



Università degli Studi Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria
Archivio Istituzionale dei prodotti della ricerca

A modified Catchment Connectivity Index for applications in semi-arid torrents of the Mediterranean environment

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Original

A modified Catchment Connectivity Index for applications in semi-arid torrents of the Mediterranean environment / Bombino, G., Boix-Fayos, C., Cataldo, M.F., D'Agostino, D., Denisi, P., de Vente, J., Labate, A., Zema, D.A.. - In: RIVER RESEARCH AND APPLICATIONS. - ISSN 1535-1459. - 36:735(2020), p. 748. [10.1002/rra.3606]

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12318/59062> since: 2024-10-04T09:21:01Z

Published

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1002/rra.3606>

The final published version is available online at: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/rra.3606>

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website

Publisher copyright

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria (<https://iris.unirc.it/>) When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

1 *This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:*
2

3 ***Bombino, G., Boix-Fayos, C., Cataldo, M. F., D'agostino, D., Denisi, P., de Vente, J., ... & Zema,***
4 ***D. A. (2020). A modified Catchment Connectivity Index for applications in semi-arid torrents of***
5 ***the Mediterranean environment. River Research and Applications, 36(5), 735-748.***
6

7 *which has been published in final doi*
8

9 [10.1002/rra.3606](https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.3606)
10

11 (<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/rra.3606>)
12

13 *The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the*
14 *publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website*

15 **A modified Catchment Connectivity Index for applications in semi-arid torrents of the**
16 **Mediterranean environment**

17
18 *A modified sediment connectivity index for Mediterranean catchments*

19
20 Giuseppe Bombino¹, Carolina Boix-Fayos², Maria Francesca Cataldo¹, Daniela D'Agostino¹, Pietro
21 Denisi^{1,*}, Joris de Vente², Antonino Labate¹, Demetrio Antonio Zema¹

22
23 (1) Department AGRARIA, University Mediterranea of Reggio Calabria, Loc. Feo di Vito, 89122
24 Reggio Calabria (Italy)

25 (2) Departamento de Conservación de Suelos y Agua y Manejo de Residuos Orgánicos, Grupo de
26 Erosión y Conservación de Suelos, CEBAS-CSIC, Campus de Espinardo, 30100 Murcia (Spain)

27
28 * Corresponding author, pietro.denisi@unirc.it

29
30 **Abstract**

31
32 The importance of sediment connectivity for watershed management needs accurate quantification
33 tools, particularly in Mediterranean torrents, where soil erosion and sediment transport are often not
34 tolerable. This study proposes a modified version (*mCCI*) of the Catchment Connectivity Index
35 (*CCI*), theorised by Quiñonero-Rubio et al. (2013). The modified index improves the calculation
36 procedure, providing a more efficient description of the hydrological and geomorphological factors
37 of *CCI* and making easier its applicability for the operators with less field experience.

38 The *mCCI* is applied to evaluate the sediment connectivity at the catchment scale in a torrent of
39 Calabria (Southern Italy). This case study has shown how and by what extent the natural (climate
40 changes) and human impacts (land-use changes and check dam installation) have influenced the
41 geomorphic processes influencing sediment circulation in the studied basin throughout six decades.
42 From 1955 to 2012, a general decrease in sediment connectivity has been caught by *mCCI*. This
43 was the combined effects of greening-up processes of the catchment (due to both natural
44 afforestation and human-induced reforestation) and the installation of check dams, which have
45 decreased the catchment potential to circulating sediments. Overall, the *mCCI* can be used as an
46 analytical tool to evaluate the influence of past or future changes in natural and human-induced
47 changes in land use and climate actions by comparing scenarios of torrent connectivity.

48

49 **Keywords:** Soil erosion, sediment transport, sedimentation, connectivity index, land use change,
50 check dams

51

52 **1. INTRODUCTION**

53

54 River management often requires proper restoration actions, in order to assure the
55 geomorphological and ecological *equilibrium* at the catchment scale. Erosion control is one of the
56 most important issues in river management planning and operation, particularly in catchments
57 where huge amounts of sediments are mobilised by floods. The locations and distances to which the
58 eroded sediments are transported depend on the connectivity within the catchment system
59 (Sandercock and Hooke, 2003). Hooke (2003) defines the sediment connectivity as the physical
60 linkage of sediment through the channel system or the potential for a specific soil particle to move
61 through the river system. The related concept is taken to mean the physical linkage of sediment
62 through the channel system. Thus, sediment connectivity becomes an emergent property of the river
63 state, interpreting the continuity and strength of runoff and sediment fluxes at a given point of a
64 catchment in time (Heckmann et al., 2018; Surian et al., 2009).

65 In the last decades, many studies have been targeted at evaluating the sediment connectivity in
66 riverine channel systems at varied-detail scales, also supported by field observations (Grauso et al.,
67 2018). However, sediment connectivity assessment and quantification is a quite difficult task
68 (Harper et al., 2017), since (i) it cannot be measured explicitly (Turnbull et al., 2018) and (ii) the
69 number of factors that can modify the sediment connectivity in a river system is very large, as
70 mentioned above. To overcome these problems, a number of frameworks to evaluate sediment
71 connectivity in a catchment have been proposed in the last decades and applied in several climatic
72 and environmental contexts (e.g. Walling and Zhang, 2004; Borselli et al., 2008; Cavalli et al.,
73 2013; Grauso et al., 2018; Quiñonero-Rubio et al., 2013; Lane et al., 2017), of which a
74 comprehensive review is available in the paper of Heckmann et al. (2018). However, few of these
75 indexes have been purposely prepared to study and evaluate the sediment connectivity of the
76 ephemeral torrents of the Mediterranean areas, affected by particular meteorological drivers
77 (precipitation and temperature), high soil erodibility, and flood risk (Fortugno et al., 2017).
78 Therefore, the availability of simple but effective sediment connectivity indices to be applied in
79 Mediterranean torrents may be helpful for evaluating of the hydrological response in terms of water
80 and sediment flows.

81 Recently, the Catchment Connectivity Index (*CCI*) has been proposed by Quiñonero-Rubio et al.
82 (2013) and applied in Alto Taibilla River (South-East Spain). Using *CCI*, these authors estimated

83 the sediment connectivity in this ephemeral torrent of the Mediterranean environment, subjected to
84 different historical land-use patterns including reforestation and control works. Some of the
85 strengths of this index are: (i) the evaluation of the sediment connectivity at different spatial scales;
86 (ii) the inclusion of transversal and longitudinal connectivity; and (iii) the combination of different
87 data sources (modelling, field data and orthophoto-interpretation). However, the procedure to
88 calculate this index can be improved by a more efficient description of the hydrological and
89 geomorphological parameters composing *CCI*; moreover, the *CCI* applicability can be made easier
90 for the operators with less field experience.

