

Università degli Studi Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria

Archivio Istituzionale dei prodotti della ricerca

Comparison of traditional hot water and vacuum assisted blanching methods on the physico-chemical quality parameters and antioxidant activity of zucchini (Cucurbita pepo L.) slices

This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:

Original

Comparison of traditional hot water and vacuum assisted blanching methods on the physico-chemical quality parameters and antioxidant activity of zucchini (Cucurbita pepo L.) slices / Sicari, V.; Romeo, R.; Leporini, M.; Pellicano, T. M.; Tundis, R.; Loizzo, M. R.. - In: JOURNAL OF FOOD MEASUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION. - ISSN 2193-4126. - 16:1(2022), pp. 281-294. [10.1007/s11694-021-01158-4]

Availability: This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12318/107519 since: 2024-12-04T18:45:22Z

Published DOI: http://doi.org/10.1007/s11694-021-01158-4 The final published version is available online at:https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11694-021-

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website

Publisher copyright

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria (https://iris.unirc.it/) When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

1	Comparison of traditional and vacuum assisted blanching methods on thecolor,
2	functional components and antioxidant activity of zucchini rings
3	V. Sicari ^a , R. Romeo ^a , M. Leporini ^b , T.M. Pellicanò ^a , R. Tundis ^b , M.R. Loizzo ^{b,*}
4	
5	^a Department of Agraria, "Mediterranea" University of Reggio Calabria, Cittadella Universitaria, Località
6	Feo di Vito 89124 Reggio Calabria (RC), Italy.
7	^b Department of Pharmacy, Health and Nutritional Sciences, University of Calabria, 87036 Rende (CS),
8	Italy.
9	* Correspondig author: Prof.ssa Monica Rosa Loizzo, Department of Pharmacy, Health Science and
10	Nutrition, University of Calabria, Via P. Bucci, Edificio Polifunzionale, 87036 Rende (CS) – Italy. Phone.
11	+39 984 493071, E-mail: monica_rosa.loizzo@unical.it
12	
13	Abstract
14	This study aimed to compare two blanching methods in order to determine the effect of treatment

15 on loss of phytochemical content, color characteristics and antioxidant activity. Fresh and treated zucchini were investigated for their pH, total soluble solids, moisture, color, total phenols and 16 flavonoids, and antioxidant activities by using a multi-target approach. The color, total soluble 17 18 solids, pH and water activity of zucchini samples were not affected by the blanching process. The obtained data demonstrated that the vacuum treatment protected the antioxidant activity of zucchini 19 20 rings extending the shelf-life of the food compared to the traditional blanching method and the fresh 21 sample. All samples showed values of phenolic compounds comparable to the fresh product, although the samples treated with vacuum blanching for 8 minutes had the highest values. Hot water 22 blanching for 8 min caused a significant increase in the total phenolic content of blanched zucchini, 23 24 which had the greatest increase compared to blanching for 2 and 5 min, for the entire storage period. During storage, a significant decrease was observed in total phenol and flavonoid content, 25 antioxidant activity and color values in all samples, independently of the applied process. PCA 26

showed that the factorial axis associated with PC-1 samples and had the highest content of bioactivecompounds.

29

30 **Keywords:** *Cucurbita pepo*; blanching; color; phenolic compounds; antioxidant activity.

31

32 **1. Introduction**

Vegetables contain a large number of bioactive compounds, which significantly contribute to their 33 functional properties including free radical scavenging activity, inhibition of hydrolytic and oxidative 34 35 enzymes and anti-inflammatory activity. The consumption of vegetables is important to prevent several chronic disease such as hypertension, stroke, cancer etc. (Jaiswal et al., 2012). However, it is 36 known that the quality attributes of untreated vegetables, such as nutrients, texture, color and flavor 37 38 can be affected by the changes occurring during postharvest storage (Chemat et al., 2017). In particular, zucchini (Cucurbita pepo L.) provide beneficial effects on human health in the daily diet 39 40 for their high content of chemical constituents, such as carotenoids, tocopherols, phenols, terpenoids, saponins, sterols and fatty acids (Mu-kherjee, and Chattopadhyay, 2007; Jacobo-Valenzuela et al., 41 2011). Nevertheless, for their seasonal and short-lived nature, they are subject to rapid deterioration 42 43 by microorganisms and enzymes (Wang et al., 2020).

Furthermore, trimming, peeling, washing and cutting damage the quality of the fresh product
(Martínez-Valdivieso *et al.*, 2017). Based on this, the food industry has improved processing and
preservation treatments in order to maintain the freshness of these products (Neves *et al.*, 2019).

It is well documented that peroxidase and polyphenol oxidase enzymes, when present in processed vegetables, are responsible for undesirable quality changes as well as nutrient–degradation. A combination of thermal treatment and appropriate packaging are a suitable approach to inactivate the biochemical reactions and reduce microbial load (Xiao *et al.*, 2017). Hot-water blanching slows down the enzyme deterioration and the Maillard reaction ensuring the nutritional and biological stability of vegetables, increasing the consumer acceptability of products (Patras *et al.*, 2016). However, literature is available on the negative effects of blanching, such as pigment modifications, tissue softening or nutrient reduction (Aguilar *et al.*, 2004). The loss of total polyphenol and antioxidant components could occur as an effect of thermal degradation, diffusion and leaching. It is crucial to select blanching treatment conditions (time and temperature) in order to reduce the exposure of the product to heat (Aguilar *et al.*, 2004).

Therefore, the main object of this work was to compare the effect of traditional hot water blanching
and innovative vacuum blanching method on nutritional and quality characteristics of zucchini during
storage.

61

62 **2. Materials and Methods**

63 2.1 Sampling and experimental design

Zucchini (*Cucurbita pepo*), obtained from a farm located in Reggio Calabria (Italy), were
 selected of uniform size and color, and free of defects, and subsequently processed at the Food
 Technologies laboratory of the University Mediterranea of Reggio Calabria (Italy).

After the zucchini were washed and cut into circular slices with a thickness of 4 mm, they were 67 divided in two groups and subjected to two different blanching methods: hot water blanching and 68 69 innovative vacuum blanching. For hot water blanching (ZB) samples were immersed in a water bath at 95° C for three different blanching times: 2, 5 or 8 minutes (ZB2, ZB5 and ZB8). After 70 thermal treatment, the samples were vacuum-packed and stored at 4°C. For vacuum blanching 71 72 treatment (ZS), the samples were wrapped in heat resistant vacuum storage bags (Royal Pack iVacuum, Italy) and subjected to the same blanching conditions described above, and are indicated 73 74 as follows: ZS2, ZS5, ZS8. Each sample was analyzed on the day of production and thereafter every 75 seven days for a total of 28 days storage.

