since suggest a specific association between *B. oleae* and some specific
267 fungal genera. Further analyses involving a simultaneous sampling from both plant
268 tissues and insects are needed to evaluate the spatio-temporal association of the fungal
269 microbiota between plant and fly.

270 This survey highlighted also the association between *B. oleae* and fungi belonging to the
271 genus *Colletotrichum*. Specifically, we retrieved 2 OTUs, of which one (COL1) was
272 associated to *C. acutatum* s.s. and *C. cosmi*, and the second one (COL2) to *C.*
273 *gloeosporioides*. Although the ITS2 region does not enable the discrimination of very
274 closely related species such as *C. acutatum* s.s. and *C. cosmi*, the first species was also
275 detected in our previous investigation and, being widely diffused in the olive phylloplane
276 of the investigated area, it is likely to be actual detected species [44, 45]. *Colletotrichum*
277 *acutatum* s.s. is an aggressive pathogen responsible for olive anthracnose, but it was
278 considered absent in Italy until few years ago [18, 45]. The detection of this species in

279 most of the investigated samples (core OTU) indicate that the olive fruit fly may have
280 contributed to its spread. Another species, *C. godetiae*, was the most important causal
281 agent of olive anthracnose Italy until few years ago, but it was not detected on the olive
282 fly even if it was widely diffused in olive orchards of the investigated area [45, 46].
283 Further investigations are worthwhile to understand why *C. acutatum* s.s., and not *C.*
284 *godetiae*, was associated to the olive fruit fly. Indeed, the olive fruit fly is likely to act as
285 carrier of *C. acutatum* spores, supporting the spread of the conidia between olive
286 groves, and helping the infective process by creating wounds on olive fruits, with both
287 sterile and fertile punctures. Unlikely *C. acutatum* s.s., *C. gloeosporioides* is considered
288 of secondary importance as olive anthracnose agent [47], and it was not detected using
289 the cloning/Sanger sequencing, probably because of the lower sensitivity of the method.
290 Among putative plant pathogens, we also retrieved sequences associated to *Aspergillus*
291 spp., *Botrytis* sp., *Fusarium* sp. and *Pseudocercospora cladosporioides*. In particular,
292 fungi of the genus *Fusarium* have been reported being responsible of olive fruit rots [17].
293 Similarly, *P. cladosporioides* is the agent of olive cercosporiosis and can affect leaves and
294 fruits [19].

295 Furthermore, several other fungal taxa associated to *B. oleae* were detected and
296 identified at species or genus level. The determination of such a high genetic variability
297 represents an important advancement in the study of the complex interactions between
298 olive fruit fly and fungi, although currently available data does not support speculations
299 on their role and/or on the relevance of their presence on the insect. A part of retrieved
300 sequences was classified as “Unknown fungus.” This can be due to a series of factors,
301 including the high presence of sequences with unsettled nomenclature in public

302 databases. Furthermore, many fungal species associated to insects are still unknown or
303 their ITS barcode is still publicly unavailable.

304 In the previous survey [16], it was argued about the differential community composition
305 between male and female specimens. However, in this study we did not reveal such
306 difference, which probably arose from the low accurateness of the used method for
307 quantitative analyses and the limited number of sequences analysed.

308 The results of the present study highlights the need of further investigations to assess
309 the ecological role of identified taxa. Particularly interesting is the difference in the
310 presence of fungi, such as *Cladosporium*, *Alternaria*, *Aureobasidium* and *Devriesia*,
311 found on insects and olive trees in the same sites and time [28]. This opens new ways
312 for the definition of the microbial ecology of this particular ecological niche, in particular
313 taking into account the presence of plant pathogens associated to this pest (e.g.
314 *Pseudocercospora* spp. and *Colletotrichum* spp.). This study represent a further step to
315 define the ecological role of the olive fruit fly, not only as direct source of damage, but
316 also as a major component of olive agroecosystem and as a vector of plant pathogenic
317 fungi. The knowledge of the composition and the dynamics of fungal communities
318 within the olive agroecosystem, could be pivotal in shaping the future generation of pest
319 management and control strategies.