91 To achieve these goals, this study proposes the *modified CCI* (henceforth *mCCI*), which is a revised
92 version of the original *CCI* of Quinonero-Rubio et al. (2013). The *mCCI* requires lower field
93 surveys (whose reliability strictly depends on the ability and experience of the operators), thanks to
94 a larger use of GIS software for calculating the *mCCI* factors. Moreover, its ability to interpret the
95 sediment connectivity at the catchment scale is assessed in the Sant'Agata torrent (Calabria,
96 Southern Italy), which exhibits the typical climatic and geomorphological conditions of the
97 ephemeral watercourses of the Mediterranean environment. Applying the *mCCI*, the current
98 connectivity degree of the catchment and the changes in the sediment connectivity over a period of
99 fifty years - in which a decreasing hydrological trend, changes in land use, and check dam
100 installation have occurred – have been evaluated.

101

102 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

103

104 **2.1. Outlines to the original index**

105

106 The *CCI* proposed Quinonero-Rubio et al. (2013) is based on a semi-quantitative assessment of
107 hydrological and geomorphological factors (Eq. 1), using remote sensing (analysis of aerial
108 photography), hydrological modeling (waTEM/SEDEM model [De Vente et al., 2008]), GIS
109 analysis and field observations. More details about the structure and the calculation procedure of the
110 *CCI* can be found in the related paper.

111

112 *2.1.1. The equation for calculating the CCI*

113

114 The authors defined the original *CCI* as follows:

$$115 \quad CCI = \left(\frac{TC_{av}}{TC_{max}} \right) \left(\frac{100 - TE_{av}}{100} \right) \left(\frac{GF_{av}}{GF_{max}} \right) \left(\frac{SP_{av}}{SP_{max}} \right) \left(\frac{FC_{av}}{FC_{av_max}} \right) \quad (1)$$

116 where TC (Transport Capacity) is the sediment transport capacity within the catchment (hillslopes
 117 and channels), TE (Trap Efficiency) is the capacity of sediment retention behind check dams, GF is
 118 the Geomorphological Factor, SP (Stream Power) is the sediment transport capacity in channels,
 119 and FC (Flow Conditions) expresses the conditions of flow channels (continuous and discontinuous
 120 flow condition). The subscripts av and max in the Eq. 1 for calculating CCI indicate, respectively,
 121 the average and the maximum value of these factors within the catchment. Since each factor is
 122 normalized, its range is 0 to 1, that is, from a lower to higher connectivity, respectively. Thanks to
 123 the standardisation, the range of possible values for each factor is 0 to 1, from a lower to a higher
 124 connectivity, respectively.

125

126 2.1.2. The factors composing the CCI

127

128 TC (Eq. 2), has different values according to different land use scenarios by the k_{tc} parameter. TC is
 129 given by the following equation:

$$130 \quad TC = k_{tc} RKA^{1.4} S^{1.4} \quad (2)$$

131 where R and K are RUSLE factors (Wischmeyer and Smith, 1978), determining rainfall erosivity
 132 and soil erodibility, A is the contributing area and S is the terrain slope. The values of k_{tc} derive
 133 from a reclassification of the RUSLE C -factor values, shown by a related map according to the C
 134 distribution for each land use (Borselli *et al.*, 2008). The area covered by the channels is considered
 135 in the analysis. Values of k_{tc} are calibrated assuming as optimal those obtained in previous works
 136 where the model was calibrated by WaTEM/SEDEM (optimizing values: $k_{tc-low} = 2 \times 10^{-6}$ and k_{tc-}
 137 $high = 2 \times 10^{-5}$) (Boix-Fayos *et al.*, 2008; Quiñonero *et al.*, 2016).

138 TE (Eq. 3), limits the transfer of sediment downstream, since the material is stored into drainage
 139 areas artificially created by the check dam; this factor is the trap efficiency proposed by Brown
 140 (1943):

$$141 \quad TE = 100 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + 0.0021 D \frac{C}{W}} \right) \quad (3)$$

142 where C is the reservoir storage capacity (m^3), W is the catchment area (km^2), D is a value ranging
 143 from 0.046 to 1 (with a mean value of 0.1), all of them being dependent on the characteristics of the
 144 artificial reservoir.

145 GF explains the degree (that is, the fraction) of sediment connectivity of a sub-catchment due to
 146 geomorphological conditions at the confluence of a tributary channel with the main channel of a

147 catchment. It can have values of 1 or 0.5 depending whether the tributary channel is connected or
148 not to the main channel, respectively.

149 *SP* (Eq. 4), unlike *TC* slopes, does not consider changes in land use, being only oriented to sediment
150 transport through the channels, not from hillslopes. *SP* is proportional to *A* and *S*; *m* and *n* are two
151 empirical values, equal to 1.4 in the work of Quiñonero-Rubio *et al.* (2013), as suggested by Prosser
152 and Rustomji (2000):

$$153 \quad SP = A^m S^n \quad (4)$$

154 *FC* expresses the continuity and persistence of flow in the channels, with values of 1 or 0.5
155 depending whether flow is permanent or ephemeral, respectively.

156

157 **2.2. Suggested improvements of *CCI* (*mCCI*)**

158

159 In this study, modifications have been made on all *CCI* factors (*TC*, *TE*, *GF*, *FC*), except *SP*, which
160 is implemented only by a different normalization.

161

162 *2.2.1. The *TC* factor*

163

164 The use of WaTEM/SEDEM model provides only two values for the subfactor k_{tc} of *TC* (k_{tc} -low
165 and k_{tc} -high), which do not express in detail the variability of the land cover of an area. For this
166 reason, in the *mCCI* the USLE-C factor (better consolidated in literature) is instead proposed in the
167 *mCCI* to obtain more than two classes.

168

169 *2.2.2. The *TE* factor*

170

171 For the *TE* factor, Brown (1943) proposed values of *D* close to 1 (i.e., high *TE*) for reservoirs in
172 regions with smaller and more variable runoff. More specifically, the original *TE* of Brown depends
173 on the *C/W* ratio. However, the use of this ratio could lead to very different *TE* values (Brune,
174 1953), since *TE* depends on runoff volumes or other hydrological characteristics (whose values are
175 often not available in the Mediterranean catchments). Since the *TE* of *CCI* may be affected by a
176 large error, which weighs on the overall *CCI* value, the *TE* expression of Brown is replaced in the
177 *mCCI* by an index ($TE = 1 - V_s$) that provides a more accurate estimate of the ability of an artificial
178 reservoir (such as a check dam) to store sediment in the channel. *TE* expresses the residual capacity
179 of a barrier to store sediment, that is, the difference between the total trap capacity (equal to 1) and
180 the volume of sediment effectively retained behind the barrier (V_s). This sedimentary zone can be

181 considered as a prism with a trapezoidal section.