77 2.2 Quality parameters

Physical characteristics of treated and untreated samples as pH and Brix value, moisture content, water activity, and color were monitored. Aqueous extracts were prepared by adding 10 mL of distilled water to 1 g of vegetables. Samples were than homogenized using an Ultra-Turrax T-25 (Janke & Kunkel, IKA-Labortechnik). The pH values of extracts were measured at room temperature using a pH-meter (Crison Basic 20) and Brix degrees (°Brix) were determined by the measurement of the refractive index with a refractometer (ATAGO 8269 Japan) at 25 °C.

For the determination of moisture content, about 30 g of sample was tested in an oven at 105 °C while water activity (aw) of vegetables was measured by Aqualab LITE hygrometer (Decagon devices Inc., Washington USA).

The color coordinates of the CIELAB space (L*, a* and b*) were monitored during storage by a tristimulus colorimeter (Konica Minolta CM-700d, Osaka, Japan). Measurements were performed in three replicates.

90

91 2.3 Extraction procedure

Ultrasound procedure represented a key-technology in achieving the objective of sustainable "green" extraction with a significant effect on the rate of various processes in the chemical and food industry. This procedure resulted the most promising procedure to obtain extracts characterized by the highest of bioactivity in terms of antioxidant and enzymes inhibition. In addition, the extractions can be completed in minutes with high reproducibility, simplifying manipulation, reducing the consumption of solvent, and giving higher purity of the final product (Chemat *et al.*, 2017).

For this reason, for the extraction of bioactive phytochemicals and in order to test antioxidant activity treated, the fresh zucchini were subjected to ultrasound-assisted maceration process using EtOH as solvent (200 mL, 3×1 h), or EtOH/H₂O (80:20 v/v, 300 ml, 3×1 h). For this extraction procedure three extraction cycles with an ultrasonic frequency of 40 kHz at a temperature of 30 °C for 30 min were conducted for each sample in a Branson model 3800-CPXH water bath (Branson, Milan, Italy). After each extraction cycle, the mixture was filtered through Whatman filter Paper 4
under vacuum, and the solvent was removed using a rotary vacuum evaporator at 30 °C. Each
extraction was performed in triplicate.

106

107 2.4 Phytochemicals content

Total phenol content (TPC) was investigated using the Folin-Ciocalteu method (Leporini *et al.*,
2020a). A mixture of sample (1.5 mg/mL), Folin-Ciocalteu solution (0.5 mL), water and 20% sodium
carbonate were prepared. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 2 hours and the
absorbance was read at 765 nm employed a UV-Vis Jenway 6003 (Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy). Results
were expressed as mg of chlorogenic acid equivalents (CAE)/g of extract.

Total flavonoid content (TFC) was determined as previously reported by Leporini et al. (2020a). A solution of aluminium chloride was mixed with sample (1.5 mg/mL). After 15 min of incubation at room temperature the absorbance was read at 510 nm using UV-Vis Jenway 6003 spectrophotometer (Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy). Results were expressed as mg quercetin equivalents (QE)/g of extract.

118

119 2.5 Antioxidant activity

The evaluation of antioxidant activity is context-dependent. Many different methods have been 120 used for the evaluation of antioxidant activity and no single concentration can completely evaluate 121 the antioxidant potential of vegetable extracts (Pinchuk et al., 2012). In addition, plant extracts are 122 rich in phenolic compounds that exhibited antioxidant activity through different mechanisms. Most 123 of them are based on the measurement of the relative abilities of antioxidants to scavenge radicals in 124 comparison with the antioxidant potency of a standard antioxidant compound (Leporini et al., 2020a). 125 For this complexity, more than one test was carried out *in vitro* (ABTS, DPPH, and β-carotene 126 bleaching assays) in order to evaluated antioxidant activities of zucchini extracts. The radical 127

scavenging activity was investigated by DPPH and ABTS assay as previously described (Loizzo *et al.*, 2020).

In DPPH test, the samples (1 mg/mL) were added to DPPH solution and after 30 min, the absorbance was read at 517 nm using UV-Vis Jenway 6003 spectrophotometer (Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy).

In ABTS test, a mixture of ABTS radical cation solution and sample (400-1 μg/mL) was prepared
and after 6 min of incubation, the absorbance at 734 nm was measured using the same apparatus
previously indicated. Ascorbic acid was used as positive control in both assays.

The potential of samples to inhibit lipid peroxidation was assessed using the β -carotene bleaching test as previously reported (Leporini *et al.*, 2020b). A solution of β -carotene, linoleic acid and Tween 20 was added a 96-well microplate containing the samples (100-5 µg/mL). The microplates were placed in a water bath for 30 and 60 min at 45 °C. The absorbance was read at 470 nm employing a using UV-Vis Jenway 6003 spectrophotometer (Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy). Propyl gallate was used as positive control.

142

143 2.6 Statistical analysis

Results were expressed as means of three different experiments \pm standard deviation (S.D.). All data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with SPSS 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) statistical software. Significant differences were calculated according to Tukey's multiple range tests. Differences at *P*<0.05 were considered to be statistically significant while at *P*<0.01 were considered to be highly significant. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) were applied using SPSS software for Windows, version 17.0 (Chicago, IL, USA).

The concentration-response curve and the inhibitory concentration 50% (IC₅₀) was calculated by
using Prism GraphPad Prism version 4.0 for Windows, GraphPad Software (San Diego, CA, USA).

One-way analysis of variance test (ANOVA) followed by a multicomparison Dunnett's test (p = 0.05) was used to compare differences within and between groups with the positive control in all bioassays.

155

156 **3. Results and discussion**

157 3.1. Quality parameters

The preservation of vegetable freshness over time depends on different factors, such as water 158 activity (a_w), moisture content, TSS and pH values. Particularly, the a_w is an important variable for 159 evaluating the food stability seeing as it is responsible for optimizing microbiological and physical 160 161 properties of the product, such as texture, flavor, odor and color (Coupland et al., 2000). A value of a_w 0-99 \pm 0.00 was obtained for the fresh samples and ANOVA data elaboration showed that no 162 significant variations (p > 0.05) were observed during storage (Table 1). The different treatments 163 164 applied to the samples did not affect the a_w parameter (Owureku-Asare *et al.*, 2018). Regarding the moisture content, at the beginning of storage (t0), all samples showed values of relative humidity 165 comparable to the fresh product (68.71±1.22 %), although samples processed with blanching in hot 166 water for 2, 5 and 8 minutes (ZS2, ZS5 and ZS8) had the highest values. Other authors have 167 investigated the effect of blanching on relative humidity, proving that the increase of moisture content 168 169 is linked to the absorption and the adhesion of water to surface of products (Mondragón-Portocarrero et al., 2006). However, a significant decrease of a_w was observed for all samples during the storage 170 as observed in Table 1. 171

The change in pH values after treatments and during storage is reported in Table 1. In fresh products the pH value is 6.54 ± 0.42 while, for treated samples values ranged between 6.67 and 6.37 at time 0. A slight increase in pH values was observed for the samples ZB2, ZB5 and ZB8. Literature data (Martinez *et al.*, 2013) reported that during blanching treatments the loss of soluble compounds and organic acids could produce an increase in pH values. This effect is more noticeable for the long processing time confirming that the duration of treatment also affects this parameter. A significant decrease (p<0.01) in pH was detected over time, probably due to the release of H⁺ caused by the reaction between the tissue water and the CO₂ produced (Rocha *et al.*, 2007).

