

Università degli Studi Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria Archivio Istituzionale dei prodotti della ricerca

Effects of co-fermentation with Lachancea thermotolerans or Metschnikowia pulcherrima on concentration of aroma compounds in Pinot Blanc wine

This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:
Original Effects of co-fermentation with Lachancea thermotolerans or Metschnikowia pulcherrima on concentration of aroma compounds in Pinot Blanc wine / Zenisova, K; Cabicarova, T; Sidari, R; Kolek, E; Pangallo, D; Szemes, T; Kuchta, T In: JOURNAL OF FOOD AND NUTRITION RESEARCH ISSN 1336-8672 60:1(2021), pp. 87-91.
Availability: This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12318/123707 since: 2024-11-23T15:58:49Z
Published DOI: http://doi.org/ The final published version is available online at:https://www.vup.sk/en/index.php?
Terms of use: The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing

policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria (https://iris.unirc.it/) When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

Publisher copyright

1	This is the peer reviewed version of the following article
2	
3	Effects of co-fermentation with Lachancea thermotolerans or Metschnikowia pulcherrima on
4	concentration of aroma compounds in Pinot Blanc wine. Journal of Food and Nutrition
5	Research, 2021, 60, 87-91 (ISSN 1336-8672)
6	
7	
8	which has been published on the website of the Food Research Institute, National Agricultural and
9	Food Centre
10	(https://www.vup.sk/en/index.php?mainID=4&navID=69)
11	The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the
12	publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	

Effects of co-fermentation with Lachancea thermotolerans or Metschnikowia pulcherrima on concentration of aroma compounds in Pinot Blanc wine. Katarína Ženišová – Tereza Cabicarová – Rossana Sidari – Emil Kolek – Domenico Pangallo – Tomáš Szemes – Tomáš Kuchta Katarína Ženišová, Tereza Cabicarová, Emil Kolek, Tomáš Kuchta, Food Research Institute, National Agricultural and Food Centre, Priemyselná 4, 82475 Bratislava, Slovakia. Rossana Sidari, Department of AGRARIA, Mediterranean University of Reggio Calabria, loc. Feo di Vito, 89122 Reggio Calabria, Italy. Domenico Pangallo, Institute of Molecular Biology, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Dúbravská cesta 21, 84551 Bratislava, Slovakia. Tomáš Szemes, Department of Molecular Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Comenius University, Ilkovičova 6, 84215 Bratislava, Slovakia; Science Park, Comenius University, Ilkovičova 8, 84104 Bratislava, Slovakia; Geneton Ltd., Ilkovičova 8, 84104 Bratislava, Slovakia. Correspondence author Katarína Ženišová, e-mail: katarina.zenisova@nppc.sk

Summary

The Slovakian strains of Lachancea thermotolerans and Metschnikowia pulcherrima were used in sequential co-fermentation with Saccharomyces cerevisiae in small-scale production of Pinot Blanc wine from the Small Carpathian wine region in Slovakia. Aroma compounds of the produced wines were analysed using solid-phase microextraction coupled to gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. Thirty-six aroma compounds were quantified, demonstrating no significant differences in concentrations of almost half of them, including acetic acid, ethyl acetate, 2,3butanediol and butanoic acid. Wines produced with non-Saccharomyces yeasts did not contain increased concentrations of aroma-active esters, but contained increased concentrations of methionol and decreased concentrations of furfural. Wine produced with L. thermotolerans contained increased concentrations of 2-phenylethanol, diethyl succinate and phenylethyl acetate, together with an increased concentration of 3-methylbutanoic acid. Wine produced with M. pulcherrima contained increased concentrations of 2-phenylethanol and diethyl succinate, together with a decreased concentration of acetaldehyde. Results of the study demonstrate that L. thermotolerans and M. pulcherrima, when used in a co-culture with S. cerevisiae, can modulate the composition of Pinot Blanc wine regarding aroma compounds, thereby positively contributing to its quality.