182 The limit of the sedimentary wedge/area can be identified upstream of each barrier by the changes
183 in longitudinal gradients or surface grain size. The surface of this sediment wedge can be mapped
184 by GPS.

185 Whereas the equation for calculating TE in the original expression of CCI has an empirical nature
186 (mainly in the estimation of the parameter D , C and W), the TE proposed in the $mCCI$ can be
187 derived from the actual feature (shape and geometry) of a geomorphological feature of a channel
188 and estimated by aerial maps or, in its absence, by field surveys with low possibility of errors.

189

190 2.2.3. The GF factor

191

192 In the CCI the GF factor is calculated in fieldwork and, as explained above, the errors in its
193 estimation (depending on the ability and experience of the field operators) can be high when the
194 surveyor has low skills and experience. In order to make more realistic the evaluation and reduce
195 the errors for the GF factor, the use of the terrain profile curvature (longitudinal and tangential
196 directions) is proposed in the $mCCI$, in order to calculate and tangential GF factors. Longitudinal
197 curvature belongs to the vertical plane parallel to the slope direction, identified by Shary (1995) and
198 Florinsky (1998) as vertical curvature. It measures the slope variability and influences the surface
199 water flow velocity and thus the downstream flow of water and sediment. In the following the two
200 GF factors will be indicated as GF_{long} (along to the longitudinal profile direction) and GF_{tan} (along
201 the perpendicular direction).

202 GF values can be easily calculated by a common GIS-based on a DEM of the study catchment.
203 After the normalization, GF ranges from 1 (negative values of curvature, that is, concave terrain) to
204 0 (for higher values of curvature, that is, the convex shape of terrain). Therefore, GF factor gives
205 information about the local shape of the terrain (convexity or concavity) using the values of
206 longitudinal and tangential curvatures. There is a reduction or a lack of connectivity in
207 correspondence of sediment accumulation (buffers) originated by natural (floodplain areas or areas
208 with very low slope) or artificial (flat agricultural areas occupying and filling ephemeral channels)
209 conditions (Quiñonero-Rubio et al., 2013).

210 The terrain profile curvature by GIS procedure in the $mCCI$, is able to better discriminate -
211 compared to the CCI , relying on field observations - the connections between the main channel and
212 tributaries at the pixel scale. Furthermore, GIS approach is able to overcome the limit reported by
213 Heckmann et al. (2018), who stated that (dis) connectivity operates at a landform scale and not at a
214 raster cell scale.

215

216 2.2.4. The FC factor

217

218 In *CCI* also the *FC* factor is determined by operators in fieldwork, which requires experience and
219 can be time consuming. The original *FC* factor of Quiñonero-Rubio et al. (2013) is replaced in the
220 *mCCI* by the difference (if positive, otherwise *FC* has a value of 0.5) of: (a) short-term precipitation
221 given by flow duration curves at a return interval of two years (which determines the most frequent
222 hydrological regime in Mediterranean torrents) and a duration equal to the catchment concentration
223 time, t_c ; (b) the initial abstraction (I_a), calculated by SCS-CN method. In other words, when the
224 precipitation depth exceeds I_a , the channel has permanent flow and $FC = 1$, otherwise, FC is set to
225 0.5.

226

227 2.2.5. Calculation of the *mCCI*

228

229 As outlined above, the *CCI* requires the normalization of all factors, made by Eq. (5):

$$230 \quad x_{norm} = \frac{x_{av}}{x_{max}} \quad (5)$$

231 If this equation is applied to the factors of the *mCCI*, in some cases (extreme values of DEM), the
232 normalization provides very small values, which may become unrealistic. In the *mCCI* a different
233 normalization method (Eq. 6) is proposed for all factors, except for *GF*, where instead the Eq. (7) is
234 assumed, and for *TE*, which, unlike the other factors, is directly expressed as a percentage.

$$235 \quad x_{norm} = \frac{x - x_{min}}{x_{max} - x_{min}} \quad (6)$$

$$236 \quad x_{norm} = \frac{x_{max} - x}{x_{max} - x_{min}} \quad (7)$$

237 Contrarily to the range of values of the *CCI*, the *mCCI* index is expressed as the binary logarithm of
238 the product among the factors, in order to reproduce the very large range of values of the sediment
239 connectivity. All *mCCI* factors being in the range [0, 1], the binary logarithm is in the range $[-\infty, 0]$.
240 To avoid negative values, the absolute value of the *mCCI* is taken. Accordingly, the *mCCI* is
241 calculated using equation (9):

$$242 \quad mCCI = \left| \log_2 \left[\left(\frac{TC - TC_{min}}{TC_{max} - TC_{min}} \right) \left(\frac{GF_{tan_norm} + GF_{prof_norm}}{2} \right) \left(\frac{SP - SP_{min}}{SP_{max} - SP_{min}} \right) \left(\frac{FC - FC_{min}}{FC_{max} - FC_{min}} \right) \cdot TE \right] \right| \quad (8)$$

243 where the subscript *norm* refers to the normalized value of the factor. Overall, differently from *CCI*,
244 *mCCI*: (i) estimates the sediment connectivity for each cell of DEM; (ii) provides the possibility to

245 calculate connectivity on other spatial scales; (iii) consists of only continuous factors (and not
246 binary, as in the *CCI*); (iv) makes the calculation of each factor quick and automatable.
247 Furthermore, *mCCI* application does not require high experience for field operators (Figure 1).

248

249 **2.3. Application of *mCCI* to the Sant'Agata catchment**

250

251 *2.3.1. Study catchment*

252

253 The Sant'Agata torrent shows the specific morphological and hydraulic characteristics of many
254 Mediterranean watercourses, such as: (i) small catchment area (usually with irregular perimeter);
255 (ii) hypsographic curve with pronounced concavity; (iii) very erodible soils; (iv) short length of the
256 main stream (usually few tens of kilometres); (v) high longitudinal slopes (more than 10% in the
257 headwaters, 2% or less in the valley reaches); (vi) torrential and highly impulsive response to the
258 meteorological stress. In Calabria and Sicily, these torrents are called *fumaras*, seasonally flowing
259 watercourses that drain the mountain chains of Southern Italy. In *fumaras* heavy floods in the rainy
260 season follow long periods of completely dry bed (Sabato et al., 2004; Bombino et al., 2007).

261 The sub-catchment under investigation is the mountain and middle part of the Sant'Agata torrent. It
262 covers 17.43 km² within the Aspromonte mountain system (Figure 2). The studied main stream
263 rises at 1.649 m above sea level and it is 11.2 km long. The outlet of the sub-catchment is located
264 744 m a.s.l. and the mean slope is 29%.