In correlation with the pH results, the samples treated with vacuum blanching showed a higher amount of total suspended solids (TSS) expressed as °Brix value (Table 1). In particular, the samples ZS2, ZS5 and ZS8 showed the highest TSS content at the end of storage. These results demonstrate that vacuum blanching has a higher capacity to protect the soluble components of vegetables compared to traditional treatment.

As is well known, browning is very common in the processing and storage of fruits and vegetables. 185 For this reason, the effectiveness of treatments was evaluated in terms of color variations. The color 186 187 parameters are reported in Table 2 and Fig. 1. In general, the obtained profiles are qualitatively similar. Significant variations in color parameters (p < 0.01) were detected during storage. Exposure 188 time and different treatments seemed to affect significantly (p < 0.01) the color attributes of samples. 189 190 On the day of production, zucchini processed with vacuum blanching for 2 minutes (ZS2) are characterized by similar colorimetric parameters to the fresh product (L^*_{ZS2} 76.86± 4.23, a^*_{ZS2} -191 192 0.9±0.00, b*_{ZS2} 23.04±2.22, C*_{ZS2} 22.10±1.56; L*_{FP.} 79.971±3.56, a*_{FP}-0.88±0.00, b*_{FP} 21.97±1.25, 193 C*_{FP} 21.99±2.02).

The increase of treatment time makes the samples become less bright (L* decreasing) and greener 194 (a* decreasing). The same trend was observed following the direct immersion in hot water, except 195 for the sample ZB8 that showed a higher L* value. No clear trend was observed during storage; 196 nevertheless, absolute L* values indicate oscillations within a very narrow interval for ZS2 and ZS5 197 from the start to the end of storage. For all samples, lower C* values were detected compared to the 198 199 fresh product, and a significant variation was observed over time. A previous study reported that the reduction in C* could be linked to degradation of chlorophyll and a migration of chromophore 200 201 compounds into the blanching water (Jaiswal et al., 2012). Taken together, our data suggests that vacuum blanching could delay the browning process and consequently undesirable changes in color 202 parameters (Liu et al., 2019). 203

205 3.2 Extraction yield, total phenols and total flavonoids

Literature data demonstrated that the application of ultrasound procedures increase the extraction yield of the bioactive compounds due to the acceleration of mass transfer from the solid to the liquidphase. Indeed, the passage of ultrasound determines a greater penetration of the solvent within the material increasing the surface area (Yolmeh *et al.*, 2014).

Zucchini extraction yields (w/w) are reported in Fig. 2. Fresh samples showed the highest
extraction yield (10.01%), followed by the samples subject to blanching methods with innovative
vacuum blanching (8.85-5.01%). A lower extractive yield was observed for samples subjected to hot
water blanching.

The loss of nutrients during hot water blanching is caused by bleaching or diffusion (Mu-kherjee and Chattopadhyay, 2007). All water-soluble nutrients can leach out from plant tissues to the blanching water. The quality of blanched products depends significantly on the time-temperature combinations of blanching, and also on the process type. The daily intake of polyphenols has received much attention due to the health benefits of their antioxidant/anti-radical, anti-inflammatory, anticarcinogenic, antiviral and antimicrobial activities. Zucchini has a high nutritional value and a low calorie content.

221 A number of studies have investigated the effect of blanching on the TPC of zucchini (Iswaldi et al., 2013; Seleim et al., 2015; Baljeet et al., 2016). The results of blanching on the TPC is showed in 222 Table 3. Samples had on average 39.4 mg of CAE/g of extract, and neither hot-water blanching nor 223 vacuum blanching caused any damaging effects. All samples showed values of phenolic compounds 224 225 comparable to the fresh product (39.4±1.6 mg of CAE/g of extract), although the samples ZB2, ZB4 and ZB8 had the highest values. However, a gradual decrease in the total polyphenol content was 226 227 observed throughout the storage period. Hot water blanching (ZB) for 8 min caused a significant increase (p < 0.05) in the TPC of zucchini, and showed a greater increase compared to blanching for 228 2 and 5 min, throughout storage. In fact, ZB8 sample at time 0 showed a higher TPC equal to 39.9 229

mg of CAE/g of extract. Similar values were also observed for ZB8 after 7, 14, 21 and 28 days with 37.1, 34.7, 33.5 and 31.4 mg of CAE/g of extract, respectively. Increase in the TPC of the zucchini may be ascribed to the reduction in the enzyme polyphenol oxidase. Furthermore, the greater quantity of TPC could be due to the solubilization of phenolic acids after=the destruction of cellular components (Francisco *et al.*, 2010). Maximum flavonoid content was recorded in control samples (22.8 mg/g), because during blanching the flavonoid content was lost (Danesi and Bordoni, 2008).

As shown in Table 3, The TFC of the vacuum-blanched zucchini ranged from 18.8 (t0) to 14.3 236 (t28) mg QE/g of extract for ZS2, 13.05 (t0) to 9.4 (t28) mg/g for ZS5 and 13.7 (t0) to 9.1 (t28) for 237 ZS8. While for the traditionally-blanched zucchini, it ranged from 17.4 (t0) to 11,1 (t28) mg QE/g of 238 239 extract for ZB2, from 19.9 (t0) to 8.2 (t28) for ZB5 and 15.5 (t0) to 6.4 (t28) mg QE/g of extract for ZB8. The samples ZB2, ZB5 and ZB8 showed the highest TFC. In particular, the ZB8 sample at time 240 0 showed a higher content of flavonoids equal to 19.9 mg QE/g of extract. In any case, a significant 241 242 reduction in the TFC of the zucchini after blanching was observed in all samples analyzed throughout the entire storage time. 243

244

245 3.3 Antioxidant activity

The antioxidant activity of zucchini extracts derived from treated and non-treated vegetables wasinvestigated. All samples showed an antioxidant activity in a concentration-dependent manner.

In DPPH assay (Table 4), the samples subjected to vacuum blanching showed higher values than the untreated and traditional blanching samples. In particular, the ZB8 sample at time 0 displayed a better radical scavenging potential with a percentage of 49.58%, an activity 1.34-times greater than traditional blanching. However, over the 28 days the antioxidant ability decreased more markedly for traditional blanching. The *Pearson's* correlation coefficient was positive between TPC and DPPH, with r = 0.85.

The same considerations can be made for the ABTS test (Table 4). Generally, ZB8 throughout the whole 28 days showed the best results. Indeed, this sample at time 0 showed the highest radical scavenging activity with IC₅₀ values of 14.9 μ g/mL. Similar values were also observed for ZB8 after 7 and 14 days with IC₅₀ of 15.04 and 15.96 μ g/mL, respectively.