320 **Data accessibility**

321 Raw data from 454 sequencing is available on NCBI SRA database under the Project
322 Accession ID: SRP089714. Sequences used for phylogenetic analysis are available as
323 Supplementary material 2.

324

325 **Author contribution**

326 All authors designed the research. LS and VP supervised and coordinated the work. AM,
327 FL, SM and OC provided samples. AM performed lab work, data analysis and data
328 interpretation. AM wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to,
329 corrected and approved the final manuscript.

330

331 **Acknowledgements**

332 This work was partially funded by SAF@MED (PON a3_00016) – PON Ricerca e
333 competitività 2007–2013, and PON PON03PE_00090_2 “Modelli sostenibili e nuove
334 tecnologie per la valorizzazione delle olive e dell'olio extravergine di oliva prodotto in
335 Calabria” – PON Ricerca e competitività 2007–2013.

336

337 **Conflict of interest**

338 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

339


340 References

- 341 1. Boucias DG, Lietze V-U, Teal P (2012) Chemical signals that mediate insect-fungal
342 interactions. *Biocommunication of Fungi*. Springer, pp. 305-336
- 343 2. Six DL (2012) Ecological and evolutionary determinants of bark beetle—fungus
344 symbioses. *Insects* 3: 339-366.
- 345 3. Hatcher PE (1995) Three - way interactions between plant pathogenic fungi,
346 herbivorous insects and their host plants. *Biological Reviews* 70: 639-694.
- 347 4. Tack AJ, Dicke M (2013) Plant pathogens structure arthropod communities
348 across multiple spatial and temporal scales. *Functional Ecology* 27: 633-645.
- 349 5. Ploetz RC, Hulcr J, Wingfield MJ, De Beer ZW (2013) Destructive tree diseases
350 associated with ambrosia and bark beetles: black swan events in tree pathology?
351 *Plant Disease* 97: 856-872.
- 352 6. Yousef M, Quesada-Moraga E, Garrido-Jurado I (2015) Compatibility of
353 herbicides used in olive orchards with a *Metarhizium brunneum* strain used for
354 the control of preimaginal stages of tephritids in the soil. *Journal of Pest Science*
355 88: 605-612. doi: 10.1007/s10340-014-0632-0
- 356 7. Shah P, Pell J (2003) Entomopathogenic fungi as biological control agents.
357 *Applied microbiology and biotechnology* 61: 413-423.
- 358 8. Malheiro R, Casal S, Baptista P, Pereira JA (2015) A review of *Bactrocera oleae*
359 (Rossi) impact in olive products: from the tree to the table. *Trends in Food*
360 *Science & Technology* 44: 226-242.
- 361 9. Daane KM, Johnson MW (2010) Olive fruit fly: managing an ancient pest in
362 modern times. *Annual review of entomology* 55: 151-169.
- 363 10. Bengochea P, Budia F, Viñuela E, Medina P (2014) Are kaolin and copper
364 treatments safe to the olive fruit fly parasitoid *Psytalia concolor*? *Journal of pest*
365 *science* 87: 351-359.
- 366 11. Benelli G, Carpita A, Simoncini S, Raspi A, Canale A (2014) For sex and more:
367 attraction of the tephritid parasitoid *Psytalia concolor* (Hymenoptera:
368 Braconidae) to male sex pheromone of the olive fruit fly, *Bactrocera oleae*.
369 *Journal of pest science* 87: 449-457.
- 370 12. Benelli G, Daane KM, Canale A, Niu C-Y, Messing RH, Vargas RI (2014) Sexual
371 communication and related behaviours in Tephritidae: current knowledge and
372 potential applications for Integrated Pest Management. *Journal of Pest Science*
373 87: 385-405.
- 374 13. Campolo O, Palmeri V, Malacrinò A, Laudani F, Castracani C, Mori A, Grasso DA
375 (2015) Interaction between ants and the Mediterranean fruit fly: New insights
376 for biological control. *Biological Control* 90: 120-127.
- 377 14. Hsu J-C, Huang L-H, Feng H-T, Su W-Y (2015) Do organophosphate-based traps
378 reduce control efficiency of resistant tephritid flies? *Journal of Pest Science* 88:
379 181-190.
- 380 15. Schena L, Agosteo G, Cacciola SO (2011) Olive diseases and disorders. *Transworld*
381 *Research Network*, Kerala, India