265 The geological units of the studied headwater are mainly composed of metamorphic rocks and
266 sedimentary deposits of the Pleistocene. The prevalent soil texture is sandy loam, according to the
267 soil map of Calabria (ARSSA, 2003).

268 The land use was monitored in 1955 and 2012. In 1955, the prevalent land use was forest (43.4% of
269 the total catchment area, natural stands of beech and fir and artificial woods dominated by pine),
270 shrublands (8.5%), agricultural areas (15%, mainly arable land), natural grasslands (15%) and
271 residual not vegetated areas. In 2012 the prevalent land use is still forest (67.7%, with natural stands
272 still of beech and fir as well as artificial woods of pine planted in the 1960s on degraded or
273 deforested lands), shrubland (12%, with some species of shrubs covering partly or thoroughly the
274 channel bed, not flooded for many years), agricultural areas (20%, still arable land and vegetable
275 gardens, EEA, 2016) and natural grasslands in the remaining areas.

276 The average annual precipitation - recorded at Gambarie meteorological station (close to the upper
277 portion of the sub-catchment perimeter) - was 1820 mm between 1930 and 1955 and decreased to
278 1571 mm between 1955 and 2012.

279 At the end of the 1950s, a series of check dams was built in the sub-catchment; moreover, heavy
280 reforestation works were carried out in the same period and the land-use changed. In the main
281 channel of the reaches under investigation 17 staggered check dams (made up of concrete or stone
282 and concrete) were built in the 1950-60s to reduce erosion and the bedload transport capacity during
283 flood events. These control works, 3 to 4 m high and 13.5 to 19 m wide, have a full body with a
284 trapezoidal spillway (Bombino et al., 2009, Fortugno et al., 2017). No embankments were been
285 built in the reaches under investigation (Bombino et al., 2007).

286

287 *2.3.2. Implementation of mCCI in the studied sub-catchment*

288

289 The modified *CCI* was applied to the Sant'Agata catchment, to evaluate the changes in sediment
290 connectivity at the sub-catchment scale throughout a period of 60 years (from 1955 to 2012).
291 Considering the check dam presence, reforestation and land-use evolution, four scenarios,
292 consisting of a combination of check dam presence or not, and land-use changes or not, were
293 simulated; under each scenario, the sediment connectivity was calculated using *mCCI*.

294 The four scenarios are:

- 295 (1) in 1955, before check dam construction (hereinafter indexed as *LU1955/NO-CD*, where *LU*
296 indicates the land-use date and *NO-CD* indicates the absence of control works;
- 297 (2) at the end of the 1950s, that is immediately after check dam installation (*LU1955/CD*, where
298 *CD* refers to the presence of check dams;
- 299 (3) in 2012 (*LU2012/CD*) with check dams, considering also the land-use evolution in the sub-
300 catchment;
- 301 (4) in 2012 (*LU2012/NO-CD*), hypothesizing that check dams are absent.

302 The comparison of two scenarios *LU1955/NO-CD* and *LU2012/CD* evaluates the effects of the
303 check dams and land-use changes (due to reforestation works and the general greening-up process)
304 on the sediment connectivity in the sub-catchment. The analysis of the scenarios *LU1955/CD* and
305 *LU2012/CD* allow the evaluation of the influence of land-use changes only (since in this case the
306 check dams are present) on the connectivity. The comparison between *LU2012/CD* and
307 *LU2012/NO-CD* provides an estimation of the check dam influence on the sub-catchment
308 connectivity, assuming no historical variations in the land use.

309 It should be pointed out that the scenario *LU1955/CD* takes into account the presence of the check
310 dams with a sediment retention capacity of 100% and that the land use and the original level of the
311 channel bed were considered the same under the scenarios *LU1955/NO-CD* and *LU1955/CD*.

312 The scenario *LU2012/NO-CD* represents a hypothetical catchment, which underwent land-use

313 changes, but was not regulated by installing check dams.

314 As regards the *mCCI* implementation in the studied sub-catchment, the land use of 1955 was drawn
315 from black and white 1-m resolution orthophotos, taken by the Italian Military Geographic Institute
316 (based on American flights). The original longitudinal profile of the channel bed in 1955 was
317 reconstructed using the data of the previous study of Fortugno et al. (2017). These authors mapped
318 from aerial photographs the channel adjustments in the proximity of the studied check dams
319 between 1955 and 2012 in the main reach of the same catchment, following the methods reported
320 by Boix-Fayos et al. (2008) and Zema et al. (2014).

321 The land use of 2012 was estimated from the latest available orthophotos (in colour and with 0.5-m
322 resolution), provided by the Calabria Region administration, while the bed level was measured by a
323 topographic survey (with a total station). More details about the analysis of the land-use changes,
324 local channel slope changes and channel morphology adjustments can be found in the cited paper of
325 Fortugno et al. (2017).

326 Finally, the t-test was applied to evaluate the statistical significance of the differences (at p level $<$
327 0.05) in the factors and the *mCCI* values among the evaluated scenarios.

328

329 **3. RESULTS**

330

331 **3.1. Changes in the individual factors of the *mCCI***

332

333 Both in 1955 and 2012, the *TC* factor was lower on the hillslopes ($TC \sim 10^{-5}$) than on the
334 hydrographic network ($10^{-1} < TC < 1$). Compared to 1955, the mean *TC* is in general higher in both
335 the scenarios related to the land use of 2012 (on average 1.19×10^{-3} for both against 7.82×10^{-4} in
336 *LU1955/NO-CD* and 1.07×10^{-3} in *LU1955/CD*). The increase in the *TC* factor of channels is four
337 to five orders of magnitude higher than on the hillslopes; moreover, the *TC* values increased in the
338 channel banks from 1955 to 2012. However, the changes of *TC* at the catchment level (that is,
339 comprising the hillslopes and the channels) between the monitoring dates are not reflected by its
340 mean value (Figure 3 and Table 1).

341 Regarding the *GF* factor, as expected, the highest values were estimated in the torrent channels (on
342 average 0.65 against 0.40 in the hillslopes) both in 1955 and 2012 (Table 1). This clearly confirmed
343 that the terrain shape of channels is more concave compared to the other geomorphic units of the
344 sub-catchment, and this enhances the sediment connectivity through the basin, which is caught by
345 *GF*. Moreover, the scenarios of 1955 (*LU1955/NO-CD* and *LU1955/CD*) showed the same *GF*
346 values. *GF* as used here showed an evolution from 1955 to 2012. We can point out:

347 - a noticeable reduction in scenario *LU2012/NO-CD* in the main channel (on the average by 8%),
348 due to the longitudinal profile aggradation compared to 1955;

349 - a slight reduction in the hillslopes, because the changes in the transverse and longitudinal slope of
350 the terrain were very low from 1955 to 2012.