The potential of samples to inhibit lipid peroxidation was assessed using the β -carotene bleaching 258 test (Table 5). Generally, vacuum treatment after 5 and 8 minutes increases protection against lipid 259 peroxidation. In particular, ZB8 at time 0 showed the highest values with IC₅₀ of 13.74 and 15.79 260 µg/mL, respectively after 30 and 60 minutes of incubation. For ZB8 after 7 days a similar activity 261 was reported (IC₅₀=14.08 and 16.09 μ g/mL, respectively after 30 and 60 minutes of incubation). Also 262 interesting were the results obtained for ZB5 and ZS8 at 0- and 7-days in β-carotene bleaching test 263 after 30 min of incubation with IC₅₀ values of 14.68, 15.20, 16.24 and 17.60 µg/mL, respectively. 264 Moreover, after 60 min of incubation IC₅₀ values of 18.35 and 19.06 µg/mL, respectively for ZB5 at 265 0- and 7-days were observed. 266

267 The obtained data demonstrated that the vacuum treatment protected the antioxidant activity of 268 *Cucurbita pepo* extending the shelf-life of the food compared to traditional blanching and the fresh sample. Additionally, the best results can be observed after 8 min of treatment probably related to the 269 270 formation of substances having greater antioxidant activity. According to Tiwari and Cummins 271 (2013), the processing of vegetables can result in a significant reduction in their phytochemical content. Indeed, in traditional blanching a reduction in antioxidant activity was observed. However, 272 273 the vacuum preserved the integrity of the food and consequently its antioxidant properties. Similarly, Liu et al. (2019) compared an innovative non-contacted blanching (vacuum) method with traditional 274 hot water blanching methods. 275

Data showed that vacuum blanching represented the most effective method and preserved the
antioxidant capacity of food as well as the content of bioactive compounds. The effect of vacuum
blanching compared to the traditional method was also investigated by Tanongkankit *et al.* (2015).
The authors confirmed that vacuum treatment contained higher amounts of antioxidants and
antioxidant activity than hot air treatment.

282 3.4 Principal component analysis

Principal component analysis (PCA), used to study the dimensionality of a data set is reduced by 283 defining several mathematical factors (principal components) which are a linear combination of the 284 original variables (D'Agostino et al., 2014). PCA eigenvalues are used as a measurement of the 285 amount of variance explained by each of these factors, while PCA loadings afford information on the 286 associated variables (volatile compounds) and its importance for each principal component. 287 288 Parameters were assessed through data generated according to a factorial design using PCA. PCA (Fig. 3) showed that the two principal components accounted for 76.67 % of total variance, with PC1 289 for 42.12 % and PC2 for 34.55% of total variance. Thus, these components can be used to represent 290 291 the set of variables measured in the packages tested, since they incorporate over 76 % of the variance. The first principal component (Fig. 3) shows strong positive correlation with TPC (t0, t7, t14, t21, 292 t28), TFC (t0, t7, t14) and DPPH (t0, t7, t14, t21, t28). The significant correlations obtained support 293 294 the hypothesis that total phenolic content contributes significantly to the antioxidant activity, especially for DPPH assay (Sicari et al., 2016). 295

In addition, from the analysis of variable loads, it was seen that the PC1 has a negative correlation with pH (t0, t7, t14, t21, t28), TSS (t0, t7, t14, t21, t28) and ABTS (t0, t7, t14, t21, t28).

298 The second principal component is correlated with pH-t21, ABTS (t0, t7, t14, t21, t28), β -carotene

bleaching test t30 (t0, t7, t14, t21, t28) and β -carotene bleaching test t 60 (t0, t7, t14, t21, t28).

Fig. 3 also showed that PC1 positive correlation with ZB2, ZB5 and ZB8 obtained from hot water blanching and was characterized by the presence of TPC, TFC and DPPH. In addition, the different relationships between the antioxidant activity and the total phenolic content may be due to many factors; in fact, the total phenolic content does not incorporate all the antioxidants.

PCA showed that the factorial axis associated with PC-1 is the axis which of all the imaginable axes
best represents the similarities and differences between the observations, and distinguishes samples
with the highest content of bioactive compounds (TPC and TFC).

308 5. Conclusions

The different blanching treatments have an effect on the chemical-physical characteristics, functional components and antioxidant activity of zucchini rings. In addition, also the duration of the treatment has a great effect on total phenolic content, total flavonoid content and antioxidant activities.

Results suggest that a blanching time of 8 minutes allows the bioactive compounds to be preserved, thereby maintaining the antioxidant activity of fresh product. In particular, the application of a vacuum minimized the total soluble solids, the antioxidant capacity and the total phenolic losses increasing protection against lipid peroxidation and delaying the browning process. The combination of vacuum and heat treatments appears to be a suitable technology to preserve the nutritional and sensorial attributes of products leading to a product with a higher quality retention of up to 28 days of storage.

320

321 **Ethical Guidelines:** Ethics approval was not required for this research.

322 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

323

324 **References**

325	Jaiswal, A.K., Gupta, S., & Abu-Ghannam, N. (2012). Kinetic evaluation of color, tex	ture,
326	polyphenols and antioxidant capacity of Irish York cabbage after blanching treatment. <i>I</i>	Food
327	Chemistry, 131, 63-72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.08.032	

Chemat, F., Rombaut, N., Sicaire, A.G., Meullemiestre, A., Fabiano-Tixier, A.S., & Abert Vian, M. (2017). Ultrasound assisted extraction of food and natural products. Mechanisms,

techniques, combinations, protocols and applications. A review. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*,

34, 540-560. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2016.06.035

- 332 3. Mu-kherjee, S., & Chattopadhyay, P. (2007). Whirling bed blanching of potato cubes and its
 and effects on product quality. *Journal of Food Engineering*, **78**, 52-60.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2005.09.001
- Jacobo-Valenzuela, N., Maróstica-Junior, M.R., Zazueta-Morales, J.D.J., & Gallegos Infante, J.A. (2011). Physicochemical, technological properties, and health-benefits of
 Cucurbita moschata Duchense vs. Cehualca: A Review. *Food Research International*, 44,
 2587-2593. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2011.04.039
- Wang, C., Zhang, B., Song, L., Li, P., Hao, Y., & Zhang, J. (2020). Assessment of different
 blanching strategies on quality characteristics and bioactive constituents of *Toona sinensis*.
- 341
 LWT-Food
 Science
 and
 Technology,
 130,
 109549.

 342
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2020.109549

 109549.