- 382 16. Malacrinò A, Schena L, Campolo O, Laudani F, Palmeri V (2015) Molecular
383 analysis of the fungal microbiome associated with the olive fruit fly *Bactrocera*
384 *oleae*. *Fungal Ecology* 18: 67-74.
- 385 17. Frisullo S, Carlucci A (2011) Minor fungal diseases of olives. In: Schena, L,
386 Agosteo, G, Cacciola, SO (eds.) *Olive diseases and disorders*. Transworld
387 Research Network, Kerala, India, pp. 291-304
- 388 18. Cacciola S, Faedda R, Sinatra F, Agosteo G, Schena L, Frisullo S, di San Lio GM
389 (2012) Olive anthracnose. *Journal of Plant Pathology* 94: 29-44.
- 390 19. Nigro F, Ferrara M (2011) Olive Cercosporiosis. In: Schena, L, Agosteo, G,
391 Cacciola, SO (eds.) *Olive diseases and disorder*. Transworld Research Network,
392 Kerala, India, pp. 247-258
- 393 20. Müller T, Ruppel S (2014) Progress in cultivation-independent phyllosphere
394 microbiology. *FEMS microbiology ecology* 87: 2-17.
- 395 21. Taylor MW, Tsai P, Anfang N, Ross HA, Goddard MR (2014) Pyrosequencing
396 reveals regional differences in fruit - associated fungal communities.
397 *Environmental microbiology* 16: 2848-2858.
- 398 22. Prigigallo MI, Abdelfattah A, Cacciola SO, Faedda R, Sanzani SM, Cooke DE,
399 Schena L (2016) Metabarcoding Analysis of *Phytophthora* Diversity Using Genus-
400 Specific Primers and 454 Pyrosequencing. *Phytopathology* 106: 305-313.
- 401 23. Kõljalg U, Larsson KH, Abarenkov K, Nilsson RH, Alexander IJ, Eberhardt U, Erland
402 S, Høiland K, Kjølner R, Larsson E (2005) UNITE: a database providing web - based
403 methods for the molecular identification of ectomycorrhizal fungi. *New*
404 *Phytologist* 166: 1063-1068.
- 405 24. Pruesse E, Quast C, Knittel K, Fuchs BM, Ludwig W, Peplies J, Glöckner FO (2007)
406 SILVA: a comprehensive online resource for quality checked and aligned
407 ribosomal RNA sequence data compatible with ARB. *Nucleic acids research* 35:
408 7188-7196.
- 409 25. Bellemain E, Carlsen T, Brochmann C, Coissac E, Taberlet P, Kausrud H (2010)
410 ITS as an environmental DNA barcode for fungi: an in silico approach reveals
411 potential PCR biases. *BMC microbiology* 10: 1.
- 412 26. Ihrmark K, Bödeker IT, Cruz-Martinez K, Friberg H, Kubartova A, Schenck J, Strid
413 Y, Stenlid J, Brandström-Durling M, Clemmensen KE (2012) New primers to
414 amplify the fungal ITS2 region—evaluation by 454-sequencing of artificial and
415 natural communities. *FEMS microbiology ecology* 82: 666-677.
- 416 27. Prigigallo M, Mosca S, Cacciola S, Cooke D, Schena L (2015) Molecular analysis of
417 *Phytophthora* diversity in nursery - grown ornamental and fruit plants. *Plant*
418 *Pathology* 64: 1308-1319.
- 419 28. Abdelfattah A, Nicosia MGLD, Cacciola SO, Droby S, Schena L (2015)
420 Metabarcoding analysis of fungal diversity in the phyllosphere and carposphere
421 of olive (*Olea europaea*). *PLoS one* 10: e0131069.
- 422 29. Schena L, Cooke DE (2006) Assessing the potential of regions of the nuclear and
423 mitochondrial genome to develop a “molecular tool box” for the detection and
424 characterization of *Phytophthora* species. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*
425 67: 70-85.