351 The *TE* factor is strongly influenced by the presence of transverse structures in the channel. As
352 response to the local adjustments induced by the check dams, the *TE* factor was instead different in
353 the two scenarios of 1955. Therefore, the *TE* factor, which was between 0.1 and 1 in many cells
354 (those located immediately upstream of the walls) for the scenario *LU1955/NO-CD*, after check
355 dam installation, was instead equal to 1 in both scenarios *LU1955/CD* and *LU2012/CD*, since the
356 wedges behind the check dams were totally and immediately filled with sediments (Figure 4).

357 The factor *FC* was always equal to 1, because all the channels in the studied sub-catchment were
358 permanent ($P > 0.2 S$).

359 The factor *SP* follows the same spatial patterns as *TC*, due to the very similar mathematical
360 structure.

361 The application of the t-test showed significant differences ($p < 0.05$) only for *TC* factor. It was
362 significantly different between land-use scenarios (1955 vs. 2012) as well as the presence/absence
363 of check dams. Conversely, no significant differences were found for *GF* and *SP* factors. The
364 significance test was not applied for *TE* and *FC*, which assume categorical values (Table 1). The
365 overall *mCCI* statistics do not show significant differences for the four scenarios except for
366 minimum and maximum values between 1955 and 2012 scenarios (Table 2).

367

368 **3.3. Changes in the mCCI**

369

370 The comparison of the *mCCI* calculated for each cell of the Sant'Agata sub-catchment showed
371 noticeable differences in sediment connectivity between 1955 and 2012. As the maps of Figure 5
372 clearly show, in 2012 the sediment connectivity decreases both in the main channel and in the
373 hillslopes compared to 1955.

374 As combination of the five factors (*TC*, *TE*, *GF*, *FC* and *SP*), the mean *mCCI* decreased from 20.3
375 of the scenario *LU1955/NO-CD* (*ante operam*) to 19.8 of *LU1955/CD* (*post operam*), to 17.9 of
376 *LU2012/CD* and *LU2012/NO-CD* corresponding to a change in sediment connectivity by -2.5 %
377 (*LU1955/NO-CD* vs *LU1955/CD*), -9.4% (*LU1955/CD* vs *LU2012/CD*), and -11.8% (*LU1955/NO-*
378 *CD* vs *LU2012/NO-CD*) (Table 2). This reduction is confirmed by the shift in frequency
379 distributions of *mCCI* along with the four-time windows (Figure 6), which shows that the
380 distribution of cell number along with a connectivity range always follows a normal law. In general,

381 the distribution of sediment connectivity in 2012 and *LU1955/CD* is flattered compared to
382 *LU1955/NO-CD*, therefore showing that not only the mean values but also the peaks in connectivity
383 were strongly reduced. Compared to the *LU2012/CD* scenario, under the land use of 2012 and
384 without check dams (scenario *LU2012/NO-CD*), negligible changes in the *mCCI* values were
385 achieved.

386

387 4. DISCUSSIONS

388

389 To evaluate the changes in *mCCI* which the studied sub-catchment underwent since 1955 to present,
390 a detailed analysis of all the factors is needed. The general decrease in the *TC* factor from 1955 to
391 2012 could be surprising, since it may contrast to expected response of the sub-catchment to the
392 increase of the forest cover and, more in general, to the greening-up tendency of the drainage area
393 (Fortugno et al., 2017).

394 However, a deeper analysis of the factors of *TC* shows:

- 395 (i) a reduction both in profile slope (*S*), due to the channel aggradation following the check dam
396 installation, and in the precipitation erosivity (*R*), as evidenced in the previous paper of
397 Fortugno et al. (2017);
398 (ii) a general increase in the cover factor (*C*).

399 The latter increase is higher than the product of the *R* and *S* factors, which led to the general
400 increase of the *TC* factor, depending on the abandonment of areas devoted to agricultural activities
401 in 1955 in spite of the afforestation of the upland areas of the sub-catchment. As a matter of fact,
402 from 1955 to 2012 forests, shrublands and agricultural areas increased by 43%, 25% and 13%,
403 respectively, while the not vegetated zones and pasture lands decreased by about 70% and 84%,
404 respectively (Figure 7). Overall, from a soil conservation approach (linked to the vegetal cover),
405 45% of the catchment evolved from less soil protection to a higher soil protection, 16% experienced
406 the reverse changes (that is, enhancing soil erosion), while 39% was not subjected to significant
407 changes in land-use type; this general greening-up process of the studied sub-catchment made the
408 soil of the studied sub-catchment less prone to runoff generation, also thanks to the lowering of the
409 precipitation regime (annual depth and intensity), observed by Pérez Cutillas et al. (2018) in
410 catchments of the semi-arid-environment and Fortugno et al. (2017) in the same catchment. These
411 authors identified as factors of change in the sub-catchment (i) the slight decrease in the amount and
412 erosivity of rainfall that could have induced a reduction of surface runoff; (ii) the reforestation and
413 other land use changes, such as the spontaneous reforestation, vegetation encroachment in the
414 channel and on the banks); and (iii) the installation of check dams, which induced local adjustments

415 in the channel. Moreover, the more fragmented spatial pattern of land use in 2012 compared to 1955
416 could also have played an important role in decreasing water and sediment input from the hillslopes
417 to the channel, since landscape fragmentation introduces a disconnectivity of water and sediments
418 (Fortugno et al., 2017). Establishment of riparian vegetation in channel beds upstream of check
419 dams is expected, since these structures reduce the profile slope and laminate the stream flow as
420 well as induce more favourable edaphic conditions for vegetal species (Bombino et al., 2008; 2014;
421 2019).

422 The fact that the *TC* changes at the catchment level between 1955 and 2012 are not reflected by its
423 mean value means that *mCCI* is not much sensitive in evaluating the local effects due to the
424 presence of sediment traps (e.g., check-dams). For instance, the negligible increase of *TC* at the
425 catchment level from the scenario of 1955 (without check dams) to 2012 (same land use, but with
426 check dams) is due to the very low number of pixels of the hillslopes compared to the channel,
427 which determines a very limited influence on the overall *TC* factor.