Keywords

wine; Lachancea; Metschnikowia; aroma

- Non-Saccharomyces yeasts are becoming widely considered for production of wines with alternative or more complex aroma profiles. Various non-Saccharomyces yeast species and strains have been shown to provide specific metabolic products during fermentation of grape must, such as terpenoids, esters, higher alcohols, glycerol, acetaldehyde, acetic acid or succinic acid. In order to guarantee successful fermentation, non-Saccharomyces yeasts are used in mixed cultures with Saccharomyces strains, while sequential inoculation facilitates stronger contribution of the former to organoleptic properties of wine [1–3].
- A widely studied non-*Saccharomyces* yeast, which is already commercially available and practically used, is *Lachancea thermotolerans*. This yeast is promoted as aroma and flavour enhancer, producing wines with increased concentrations of lactic acid, glycerol and 2-phenylethanol by mixed fermentation of grape must. Fortunately, the increase in glycerol is not accompanied by an increase in acetic acid concentration in wines produced by co-fermentation with
- 113 L. thermotolerans [4–6].
- Another widely studied non-Saccharomyces yeast, which is also commercially available and
- practically used, is Metschnikowia pulcherrima. It is promoted as a high producer of esters, in
- particular the pear-associated ethyl octanoate [7, 8]. Various white wines obtained by sequential
- fermentation with *M. pulcherrima* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* showed higher quality scores than
- 118 control wines obtained by fermentation solely with *S. cerevisiae* [2, 9].
- In this study, *L. thermotolerans* and *M. pulcherrima* were applied in small-scale production of Pinot
- 120 Blanc wine from the Small Carpathian wine region in Slovakia. Two non-Saccharomyces yeast
- strains of Slovakian origin were used for sequential inoculation together with a S. cerevisiae strain
- of the same origin. Aroma compounds of the produced wines were analysed using solid-phase
- microextraction coupled to gas chromatographymass spectrometry.

125 MATERIALS AND METHODS

124

126 Yeast strains and inoculum preparation

- Lachancea thermotolerans 5-1-1, Metschnikowia pulcherrima 11-1-7 and Saccharomyces cerevisiae
- 128 PDA W10 were from the Culture Collection of Wine Yeasts (Food Research Institute, National
- 129 Agricultural and Food Centre, Bratislava, Slovakia). These strains were previously isolated in
- Slovakia and were selected based on their enzymatic potential, enological properties and results of
- preliminary microvinification experiments (Ženišová and Sidari, unpublished results). A loopful of
- each strain was inoculated to 10 ml of sterile must with potassium metabisulfite (to form 20 mg·1⁻¹
- of free SO₂) and incubated statically for 24 h at 25 °C. Then, 1 ml of the prepared culture was
- transferred to 100 ml of sterile must with potassium metabisulfite (to form 20 mg·l⁻¹ of free SO₂)

and incubated statically for 24 h at 25 °C. Finally, 10 ml of this culture was transferred to 1 000 ml of sterile must with potassium metabisulfite (to form 20 mg· I^{-1} of free SO₂) and incubated with shaking of 2 Hz for 24 h at 25 °C. The must used for inoculum propagation was Pinot Blanc (20 °Brix, pH 3.0, acidity of 9.4 g· I^{-1}).

Wine samples

Mature grapes of Pinot Blanc were collected from a small vineyard in Modra, Slovakia, Small Carpathian wine region (vintage 2018; collection date 18 September 2018) and processed in a traditional way [10]. The must (24 °Brix, acidity of 9.4 g·l¹) was decanted, divided to batches of 90 l and individual batches were inoculated with *L. thermotolerans*, *M. pulcherrima* or *S. cerevisiae* at 1 % (v/v). With a delay of 24 h, the first two batches were additionally inoculated with *S. cerevisiae* at 1 % (v/v). All inocula contained 10⁶–10⁷ CFU·ml¹¹ yeasts. Upon the onset of fermentation, cooling devices were applied and fermentation took place at 16 °C. After the end of fermentation, the wine was separated and filtered through a sheet filter Hobrafilt N S15N (cellulose, diatomaceous earth and perlite, pore size 2 μm; Hobra – Školník, Broumov, Czech Republic).