 </
- Martínez-Valdivieso, D., Font, R., Fernández-Bedmar, Z., Merinas-Amo, T., Gómez, P.,
 Alonso-Moraga, Á., & Del Río-Celestino, M. (2017). Role of Zucchini and its distinctive
 components in the modulation of degenerative processes: genotoxicity, anti-genotoxicity,
 cytotoxicity and apoptotic effects. *Nutrients*, 9, 755-777. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9070755
- Neves, F.I.G., Silva, C.L.M., & Vieira, M.C. (2019). Combined pre-treatments effects on
 zucchini (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) squash microbial load reduction. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, **305**, 108257. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2019.108257
- Xiao, H.W., Pan, Z., Deng, L.Z., El-Mashad, H.M., Yang, X.H., Mujumdar, A.S., Gao, Z.J.,
 & Zhang, Q. (2017). Recent developments and trends in thermal blanching A
 comprehensive review. *Information Processing in Agriculture*, 4, 101-127.
 ttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.inpa.2017.02.001
- 9. Patras, A., Tiwari, B.K., & Brunton, N.P. (2011). Influence of blanching and low temperature 354 preservation strategies on antioxidant activity and phytochemical content of carrots, green 355 broccoli. LWT-Food Science Technology, 299-306. 356 beans and and 44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2010.06.019 357

358	10. Severini, C., Giuliani, R., De Filippis, A., Derossi, A., & De Pilli, T. (2016). Influence of
359	different blanching methods on color, ascorbic acid and phenolics content of broccoli.
360	Journal of Food Science and Technology, 53, 501-510. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-
361	015-1878-0
362	11. Aguilar, C.N., Rodriguez-Herrera, R., Montanez-Saenz, J.C., Reyes-Veja, M.D., &
363	Contreras-Esquível, J.C. (2004). Blanching at low temperatures: A thermal bioprocess
364	applied to fruits and vegetables to improve textural quality. Food Science and Biotechnology,
365	13 , 104-108.
366	12. Chemat, F., Rombaut, N., Sicaire, A.G., Meullemiestre, A., Fabiano-Tixier, A.S., & Abert-
367	Vian, M. (2017). Ultrasound assisted extraction of food and natural products. Mechanisms,
368	techniques, combinations, protocols and applications. A review. Ultrasonics Sonochemistry,
369	34, 540-560. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2016.06.035
370	13. Leporini, M., Loizzo, M.R., Sicari, V., Pellicanò, T.M., Reitano, A., Dugay, A., Deguin, B.,
371	& Tundis, R. (2020a) Citrus × Clementina Hort. juice enriched with its by-products (peels
372	and leaves): chemical composition, in vitro bioactivity, and impact of processing.
373	Antioxidants, 9, 298-330. https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox9040298
374	14. Pinchuk, I., Shoval, H., Dotan, Y., & Lichtenberg, D. (2017). Evaluation of antioxidants:
375	scope, limitations and relevance of assays. Chemistry and Physics of Lipids, 165, 638-647.
376	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemphyslip.2012.05.003.
377	15. Loizzo, M.R., Tundis, R., Sut, S., Dall'acqua, S., Ilardi, V., Leporini, M., Falco, T., Sicari,
378	V., & Bruno, M. (2020). High-Performance Liquid Chromatography/Electrospray Ionization
379	Tandem Mass Spectrometry (HPLC-ESI-MS ⁿ) Analysis and Bioactivity Useful for
380	Prevention of "Diabesity" of Allium commutatum Guss. Plant Foods for Human Nutrition,
381	75, 124-130. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11130-019-00782-2
382	16. Leporini, M., Tundis, R., Sicari, V., Pellicanò, T.M., Dugay, A., Deguin, B., & Loizzo, M.R.
383	Impact of extraction processes on phytochemicals content and biological activity of <i>Citrus</i> 15

384		\times clementina Hort. Ex Tan. leaves: new opportunity for under-utilized food by-products.
385		Food Research International, 127, 108742. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2019.108742
386	17.	Coupland, J., Shaw, N.B., Monahan, F., O'Riordan, E., & O'Sullivan, M. (2000). Modeling
387		the effect of glycerol on the moisture sorption behavior of whey protein edible films. Journal
388		of Food Engineering, 43, 25-30. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0260-8774(99)00129-6
389	18.	Owureku-Asare, M., Oduro, I., Saalia, F.K., Tortoe, C., & Ambrose, R.P.K. (2018).
390		Physicochemical and Nutritional Characteristics of Solar and Sun-dried Tomato Powder.
391		Journal of Food Research, 7, 1-15. https://doi.org/10.5539/jfr.v7n6p1
392	19.	Mondragón-Portocarrero, A.D.C., Pena-Martínez, B., Fernández-Fernández, E., Romero-
393		Rodríguez, A., & Vázquez-Odériz, L. (2006). Effects of different pre-freezing blanching
394		procedures on the physicochemical properties of Brassica rapa leaves (Turnip Greens,
395		Grelos). Internationl Journal of Food Science and Technology, 41 , 1067-1072.
396		https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2006.01180.x
397	20.	Martinez, S., Perez, N., Carballo, J., & Franco, I. (2013). Effect of blanching methods and
398		frozen storageon some quality parameters of turnip greens ("grelos"). Journal of Food
399		Science and Technology, 51, 383-392. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2012.09.020
400	21.	Rocha, A.M., Ferreira, J.F., Silva, Â.M., Almeida, G.N., & Morais, A.M. (2007). Quality of
401		grated carrot (var. Nantes) packed under vacuum. Journal of the Science of Food and
402		Agriculture, 87, 447-451. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2723
403	22.	Jaiswal, A.K., Gupta, S., & Abu-Ghannam, N. (2012). Kinetic evaluation of color, texture,
404		polyphenols and antioxidant capacity of Irish York cabbage after blanching treatment. Food
405		Chemistry, 131, 63-72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.08.032
406	23.	Liu, B., Fan, X., Shu, C., Zhang, W., & Jiang, W. (2019). Comparison of non-contact
407		blanching and traditional blanching pretreatment in improving the product quality, bioactive
408		compounds, and antioxidant capacity of vacuum-dehydrated apricot. Journal of Food
409		Process and Preservation, 43, 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.13890

- 410 24. Yolmeh, M., Habibi Najafi, M.B., & Farhoosh, R. (2014). Optimisation of ultrasound411 assisted extraction of natural pigment from annatto seeds by response surface methodology
 412 (RSM). *Food Chemistry*, **155**, 319-324. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.01.059
- 413 25. Mu-kherjee, S. (2007). Chattopadhyay, P. Whirling bed blanching of potato cubes and its
 414 effects on product quality. Journal of *Food Eng*ineering, **78**, 52-60.
 415 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2005.09.001
- 26. Iswaldi, I., Arráez-Román, D., Caravaca, A.M.G., Lozano-Sánchez, J., Segura Carretero, A.,
 & Fernández-Gutiérrez, A. (2013). Profiling of phenolic and other polar compounds in
 zucchini (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) by reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography
 coupled to quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Food Research Int*ernational, **50**,
 77-84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2012.09.030
- 27. Seleim, M.A.A., Hassan, M.A.M., & Saleh, A.S.M. (2015). Changes in nutritional quality
 of zucchini (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) vegetables during the maturity. *Journal of Food and Dairy Science*, 6, 613-624.
- 424 28. Baljeet, S.Y., Roshanlal, Y., & Ritika, B.Y. (2016). Effect of cooking methods and extraction
 425 solvents on the antioxidant activity of summer squash (*Cucurbita pepo*) vegetable extracts.
 426 *International of Food Research Journal*, 23, 1531-1540.
- 427 29. Francisco, M., Velasco, P., Moreno, D.A., Garcia-Viguera, C., & Cartea, M.E. (2010).
 428 Cooking methods of *Brassica rapa* affect the preservation of glucosinolate, phenolics and
 429 vitamin C. *Food Research Int*ernational, 43, 1455-1463.