- 426 30. White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of
427 fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. PCR protocols: a guide to
428 methods and applications 18: 315-322.
- 429 31. Schmidt P-A, Bálint M, Greshake B, Bandow C, Römbke J, Schmitt I (2013)
430 Illumina metabarcoding of a soil fungal community. Soil Biology and
431 Biochemistry 65: 128-132.
- 432 32. Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK,
433 Fierer N, Pena AG, Goodrich JK, Gordon JI (2010) QIIME allows analysis of high-
434 throughput community sequencing data. Nature methods 7: 335-336.
- 435 33. Reeder J, Knight R (2010) Rapid denoising of pyrosequencing amplicon data:
436 exploiting the rank-abundance distribution. Nature methods 7: 668.
- 437 34. Edgar RC, Haas BJ, Clemente JC, Quince C, Knight R (2011) UCHIME improves
438 sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics 27: 2194-2200.
- 439 35. Bengtsson - Palme J, Ryberg M, Hartmann M, Branco S, Wang Z, Godhe A, Wit
440 P, Sánchez - García M, Ebersberger I, Sousa F (2013) Improved software
441 detection and extraction of ITS1 and ITS2 from ribosomal ITS sequences of fungi
442 and other eukaryotes for analysis of environmental sequencing data. Methods
443 in Ecology and Evolution 4: 914-919.
- 444 36. Magurran AE, Henderson PA (2003) Explaining the excess of rare species in
445 natural species abundance distributions. Nature 422: 714-716.
- 446 37. Knief C (2014) Analysis of plant microbe interactions in the era of next generation
447 sequencing technologies. Frontiers in plant science 5: 216.
- 448 38. Edgar RC (2004) MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and
449 high throughput. Nucleic acids research 32: 1792-1797.
- 450 39. Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, FilipSKI A, Kumar S (2013) MEGA6: molecular
451 evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Molecular biology and evolution 30:
452 2725-2729.
- 453 40. Flessa F, Peršoh D, Rambold G (2012) Annuality of Central European deciduous
454 tree leaves delimits community development of epifoliar pigmented fungi.
455 Fungal Ecology 5: 554-561.
- 456 41. El-Morsy E, Dohlob S, Hyde K (2006) Diversity of *Alternaria alternata* a common
457 destructive pathogen of *Eichhornia crassipes* in Egypt and its potential use in
458 biological control. Fungal Diversity 23: 139-158.
- 459 42. Woudenberg J, Groenewald J, Binder M, Crous P (2013) *Alternaria* redefined.
460 Studies in Mycology 75: 171-212.
- 461 43. Chomnunti P, Hongsanan S, Aguirre-Hudson B, Tian Q, Peršoh D, Dhama MK, Alias
462 AS, Xu J, Liu X, Stadler M (2014) The sooty moulds. Fungal Diversity 66: 1-36.
- 463 44. Damm U, Cannon P, Woudenberg J, Crous P (2012) The *Colletotrichum acutatum*
464 species complex. Studies in Mycology 73: 37-113.
- 465 45. Mosca S, Nicosia MGLD, Cacciola SO, Schena L (2014) Molecular analysis of
466 *colletotrichum* species in the carposphere and phyllosphere of olive. PloS one 9:
467 e114031.
- 468 46. Faedda R, Agosteo GE, Schena L, Mosca S, Frisullo S, di San Lio GM, Cacciola SO
469 (2011) *Colletotrichum clavatum* sp. nov. identified as the causal agent of olive
470 anthracnose in Italy. Phytopathologia Mediterranea 50: 283-302.