428 The highest values of the *GF* factor estimated in the torrent channels both in 1955 and 2012 clearly
429 confirms that the terrain shape of channels is more concave compared to the other geomorphic units
430 of the sub-catchment, and this enhances the sediment connectivity through the basin, which is
431 caught by *GF*. Moreover, the scenarios of 1955 with and without check dams showed the same *GF*
432 values. As noticed for the *TC* factor, this may be due to the limited influence of the few pixels in the
433 channel on the *GF* of the entire sub-catchment compared to the large number in the hillslopes. At
434 this point, despite the objectivity and facility to use a DEM basis for extracting the
435 geomorphological factor, the field observations of the connections between the main channel and
436 tributaries of the original index (Quiñonero et al., 2013) have the advantage to discriminate better
437 the different geomorphological situations despite spatial scale. This problem is already pointed out
438 by Heckmann et al. (2018) which states that (dis)connectivity operates at the landform scale and not
439 at the raster cell scale. Sometimes, single raster cell bears not geomorphological significance and
440 have difficulties to discriminate between different geomorphological features.

441 The presence of check dams in the channel influenced the *TE* factor and this is expected (Boix-
442 Fayos et al., 2008; Bombino et al., 2009; Zema et al., 2014; 2018), because, between 1955 and
443 2012, the transverse structures determined local adjustments of the torrent bed, with channel
444 aggradation immediately upstream of the 17 investigated check dams, and bed incisions
445 downstream of each check dam. As response to these local adjustments, the *TE* factor was instead
446 different in the two scenarios of 1955 since the construction of the check dams determines a barrier
447 against the fluxes of water and sediments circulating in the sub-catchment (Harper et al., 2017).
448 Therefore, the *TE* factor, which was between 0.1 and 1 in many cells (those located immediately

449 upstream of the walls) for the scenario of 1955 without check dams, after check dam installation
450 was instead equal to 1 in both scenarios of 1955 and 2012, since the wedges behind the check dams
451 were totally and immediately filled with sediments. In other words, in the studied catchment there
452 were no artificial reservoirs partially filled, which would retain sediments and this would give $TE \neq$
453 0. As a matter of fact, these check dams were presumably filled in the first few years after their
454 building (on occasion of the first floods of medium-high magnitude, Viparelli and Maione, 1959),
455 as previously reported by Zema et al. (2014) in a study on the same sub-catchment. In the
456 Sant'Agata torrent, as happened in almost all *fiumaras* of Calabria and Sicily, check dams have
457 already exhausted their sediment retention capacity and their trap efficiency became ineffective.
458 These structures currently play a role of torrent bed stabilisation rather than a sediment collector
459 and this influences the catchment sediment connectivity. It results that the main function of check-
460 dams is the longitudinal slope correction rather than retaining sediments (Zema et al., 2014).
461 Therefore, since this latter function was desired by catchment managers over time, the catchment
462 management strategy was modified by the installation of further check dams (in general of lower
463 size), which may be determined an excessive density of works. This led to money waste; moreover,
464 in some catchments located close to the studied catchment and regulated by the same management
465 strategy an increase of high flood events in urban areas was recorded, generated by the excessive
466 reduction of the longitudinal slope (Surian et al., 2009).

467 As results of the combination of the changes in the TC , TE and GF factors, the $mCCI$ reflected
468 noticeable differences in sediment connectivity between 1955 and 2012. The decrease in the mean
469 $mCCI$ from the scenario of 1955 to the scenario of 2012 (both without check dams) is very
470 important, because it highlights the benefits of areal interventions (usually cheaper, less
471 environment-impactful, simpler in design, self-regulating) over lumped one, like check-dams
472 installation, which, if not well designed can lead to money waste, unwanted side-effects and danger
473 to people living nearby if a failure occurs.

474 The negligible changes in the $mCCI$ values under the land use of 2012 and without check dams
475 compared to a scenario with land use changes and control works may be again due to the limited
476 number of pixels covering channels close to the check dams (that is, the sedimentation and scouring
477 wedges in the proximity of the structures). This means that the main effect in sediment connectivity
478 at the catchment scale is due to the changes in land uses over the hillslopes, which, under the two
479 evaluated scenarios, is the same. Figure 8 shows that the reduction in sediment connectivity affected
480 the afforested areas or the zones initially bare in 1955 and covered by agricultural crops or
481 colonized by shrub vegetation in 2012. A relatively large area (with differences in $mCCI$ over 1.8-
482 2.0) suffered several landslides over time, revealing instability and a tendency to detachment and

483 mobilization of sediments. Therefore, compared to 1955, these areas are characterised by steeper
484 slopes and often by soil with not well-established vegetation, which increase sediment connectivity
485 and thus *mCCI*.

486

487 **5. CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS**

488

489 This study proposes a modified version of the *CCI* proposed by Quiñonero-Rubio et al. (2013),
490 which improves and simplifies its calculation methods. The *mCCI* is applied to a torrent of Southern
491 Italy, in order to test the *mCCI*'s ability to interpret the sediment connectivity at the catchment scale
492 in a water course with typical climatic and geomorphological conditions of ephemeral torrents. The
493 case study has shown how and by what extent the natural (climate changes) and human impacts
494 (land-use changes and check dam installation) have influenced the geomorphic processes driving
495 sediment circulation in the studied basin throughout six decades. From 1955 to 2012, the
496 application of the modified *CCI* to the studied sub-catchment estimated a general decrease of
497 sediment connectivity. This was the combined effects of greening-up processes of the catchment
498 (due to both natural afforestation and human-induced reforestation) and the installation of check
499 dams, which have decreased the catchment potential to circulating sediments.

500 The lack of adequate information about the digital terrain model of 1955 limited the *mCCI*
501 representativeness of the historical catchment morphology. In particular, if good terrain maps were
502 available for the past scenarios, the influence of morphological data (e.g., profile slope, terrain
503 curvature) would certainly have improved the evaluation of the sediment connectivity of the
504 catchment. Moreover, the use of *mCCI* could be more appropriate when applied on different digital
505 terrain models, but at comparable resolution. A high-resolution DEM should be used to overcome
506 the *mCCI*'s inability to catch the impacts of check dam presence on the sediment connectivity of the
507 catchment (mainly due to a limited number of pixels covering the channel areas under the direct
508 effects of check dams). Moreover, the current and future availability of high-resolution terrain data
509 (e.g., LIDAR) will give the possibility to use the *mCCI* for more precise evaluations of catchment
510 sediment connectivity, also under future scenarios of climate change.

511 Overall, this study contributes to a wider and simpler applicability of the *CCI* to the semi-arid
512 catchment. Thanks to the modifications brought by the *mCCI*, some factors of the original index are
513 replaced by alternative methods, which are based on DEM or rely on summary hydrological
514 evaluations. This reduces the need for field surveys, thus does not need geomorphological expertise,
515 and allows the almost complete automation of the procedure, thanks to the large use of GIS tools.
516 The *mCCI* seems to be more efficient in identifying and describing the hydrological and

517 geomorphological features caught by the *CCI*. At the same time, compared to the *CCI*, the *mCCI*
518 directly calculates the *CCI* factors using common GIS software, this possibility requires less direct
519 surveys, whose reliability strictly depends on the ability and experience of the field operators.
520 The proposed *mCCI* may be used not only for the analysis of sediment connectivity of the
521 individual elements of a catchment (spatial domain), but it allows also the possibility to catch the
522 evolution of river connectivity from a diachronic perspective (temporal domain). The *mCCI* can be
523 used as an analytical tool to evaluate the influence of past or future changes in land use and climate
524 by comparing scenarios of torrent connectivity.