430 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2010.04.024

- 30. Danesi, F., & Bordoni, A. (2008). Effect of home freezing and Italian style of cooking on
 antioxidant activity of edible vegetables. *Journal of Food Science*, **73**, 109-112.
- 433 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-3841.2008.00826.x

- 31. Tiwari, U., & Cummins, E. (2013). Factors influencing levels of phytochemicals in selected 434 fruit and vegetables during pre- and post-harvest food processing operations. Food Research 435 International, 50, 497-506. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2011.09.007 436
- 437 32. Tanongkankit, Y., Chiewchan, N., & Devahastin, S. (2015). Evolution of antioxidants in dietary fiber powder produced from white cabbage outer leaves: effects of blanching and 438 methods. 2280-2287. 439 drying Food Science and Technology, 52, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-013-1203-8 440
- 33. D'agostino, M.F., Sanz, J., Martínez-Castro, I., Giuffrè, A.M., Sicari, V., & Soria, A.C. 441 (2014). Statistical analysis for improving data precision in the SPME GC-MS analysis of 442 443 blackberry (Rubus ulmifolius Schott) volatiles. Talanta, 125, 248-256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2014.02.058
- 34. Sicari, V., Pellicano, T.M., Giuffrè, A.M., Zappia, C., & Capocasale, M. (2016). Bioactive 445 446 compounds and antioxidant activity of citrus juices produced from varieties cultivated in Calabria. Journal of Food Measurement and Characterization, 10, 773–780. 447 448 ttps://doi.org/10.1007/s11694-016-9362-8.
- 449

450 Table 1. Changes in moisture, pH and TSS of different blanching treatments during storage

		t0	t7	t14	t21	t28	Sign.
MOISTURE	Fresh	68.71±1.12 ^b					
	ZS2	69.44±3.22ªA	66.07±4.85 ^{aB}	65.53±5.25 ^{cC}	65.74 ± 4.85^{dD}	65.91±5.3 ^{eE}	**
	ZS5	68.64±4.12 ^{cA}	66.2 ± 5.36^{bB}	65.86 ± 4.85^{bB}	65.93±6.03 ^{cC}	65.88±4.23 ^{bD}	**
	ZS8	68.41±5.01 ^{dA}	65.98±6.03 ^{cC}	64.79 ± 4.78^{aB}	65.93±6.25 ^{bB}	65.56±4.58 ^{aD}	**
	ZB2	67.62±3.78 ^{fB}	65.3±7.21 ^{dC}	66.16±6.56 ^{eD}	65.64±5.61 ^{aA}	65.58±6.23 ^{cE}	**
	ZB5	67.63 ± 6.02^{fA}	66.29±4.58 ^{eC}	66.36±4.89 ^{cB}	66.08±4.78 ^{fD}	66.25±5.23 ^{dE}	**
	ZB8	68.12±5.12 ^{eA}	66.04 ± 4.52^{dB}	65.83±5.36 ^{dB}	65.92±5.55 ^{eC}	66.57±4.62 ^{cdD}	**
	Sign.	**	**	**	**	**	
pН	Fresh	6.54±0.42 ^b					
	ZS2	6.41±1.63 ^{aB}	6.24±1.37 ^{dC}	6.17±1.01 ^{eD}	6.69±1.06 ^{aA}	6.06±0.88 ^{cE}	**
	ZS5	6.44±1.33 ^{aA}	6.15±0.88 ^{eC}	6.32±0.77 ^{cB}	6.09±1.01 ^{fE}	6.00 ± 1.22^{dD}	**
	ZS8	6.37±1.03 ^{aA}	6.25 ± 1.06^{dB}	6.25 ± 1.22^{dB}	6.13±0.88 ^{eC}	6.04±1.45 ^{cdD}	**
	ZB2	6.67±0.56 ^{cA}	6.46 ± 0.89^{aB}	6.34±0.67°C	6.24 ± 0.36^{dD}	5.86±0.69 ^{eE}	**

	ZB5	6.64±1.06 ^{cA}	6.40±1.03 ^{bB}	6.37±1.02 ^{bB}	6.32±0.69 ^{cC}	6.23±1.08 ^{bD}	**
	ZB8	6.69 ± 2.01^{dA}	6.35±1.56 ^{cC}	$6.55{\pm}1.54^{aB}$	6.36±1.02 ^{bC}	6.33±1.77 ^{aD}	**
	Sign.	**	**	**	**	**	
TSS	Fresh	1.94±0.02°					
	ZS2	2.18±0.23 ^{aB}	2.45±0.31 ^{bA}	1.96 ± 0.05^{bC}	1.98 ± 0.28^{bC}	1.98±0.45 ^{bC}	**
	ZS5	2.15 ± 0.47^{aB}	$2.47{\pm}0.25^{aA}$	1.99 ± 0.86^{bC}	1.98±0.02 ^{aC}	1.98±0.42 ^{bC}	**
	ZS8	1.99 ± 0.56^{bD}	2.95 ± 0.62^{bB}	3.49 ± 0.66^{aA}	2.98 ± 0.47^{bB}	2.46±0.82 ^{aC}	**
	ZB2	1.48 ± 0.23^{dD}	2.48 ± 0.02^{bA}	1.73 ± 0.06^{cB}	1.98 ± 0.04^{bC}	1.97 ± 0.06^{bB}	**
	ZB5	1.47 ± 0.02^{dA}	1.49 ± 0.02^{dA}	1.48 ± 0.02^{dB}	1.25 ± 0.03^{dA}	1.49±0.04 ^{cA}	**
	ZB8	1.21±0.02 ^{eD}	1.72 ± 0.02^{cB}	1.97 ± 0.02^{bA}	1.49±0.02 ^{cC}	1.24 ± 0.02^{dD}	**
	Sign.	**	**	**	**	**	

452 Data are presented as means ± standard deviations. Means within a column and a row with different letters are significantly

453 different by Tukey's post hoc test. ** Significance at p < 0.01.