Analytical methods

Solid phase microextraction (SPME) was carried out using a polydimethylsiloxan-divinylbenzene fibre, coating thickness 65 µm (Supelco, Bellefonte, Pennsylvania, USA) immersed in 10 ml of wine sample mixed at 6 Hz on a magnetic stirrer during 30 min at 20 °C. The extracted compounds were analysed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) using a 6890N gas chromatograph (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California, USA) coupled to a 5973 mass spectrometric detector (Agilent Technologies). The SPME fibre was placed in the inlet of the chromatograph for 2 min at 250 °C so as to desorb the entracte compounds. The gas chromatographic separation took place in a high polarity polyethyleneglycol column DB-WAXetr (length 30 m, inner diameter 0.25 mm, stationary phase thickness 0.5 μm; Agilent Technologies) using a temperature programme of 35 °C for 1 min, 5 °C·min⁻¹ and 250 °C for 1 min. The split ratio was 10:1. An average velocity of He carrier gas was 34 cm·s⁻¹ at constant flow. Ionization voltage of 70 eV was used. Identification of compounds was done by comparison of mass spectra with NIST 14 MS library (National Institute Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA). For quantification, total ion current was detected, 4-methyl-2-pentanol was used as an internal standard and individual peaks were calibrated using authentic standards (all from Sigma, St. Louis, Missouri, USA). Other analyses were performed in accordante with the official methodology of International Organisation of Vine and Wine [11].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

169170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

Pinot Blanc wines produced using co-fermentation with L. thermotolerans or M. pulcherrima were characterized and compared to that produced solely with S. cerevisiae. The wine samples were prepared in conditions that mimicked small-scale production by traditional producers. Chemical parameters of the produced wines are presented in Tab. 1, they all fell within the usual ranges of this wine variety [10]. The values for individual samples were similar but the wines produced using non-Saccharomyces yeasts had slightly higher total acidity with corresponding slightly lower pH. White wines are generally more acidic than red wines, while acidity gives the wine crispness on the palate. Acidity of a wine is one of its attractive properties, as it improves its refreshing, crisp qualities and it makes possible to combine wines successfully with certain food [10, 12]. Results of GC-MS analysis of aroma compounds are presented in Tab. 2. Thirty-six compounds that are known to contribute to wine aroma [12-14] were identified and quantified. Concentrations of almost half of them did not differ significantly between the analysed samples, including acetic acid or ethyl acetate, as well as those of 2,3-butanediol and butanoic acid, which are taken as offflavours. No desirable increase was observed in wines produced with non-Saccharomyces yeasts regarding aroma-active esters, such as ethyl hexanoate, ethyl octanoate or ethyl decanoate. However, interesting was the increase in concentration of methionol, which is a common component of wine and is characterized by soupy, onion or cooked vegetable flavour. Concentration of furfural was decreased in both wines produced with non-Saccharomyces yeasts. Positive effects were observed in wine produced by co-fermentation with L. thermotolerans regarding increased concentrations of 2-phenylethanol (floral flavour), diethyl succinate (fruity flavour) and phenylethyl acetate (honey flavour), while the increased concentration of 3methylbutanoic acid can be taken as detrimental as the compound is an off-flavour. Positive effects were observed also in wine produced by co-fermentation with M. pulcherrima. These included an increase in concentration of 2-phenylethanol and diethyl succinate, which are floral and fruity flavours, accompanied with a decrease in the concentration of acetaldehyde, which is taken as an off-flavour. The concentrations of aroma compounds determined in this study fall within the ranges previously reported for Central European Pinot Blanc wines and correspond to wines of good quality [10, 14–16].

199

200

201

202

Tab. 1. Chemical parameters of Pinot Blanc wine fermented using *S. cerevisiae* with or without pre-inoculation with *L. thermotolerans* or *M. pulcherrima*.

	L. thermotolerans + S. cerevisiae	M. pulcherrima + S. cerevisiae	S. cerevisiae
Ethanol (v/v) [%]	13.8	14.0	13.8
pH	3.4	3.4	3.6
Total acidity [g·l·1]	4.8ª	4.9a	4.4 ^b
Sugar [°Brix]	5.5ª	6.0b	6.0b

Mean values of three measurements are presented. Values in rows marked by different superscript letters are significantly different at p < 0.05 as tested by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test.

Tab. 2. Concentrations of aroma compounds in Pinot Blanc wine fermented using *S. cerevisiae* with or without pre-inoculation with *L. thermotolerans* or *M. pulcherrima*.