525

526 **DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

527

528 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, [P.D.],
529 upon request.

530

531 **REFERENCES**

532

- 533 ARSSA (2003). I suoli della Calabria - Carta dei suoli in scala 1:250.000 della regione Calabria.
534 Rubettino Editore. Catanzaro, Italy, pp 387.
- 535 Boix-Fayos, C., De Vente, J., Martínez-Mena, M., Barberá, G.G., Castillo, V. (2008). The impact of
536 land use change and check-dams on catchment sediment yield. *Hydrological Processes* 22, 4922-
537 4935.
- 538 Bombino, G., Boix-Fayos, C., Gurnell, A.M., Tamburino, V., Zema, D.A., Zimbone, S.M. (2014).
539 Check dam influence on vegetation species diversity in mountain torrents of the Mediterranean
540 environment. *Ecohydrology* 7(2), 678-691.
- 541 Bombino, G., Gurnell, A.M., Tamburino, V., Zema, D.A., Zimbone, S.M. (2007). A method for
542 assessing channelization effects on riparian vegetation in a Mediterranean environment *River*
543 *Research and Applications*, 23 (6), 613-630.
- 544 Bombino, G., Gurnell, A.M., Tamburino, V., Zema, D.A., Zimbone, S.M. (2008). Sediment size
545 variation in torrents with check-dams: effects on riparian vegetation. *Ecological Engineering* 32(2),
546 166-177.
- 547 Bombino, G., Gurnell, A.M., Tamburino, V., Zema, D.A., Zimbone, S.M. (2009). Adjustments in
548 channel form, sediment calibre and vegetation around check dams in the headwater reaches of
549 mountain torrents, Calabria, Italy. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 34, 1011-1021.

550 Bombino, G., Zema, D.A., Denisi, P., Lucas-Borja, M.E., Labate, A., Zimbone, S.M. (2019).
551 Assessment of riparian vegetation characteristics in Mediterranean headwaters regulated by check
552 dams using multivariate statistical techniques. *Science of the Total Environment* 657, 597-607.

553 Borselli, L., Cassi, P., Torri, D. (2008). Prolegomena to sediment and flow connectivity in the
554 landscape: a GIS and field numerical assessment. *Catena* 75, 268–277.

555 Brown, C.B. (1943). Discussion of Sedimentation in reservoirs, by J. Witzig. *Transactions of the*
556 *American Society of Civil Engineers* 69, 1493-1500.

557 Brune, G.M. (1953). Trap efficiency of reservoirs. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union,*
558 34(3), 407-418.

559 Cavalli, M., Trevisani, S., Comiti, F., Marchi, L. (2013). Geomorphometric assessment of spatial
560 sediment connectivity in small Alpine catchments. *Geomorphology*, 88, 31-41.

561 De Vente J., Poesen J., Verstraeten G., Van Rompaey A., Govers G. (2008). Spatially distributed
562 modelling of soil erosion and sediment yield at regional scales in Spain. *Global and Planetary*
563 *Change* 60, 393-415.

564 EEA (European Environment Agency), 2016. CORINE land cover 2012 version 18.

565 Florinsky, I.V. (1998). Accuracy of local topographic variables derived from digital elevation
566 models, *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, 12, 47–61.

567 Fortugno, D., Boix-Fayós, C., Bombino, G., Denisi, P., Quiñonero-Rubio, J.M., Tamburino, V.,
568 Zema D.A. (2017). Adjustments in channel morphology due to land-use changes and check dam
569 installation in mountain torrents of Calabria (southern Italy). *Earth Surface Processes And*
570 *Landforms*. DOI: 10.1002/esp.4197.

571 Grauso, S., Pasanisi, F., Tebano, C. (2018). Assessment of a simplified connectivity index and
572 specific sediment potential in river basins by means of geomorphometric tools. *Geosciences*, 8(2),
573 48.

574 Harper, S.E., Foster, I.D., Lawler, D.M., Mathers, K.L., McKenzie, M., Petts, G.E. (2017). The
575 complexities of measuring fine sediment accumulation within gravel-bed rivers. *River research and*
576 *applications*, 33(10), 1575-1584.

577 Heckmann, T., Cavalli, M., Cerdan, O., Foerster, S., Javaux, M., Lode, E., Brardinoni, F. (2018).
578 Indices of sediment connectivity: opportunities, challenges and limitations. *Earth-science reviews*
579 187, 77-108.

580 Hooke, J. (2003). Coarse sediment connectivity in river channel systems; a conceptual framework
581 and methodology. *Geomorphology* 56 (1-2), 79-94.

582 Lane, S. N., Bakker, M., Gabbud, C., Micheletti, N., Saugy, J. N. (2017). Sediment export, transient
583 landscape response and catchment-scale connectivity following rapid climate warming and Alpine
584 glacier recession. *Geomorphology*, 277, 210-227.

585 Pérez-Cutillas P., Zema D.A., Cataldo M.F., de Vente J., Boix-Fayos C. (2018). Efectos de la
586 revegetación a escala de cuenca sobre el caudal y la evapotranspiración en ambiente mediterráneo.
587 Cuenca del Taibilla (SE de España) (Greening-up effects on streamflow and evapotranspiration in
588 Mediterranean catchments. An example of Taibilla catchment (SE Spain)). *Bosque* 39(1), 119-129.

589 Prosser, I.P., and Rustomji, P. (2000). Sediment transport capacity relations for overland
590 flow. *Progress in Physical Geography* 24(2), 179-193.

591 Quiñonero-Rubio J.M., Boix-Fayós C., De Vente J. (2013). Desarrollo y aplicación de un índice
592 multifactorial de conectividad de sedimentos a escala de cuenca. *Cuadernos de Investigacion*
593 *Geografica* 39, 203-223.

594 Quiñonero - Rubio, J.M., Nadeu, E., Boix - Fayos, C., de Vente, J. (2016). Evaluation of the
595 effectiveness of forest restoration and check - dams to reduce catchment sediment yield. *Land*
596 *Degradation & Development* 27(4), 1018-1031.

597 Sabato, L., and Tropeano, M. (2004). Fiumara: a kind of high hazard river. *Physics and Chemistry*
598 *of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 29(10), 707-715.