Parameters	time	ZS2	ZS5	ZS8	ZB2	ZB5	ZB8	Sign.
L*	t0	76.86 ± 4.23^{aA}	71.53±8.69 ^{bA}	66.53 ± 6.35^{fD}	67.13±6.88 ^{eC}	67.20±4.11 ^{dC}	68.92±6.23 ^{cA}	**
	t7	61.90 ± 6.23^{fE}	66.68±8.36 ^{cC}	63.75±7.26 ^{eE}	64.42 ± 6.69^{dD}	67.99±6.21 ^{aB}	67.54 ± 7.59^{bC}	**
	t14	63.19±7.23 ^{eD}	62.79 ± 7.26^{fE}	74.80 ± 8.88^{aA}	63.52±7.83 ^{dE}	65.93 ± 8.87^{bE}	65.43±4.55 ^{cE}	**
	t21	63.54±6.39 ^{eC}	63.11 ± 9.36^{fD}	73.26 ± 7.74^{aB}	67.97±7.56 ^{cA}	66.95 ± 6.56^{dD}	68.36 ± 5.21^{bB}	**
	t28	63.90±5.36 ^{eB}	66.84 ± 7.23^{dB}	69.30±4.25 ^{aC}	67.27±6.28 ^{cB}	68.68 ± 3.88^{bA}	66.81±6.10 ^{dD}	**
	Sign.	**	**	**	**	**	**	
a*	t0	0.9 ± 0.00^{aA}	2.32±0.01 ^{eC}	1.82 ± 0.01^{dB}	1.55±0.01 ^{cC}	1.33±0.01 ^{bB}	1.87 ± 0.05^{dD}	**
	t7	2.02±0.01 ^{bD}	2.36±0.01 ^{cD}	2.52±0.03 ^{eD}	2.39 ± 0.02^{dD}	1.97±0.03 ^{aE}	2.04 ± 0.02^{cE}	**
	t14	1.52 ± 0.00^{cC}	1.54±0.01 ^{cB}	2.08 ± 0.03^{dC}	1.2±0.02 ^{aB}	1.43±0.03 ^{bC}	1.56±0.02 ^{cC}	**
	t21	1.35 ± 0.00^{bB}	1.34 ± 0.00^{bA}	2.09 ± 0.40^{dC}	1.2±0.02 ^{aB}	1.24±0.03 ^{aA}	1.47±0.01 ^{cB}	**
	t28	2.21±0.02 ^{eE}	1.53±0.00 ^{cB}	1.57±0.01 ^{cA}	1.1±0.01 ^{aA}	1.85 ± 0.03^{dD}	1.31±0.01 ^{bA}	**
	Sign.	**	**	**	**	**	**	
b*	tO	23.04 ± 2.22^{aA}	20.26 ± 3.56^{cA}	18.52 ± 2.58^{dD}	20.17 ± 1.47^{cA}	21.03 ± 3.22^{bB}	20.08±4.03cA	**
	t7	15.16±2.36 ^{eC}	14.97 ± 4.36^{fD}	15.92 ± 2.08^{dE}	17.34 ± 3.22^{dE}	19.36±3.21 ^{aC}	18.44±2.33 ^{bC}	**
	t14	14.9 ± 1.59^{eD}	13.59 ± 2.36^{fC}	25.2±5.31 ^{aA}	19.20 ± 2.36^{dE}	17.79 ± 2.55^{dE}	18.92±2.33 ^{cB}	**
	t21	17.16±1.25 ^{eB}	13.92±2.36 ^{fE}	22.28 ± 3.26^{aB}	18.05±2.39cD	18.79±5.02 ^{bD}	17.50±2.54 ^{cD}	**
	t28	13.76±2.02 ^{fE}	17.58±4.23 ^{eB}	19.60±2.23 ^{bC}	19.74±2.23 ^{aB}	18.19±2.30 ^{dA}	18.87±2.11 ^{cB}	**
	Sign.	**	**	**	**	**	**	

456 Table 2. Change in the color parameters of treated zucchini rings during storage457

458 The data are presented as means ± standard deviations. Means followed by different capital letters, for the variation over

time, and different lowercase letters, for the variation among different treatments, are significantly different by Tukey

460 HSDa test. ** Significance at p < 0.01.

461

		t0	t7	t14	t21	t28	Sign.
ТРР	Fresh	39.4 ± 1.6^{b}					
	ZS2	32.1±1.7 ^{dA}	29.2±1.3 ^{bB}	27.0±1.4 ^{cC}	25.2±1.1 ^{deD}	23.6±1.4 ^{cE}	**
	ZS5	29.9±1.2eA	27.6±1.7 ^{cB}	26.2±1.0 ^{dC}	25.3±1.0 ^{dD}	24.5 ± 1.4^{cE}	**
	ZS8	$26.1{\pm}1.4^{\mathrm{fA}}$	24.5 ± 1.4^{dB}	24.4±1.1 ^{eB}	24.1±1.2 ^{eB}	22.6±0.9 ^{dC}	**
	ZB2	37.5±1.5 ^{cA}	36.8±1.1 ^{aB}	32.6±1.2 ^{bC}	31.9±1.4 ^{bC}	29.4 ± 1.1^{bD}	**
	ZB5	37.8±1.1 ^{cA}	37.5 ± 1.4^{aA}	34.3 ± 1.7^{aB}	29.1±1.2 ^{cC}	28.4 ± 1.3^{bD}	**
	ZB8	$39.9{\pm}1.4^{\mathrm{aA}}$	37.1 ± 1.0^{aB}	34.7 ± 1.4^{aC}	33.5±1.1 ^{aD}	31.4 ± 1.2^{aE}	**
	Sign.	**	**	**	**	**	
TFC	Fresh	$22.8\pm0.9^{\rm a}$					
	ZS2	18.8±0.4 ^{cA}	17.3±0.3 ^{bB}	16.6±0.4 ^{aC}	15.3±0.8 ^{aD}	14.3±0.5 ^{aE}	**
	ZS5	13.5±0.5 ^{fA}	12.4±0.4 ^{fB}	12.1±0.3 ^{eC}	11.8±0.6 ^{bD}	9.4±0.3 ^{cE}	**
	ZS8	13.7 ± 0.4^{fA}	13.2±0.3 ^{eB}	11.9±0.4 ^{eC}	10.7 ± 0.2^{dD}	9.1±0.4 ^{dE}	**
	ZB2	17.4 ± 0.8^{dA}	16.5±0.2 ^{cB}	14.8±0.2 ^{cC}	11.3±0.5 ^{cD}	11.1±0.3 ^{bE}	**
	ZB5	19.9±0.3 ^{bA}	18.5 ± 0.4^{aB}	15.4 ± 1.0^{bC}	11.9 ± 0.5^{bD}	8.2 ± 0.4^{eE}	**
	ZB8	15.5±0.7 ^{eA}	14.4±0.5 ^{dB}	12.8±0.5 ^{dC}	10.8±0.6 ^{dD}	6.4±0.2 ^{fE}	**
	Sign.	**	**	**	**	**	

Table 3. Total phenolic (TPC) and total flavonoids (TFC) content in zucchini rings extracts

465Data are presented as means \pm standard deviations. Means followed by different capital letters in a row and different466lowercase letters in a column are significantly different by Tukey HSDa test. ** Significance at p < 0.01.