Commercial from M1	L. thermotolerans	M. pulcherrima	
Compound [mg·l·1]	+ S. cerevisiae	+ S. cerevisiae	S. cerevisiae
Ethyl formate	0.00 ± 0.00^{2}	0.31 ± 0.12 b	0.45 ± 0.14 b
Methyl acetate	0.51 ± 0.09 ²	0.27 ± 0.04 b	0.33 ± 0.08
Ethyl acetate	24.53 ± 0.55	22.89 ± 1.52	21.47 ± 2.22
2-Methylpropyl acetate	0.05 ± 0.01	0.04 ± 0.02	0.06 ± 0.01
Ethyl butanoate	0.14 ± 0.01 a	0.13 ± 0.01 a	0.16 ± 0.01 b
1-Propanol	11.25 ± 2.73	9.84 ± 1.86	7.57 ± 1.81
Ethyl 3-methylbutanoate	0.01 ± 0.00 ^a	0.02 ± 0.00 b	0.02 ± 0.00 b
Butyl acetate	0.72 ± 0.22	1.05 ± 0.56	0.61 ± 0.26
3-Methylbutyl acetate	0.79 ± 0.01 a	0.58 ± 0.04 b	1.37 ± 0.08°
1-Butanol	0.35 ± 0.02	0.38 ± 0.09	0.32 ± 0.03
Pentyl acetate	0.00 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.03	0.01 ± 0.01
2-Methyl-1-butanol	46.66 ± 0.59	42.60 ± 1.22	47.01 ± 4.00
3-Methyl-1-butanol	133.58 ± 2.44	122.46 ± 3.85	138.10 ± 12.24
Ethyl hexanoate	0.39 ± 0.03	0.32 ± 0.04	0.34 ± 0.03
Hexyl acetate	0.01 ± 0.00 ^a	0.01 ± 0.00 b	0.02 ± 0.00°
3-Methyl-1-pentanol	0.17 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.00	0.18 ± 0.02
1-Hexanol	0.87 ± 0.03 a	0.84 ± 0.01	0.76 ± 0.05b
Ethyl octanoate	0.34 ± 0.07	0.26 ± 0.06	0.26 ± 0.05
1-Heptanol	0.22 ± 0.01 a	0.17 ± 0.01 b	0.28 ± 0.01°
Furfural	0.32 ± 0.06 a	0.21 ± 0.07 a	1.78 ± 0.49b
Acetic acid	135.83 ± 10.40	113.66 ± 13.87	123.36 ± 22.94
2,3-Butanediol	786.40 ± 134.02	623.79 ± 54.75	615.53 ± 122.23
Linalool	0.01 ± 0.00 ²	0.01 ± 0.00 a	0.01 ± 0.00b
Ethyl decanoate	0.10 ± 0.02	0.10 ± 0.02	0.08 ± 0.02
Butanoic acid	1.62 ± 0.14	1.43 ± 0.08	1.47 ± 0.17
Diethyl succinate	0.85 ± 0.05 a	0.77 ± 0.02 a	0.40 ± 0.05b
3-Methylbutanoic acid	1.61 ± 0.26 a	0.82 ± 0.03 b	1.03 ± 0.02 ^b
Methionol	0.63 ± 0.07 a	0.49 ± 0.14^{2}	0.24 ± 0.03 b
Phenylethyl acetate	0.63 ± 0.07^{2}	0.46 ± 0.03 b	0.59 ± 0.02^{2}
Geraniol	0.02 ± 0.01 a	0.01 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00 b
Hexanoic acid	2.34 ± 0.08 a	2.00 ± 0.01 b	2.27 ± 0.08 ²
2-Phenylethanol	63.11 ± 2.13 a	57.58 ± 1.26 b	45.30 ± 2.50°
Octanoic acid	2.81 ± 0.12	2.57 ± 0.19 ^a	3.10 ± 0.10 ^b
2-Methoxy-4-vinylphenol	0.15 ± 0.01 a	0.09 ± 0.00 b	0.11 ± 0.01°
Decanoic acid	0.32 ± 0.04	0.30 ± 0.05	0.28 ± 0.02

Wine samples were analysed in triplicate. Values represent mean \pm standard deviation. Concentrations of 2,3-butanediol isomers were summarized. Values in rows marked by different superscript letters are significantly different at p < 0.05 as tested by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test.

254 **CONCLUSIONS**

- 255 Although several properties of non-Saccharomyces yeasts in winemaking are apparently strain-
- dependent, our results overall confirm that L. thermotolerans and M. pulcherrima, when used in a
- 257 co-culture with *S. cerevisiae*, can modulate the composition of wine regarding aroma compounds,
- 258 thereby positively contributing to its quality.