471 47. Schena L, Mosca S, Cacciola S, Faedda R, Sanzani S, Agosteo G, Sergeeva V,
 472 Magnano di San Lio G (2014) Species of the *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* and
 473 *C. boninense* complexes associated with olive anthracnose. *Plant pathology* 63:
 474 437-446.




475
 476 Fig. 1 Rarefied species accumulation curves (SACs) for both male and female specimens

Table 1 Diversity indices of fungal communities associated to *B. oleae*

	Shannon-Weaver index	Equitability index
Female	1.145	0.2544
Male	1.682	0.3501
Total	1.528	0.3149

477



478

479 Fig. 2 Separation of core and satellite OTUs of the fungal community between core and

480 satellite OTUs set through process iterated until the *B. oleae*.associated toSpecies
 481 abundance distribution (a) based on abundance class distribution and b fit a log-normal
 482 distributiondashed linesabundance/persistence of OTUs, with on the threshold

Table 2 Fungal taxa associated to core OTUs detected in the present study and corresponding relative abundance (RA)

Taxa*	OTU	RA (% ± SE)
^(a) <i>Cladogonium cf. cladogonium</i> s.e.	CLA1	76.28 ± 5.23
	CLA2	4.77 ± 0.32
	CLA3	0.15 ± 0.11
^(b) <i>Alternaria</i> sect. <i>Alutaria</i>	ALT1	9.79 ± 3.94
^(b) <i>Aureobasidium pyriforme</i>	AURE1	4.64 ± 1.34
<i>Spicocum nigrae</i>	SP1	1.24 ± 0.29
^(b) <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> sensu str.	COL2	1.12 ± 0.34
<i>Limoglyphis globosus</i>	LIM2	1.03 ± 0.48
^(b) <i>Cladobotryum apiculatum</i> sensu str.	COL3	0.71 ± 0.46
^(b) <i>Dothidea</i> sp.	DO1	0.61 ± 0.29
^(b) <i>Hyphoglyphis alutaria</i>	LEM	0.57 ± 0.31
^(b) <i>Dothidea</i> sp.	DO2	0.51 ± 0.17
<i>Rachistocerospora</i> sp.	RAC1	0.44 ± 0.25
^(b) <i>Dothidea</i> sp.	DO3	0.38 ± 0.18
^(b) <i>Cladobotryum hirsutum</i> sp.	COL4	0.29 ± 0.15
<i>Dothidea</i> sp.	DO4	0.26 ± 0.11
<i>Hyphoglyphis alutaria</i>	LEM2	0.25 ± 0.09
<i>Dothidea</i> sp.	DO5	0.23 ± 0.14
<i>Dothidea</i> sp.	DO6	0.19 ± 0.06
<i>Dothidea</i> sp.	DO7	0.16 ± 0.08
^(b) <i>Cladobotryum</i> sp.	COL5	0.15 ± 0.15
^(b) <i>Cladobotryum</i> sp.	COL6	0.13 ± 0.08
<i>Agropyllus</i> sp.	AGP1	0.09 ± 0.04
<i>Pezizium</i> sp.	PEZ1	0.09 ± 0.05
<i>Pezizium</i> sp.	PEZ2	0.08 ± 0.04
<i>Pezizium</i> sp.	PEZ3	0.07 ± 0.03
<i>Agropyllus</i> sp.	AGP2	0.06 ± 0.02

*Taxa marked with ^(a) were identified according to their BLAST and phylogenetic analyses. Other taxa were only identified according to BLAST analysis

483