Table 4. Radical scavenging activities evaluated by DPPH and ABTS test of zucchini rings extracts

			Days		
	t0	t7	t14	t21	t28
DPPH test (% at 1 mg/mL)					
Fresh	41.82 %				
ZS2	39.93 %	39.33 %	38.57 %	37.17 %	33.36 %
ZS5	39.71 %	38.84 %	37.24 %	36.41 %	36.21 %
ZS8	36.84 %	35.81 %	35.36 %	35.26 %	34.93 %
ZB2	41.26 %	41.18 %	41.02 %	39.75 %	39.39 %
ZB5	44.74 %	44.33 %	44.19 %	43.73 %	43.24 %
ZB8	49.58 %	48.64 %	48.13 %	44.94 %	44.16 %
ABTS test (IC50 µg/mL)					
Fresh	$20.45 \pm 1.81^{****}$				
ZS2	$23.05 \pm 2.03^{****}$	$27.21 \pm 2.31^{****}$	$29.86 \pm 2.41^{****}$	$30.34 \pm 3.01^{****}$	$34.58 \pm 3.23^{****}$
ZS5	$37.31 \pm 3.34^{****}$	$39.48 \pm 3.81^{****}$	$41.81 \pm 3.93^{****}$	$55.93 \pm 4.11^{****}$	$61.87 \pm 4.32^{****}$
ZS8	$17.55 \pm 1.82^{****}$	$21.74 \pm 1.93^{****}$	$25.03 \pm 2.04^{****}$	$28.41 \pm 2.34^{****}$	$29.16 \pm 2.44^{****}$
ZB2	$29.16 \pm 2.86^{****}$	$29.81 \pm 2.89^{****}$	$31.17 \pm 2.98^{****}$	$31.86 \pm 2.97^{****}$	$36.48 \pm 3.23^{****}$
ZB5	$22.67 \pm 1.84^{****}$	$22.83 \pm 1.85^{****}$	$23.94 \pm 1.99^{****}$	$34.22 \pm 3.06^{****}$	$34.78 \pm 3.10^{****}$
ZB8	$14.98 \pm 1.25^{****}$	$15.46 \pm 1.36^{****}$	$15.96 \pm 1.32^{****}$	$16.64 \pm 1.43^{****}$	$17.96 \pm 1.55^{****}$

499Data are expressed as means \pm S.D. (n = 3). Differences within and between groups were evaluated by one-way ANOVA500followed by a multicomparison Dunnett's test: ****p < 0.0001, compared with the positive control ascorbic acid (IC50 of501 5.0 ± 0.07 and 1.7 ± 0.06 in DPPH and ABTS test, respectively).

			Days		
30 min					
incubation					
	tO	t7	t14	t21	t28
Fresh	$14.37 \pm 1.21^{****}$				
ZS2	$17.25 \pm 1.68^{****}$	$54.34 \pm 4.16^{****}$	41.95 % ^a	41.46 % ^a	38.46 % ^a
ZS5	$39.14 \pm 2.58^{****}$	$53.15 \pm 4.05^{****}$	49.10 % ^a	47.24 % ^a	46.16 % ^a
ZS8	$15.22 \pm 1.16^{****}$	$17.66 \pm 1.62^{****}$	$29.12 \pm 2.43^{****}$	$48.05 \pm 0.81^{****}$	50.11 ± 3.86****
ZB2	$52.27 \pm 3.82^{****}$	$55.52 \pm 3.95^{****}$	$56.46 \pm 3.82^{****}$	$56.96 \pm 3.86^{****}$	$58.76 \pm 4.01^{****}$
ZB5	$14.68 \pm 1.27^{****}$	$16.24 \pm 1.34^{****}$	$42.32\pm 3.10^{****}$	$43.94 \pm 3.26^{****}$	$47.06 \pm 3.38^{****}$
ZB8	$13.74 \pm 1.15^{****}$	$14.86 \pm 1.24^{****}$	$19.48 \pm 1.63^{****}$	$29.23 \pm 2.00^{****}$	$35.73 \pm 3.12^{****}$
60 min incubation					
Fresh	$26.11 \pm 2.33^{****}$				
ZS2	$27.31 \pm 2.47^{****}$	$55.91 \pm 3.84^{****}$	35.64 % ^a	34.56 % ^a	32.35 % ^a
ZS5	$44.21 \pm 2.87^{****}$	$55.74 \pm 3.83^{****}$	47.09 % ^a	45.25 % ^a	43.18 % ^a
ZS8	$22.36 \pm 2.36^{****}$	$25.76 \pm 2.57^{****}$	$32.41 \pm 2.8^{****}$	$52.33 \pm 3.71^{****}$	$53.53 \pm 3.88^{****}$
ZB2	$54.77 \pm 3.93^{****}$	$57.88 \pm 4.06^{****}$	$58.46 \pm 4.0^{****}$	$58.94 \pm 4.12^{****}$	$60.00 \pm 4.36^{****}$
ZB5	$18.35 \pm 1.87^{****}$	$19.06 \pm 1.95^{****}$	$45.44 \pm 3.7^{****}$	$45.91 \pm 3.85^{****}$	$50.11 \pm 4.09^{****}$
ZB8	$15.79 \pm 1.41^{****}$	$16.92 \pm 1.53^{****}$	$21.23 \pm 1.8^{****}$	$31.44 \pm 2.33^{****}$	$37.82 \pm 2.96^{****}$
Positive control					
Propyl gallate	0.09 ± 0.004				

Table 5. IC₅₀ values of β -carotene bleaching test after 30 and 60 min of incubation of zucchini rings extracts

527 Data are expressed as means \pm S.D. (n = 3). Differences within and between groups were evaluated by one-way ANOVA 528 followed by a multicomparison Dunnett's test: ****p < 0.0001, compared with the positive control. ^a sample at

529 concentration of 100 μ g/mL.

530

Fig. 1. Comparition of croma values during zucchini *shelf-life* (t0-t28)





533

534 The data are presented as means \pm standard deviations. Means followed by different capital letters,

535 for the variation over time, and different lowercase letters, for the variation among different treatments,

are significantly different by Tukey HSDa test. ** Significance at P < 0.01.

Fig. 2. Extraction yield



The data are presented as means ± standard deviations. Means followed by different capital letters, for the variation over time, and different lowercase letters, for the variation among different treatments, are significantly different by Tukey HSDa test. ** Significance at P < 0.01.

Fig. 3. Relationship between the two principal components as for the physical-chemical

551	parameters, bioactive compounds and antioxidantactivity of the treatments between storage
552 553	days 0 and 28.