599 Sandercock, P.J., and Hooke, J.M. (2011). Vegetation effects on sediment connectivity and
600 processes in an ephemeral channel in SE Spain. *Journal of Arid Environments* 75(3), 239-254.

601 Shary, P. (1995). Land surface in gravity points classification by a complete system of curvatures,
602 *Mathematical Geology*, 27(3), 373-390.

603 Surian, N., Ziliani, L., Comiti, F., Lenzi, M. A., Mao, L. (2009). Channel adjustments and alteration
604 of sediment fluxes in gravel-bed rivers of North-Eastern Italy: potentials and limitations for channel
605 recovery. *River research and applications*, 25(5), 551-567.

606 Turnbull, L., and Wainwright, J. (2019). From structure to function: Understanding shrub
607 encroachment in drylands using hydrological and sediment connectivity. *Ecological indicators*, 98,
608 608-618.

609 Viparelli, M., Maione, U. (1959). The improvement of the terminal stretches of lower part of the
610 bed of some torrents in Calabria. VI convegno di idraulica e costruzioni idrauliche, Padova, 25-27
611 maggio 1959.

612 Walling, D.E., and Zhang, Y. (2004). Predicting slope-channel connectivity: a national-scale
613 approach, in: Sediment transfer through the fluvial system, *IAHS series of proceedings and reports*
614 Presented at the Sediment Transfer through the Fluvial System, Valentin Golosov, Vladimir
615 Belyaev, Des E. Walling, Wallingford (2004), pp. 107-114

616 Wischmeier, W.H., and Smith, D.D. (1978). Predicting rainfall erosion losses: a guide to
617 conservation planning (No. 537). Department of Agriculture, Science and Education
618 Administration.

619 Zema, D.A., Bombino, G., Boix-Fayos, C., Tamburino, V., Zimbone, S.M., Fortugno D. (2014).
620 Evaluation and modeling of scouring and sedimentation around check dams in a Mediterranean
621 torrent in Calabria, Italy. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 69(4), 316-329.

622 Zema D.A., Bombino G., Denisi P., Lucas-Borja M.E., Zimbone S.M. (2018). Evaluating the
623 effects of check dams on channel geometry, bed sediment size and riparian vegetation in
624 Mediterranean mountain torrents. *Science of the Total Environment* 642, 327-340.

625 **TABLES**

626

627 Table 1 – Values of the modified Catchment Connectivity Index (*mCCI*) and their factors in the
 628 different scenarios adopted for application in the Sant'Agata torrent (Calabria, Italy).

629

Parameter	Scenario ⁽²⁾			
	Land use of 1955		Land use of 2012	
	Without check dams (LU1955/NO-CD)	With check dams (LU1955/CD)	Without check dams (LU2012/NO-CD)	With check dams (LU2012/CD)
<i>TC</i>	$7.82 \times 10^{-4} \text{ a}$	$1.07 \times 10^{-3} \text{ b}$	$1.19 \times 10^{-3} \text{ b}$	$1.19 \times 10^{-3} \text{ b}$
<i>GF</i>	$5.5979 \times 10^{-1} \text{ a}$	$5.59641 \times 10^{-1} \text{ a}$	$5.59641 \times 10^{-1} \text{ a}$	$5.59641 \times 10^{-1} \text{ a}$
<i>TE⁽¹⁾</i>	-	-	-	-
<i>SP</i>	$9.86405 \times 10^{-1} \text{ a}$	$9.8688 \times 10^{-1} \text{ a}$	$9.91 \times 10^{-1} \text{ a}$	$9.91 \times 10^{-1} \text{ a}$
<i>FC⁽¹⁾</i>	-	-	-	-
<i>mCCI</i>	20.3	19.8	17.9	17.9

630 Notes: (1) the TE and FC factors assume categorical values; (2) the lowercase letters indicate significant differences
 631 according to the t-test (at $p < 0.05$).

632

633 Table 2 - Univariate statistics of the modified Catchment Connectivity Index (*mCCI*) in the Sant'Agata torrent (Calabria, Italy).

634

Statistics	Scenario			Difference (%)		
	1955		2012 (with or without check dams) (LU2012/CD and LU2012/NO-CD)	2012 vs LU1955/NO-CD	2012 vs LU1955/CD	LU1955/CD vs LU1955/NO-CD
	Without check dams (LU1955/NO-CD)	With check dams (LU1955/CD)				
Minimum	0.6 ^a	0.5 ^a	1.1 ^b	83.3	120.0	-16.7
Maximum	63.4 ^a	34.5 ^b	36.1 ^b	-43.1	4.6	-45.6
Mean	20.3 ^a	19.8 ^a	17.9 ^a	-11.8	-9.6	-2.5
Standard deviation	3.8	3.8	3.6	-5.3	-5.3	0.0
Coefficient of variation (%)	18.6	19.3	19.9	7.0	3.1	3.8
1st quartile	18.3	17.7	16.1	-12.0	-9.0	-3.3
Median (2nd quartile)	20.6 ^a	20.1 ^a	18.2 ^a	-11.7	-9.5	-2.4
3rd quartile	22.6	22.1	20.0	-11.5	-9.5	-2.2
90th percentile	24.6	24.2	22.0	-10.6	-9.1	-1.6

635 Note: the lowercase letters indicate significant differences according to the t-test (at $p < 0.05$).

636

637 **Figure captions**

638

639 Figure 1 - Comparison of methods to calculate the *CCI* and *mCCI*.

640

641 Figure 2 - Location of the Sant'Agata catchment (Calabria, Southern Italy): (a) headwater and
642 middle reaches, (b) check dams (*D*) under investigation and (c) sedimentary zone behind a check
643 dam (marked by the yellow line)

644

645 Figure 3 - Maps of the *TC* factor of the *mCCI* in the Sant'Agata sub-catchment (Calabria, Southern
646 Italy).

647

648 Figure 4 - Map of *TE* factor of the *mCCI* in the Sant'Agata sub-catchment (Calabria, Southern
649 Italy).

650

651 Figure 5 - Map of the *mCCI* in the Sant'Agata sub-catchment (Calabria, Southern Italy).

652

653 Figure 6 - Frequency distribution of the *mCCI* in the Sant'Agata sub-catchment (Calabria, Southern
654 Italy).

655

656 Figure 7 - Land-use changes in the Sant'Agata sub-catchment (Calabria, Southern Italy) between
657 1955 (left) and 2012 (right) (source: Fortugno et al., 2017 modified).

658

659 Figure 8 - Map of the difference in the *mCCI* (in binary logarithmic units) between 1955 and 2012
660 in the Sant'Agata sub-catchment (Calabria, Southern Italy).