259

260 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Slovak Research and Development Agency by the project APVV-16-0264.

262

263

REFERENCES

- 1. Clemente-Jimenez, J. M. Mingorance-Cazorla, L. Martínez-Rodríguez, S. Las Heras-
- Vázquez, F. J. Rodríguez-Vico, F.: Molecular characterization and oenological properties of wine
- yeasts isolated during spontaneous fermentation of six varieties of grape must. Food Microbiology,
- 267 21, 2004, pp. 149–155. DOI: 10.1016/S0740-0020(03)00063-7.
- 268 2. Jolly, N. P. Varela, C. Pretorius, I. S.: Not your ordinary yeast: non-Saccharomyces yeasts in
- 269 wine production uncovered. FEMS Yeast Research, 14, 2014, pp. 215-237. DOI: 10.1111/1567-
- 270 1364.12111.
- 3. Binati, R. L. Wilson, J. F. L. J. Luzzini, G. Slaghenaufi, D. Ugliano, M. Torriani, S.:
- 272 Contribution of non-Saccharomyces yeasts to wine volatile and sensory diversity: A study on
- Lachancea thermotolerans, Metschnikowia spp. and Starmerella bacillaris strains isolated in Italy.
- 274 International Journal of Food Microbiology, 318, 2020, article 108470. DOI:
- 275 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2019.108470.
- 4. Kapsopoulou, K. Mourtzini, A. Anthoulas, M. Nerantzis, E.: Biological acidification during
- 277 grape must fermentation using mixed cultures of Kluyveromyces thermotolerans and
- Saccharomyces cerevisiae. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 23, 2007, pp. 735–
- 279 739. DOI: 10.1007/s11274-006-9283-5.
- 5. Gobbi, M. Comitini, F. Domizio, P. Romani, C. Lencioni, L. Mannazzu, I. Ciani, M.:
- 281 Lachancea thermotolerans and Saccharomyces cerevisiae in simultaneous and sequential co-
- 282 fermentation: A strategy to enhance acidity and improve the overall quality of wine. Food
- 283 Microbiology, 33, 2013, pp. 271–281. DOI: 10.1016/j.fm.2012.10.004.
- 6. Hranilovic, A. Gambetta, J. M. Schmidtke, L. Boss, P. K. Grbin, P. R. Masneuf-
- 285 Pomarede, I. Bely, M. Albertin, W. Jiranek, V.: Oenological traits of Lachancea
- thermotolerans show signs of domestication and allopatric differentiation. Scientific Reports, 8,
- 287 2018, article 14812. DOI:10.1038/s41598-018-33105-7.
- 7. Lambrechts, M. G. Pretorius, I. S.: Yeast and its importance to wine aroma A review. South

- 289 African Journal of Enology and Viticulture, 21, 2000, pp. 97–129. DOI: 10.21548/21-1-3560.
- 8. Rodríguez, M. E. Lopes, C. A. Barbagelata, R. J. Barda, N. B. Caballero, A. C.: Influence
- of Candida pulcherrima Patagonian strain on alcoholic fermentation behaviour and wine aroma.
- 292 International Journal of Food Microbiology, 138, 2010, pp. 19–25. DOI:
- 293 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2009.12.025.
- 9. Sadoudi, M. Tourdot-Maréchal, R. Rousseaux, S. Stever, D. Gallardo-Chacón, J. J. –
- Ballester, J. Vichi, S. Guérin-Schneider, R. Caixach, J. Alexandre, H.: Yeast-yeast
- interactions revealed by aromatic profile analysis of Sauvignon Blanc wine fermented by single or
- 297 co-culture of non-Saccharomyces and Saccharomyces yeasts. Food Microbiology, 32, 2012, pp.
- 298 243–253. DOI: 10.1016/j.fm.2012.06.006.
- 299 10. Pavloušek, P.: Výroba vína u malovinařů. (Production of wine in small wineries.) 2nd edition.
- 300 Praha: Grada, 2010. ISBN: 9788024734873. In Czech.
- 301 11. Compendium of international methods of wine and must analysis. Vol. 1. Paris: International
- 302 Organisation of Vine and Wine, 2020. ISBN:978-2-85038-017-4.
- 303 https://www.oiv.int/public/medias/7372/oiv-compendium-volume-1-2020.pdf
- 12. Harrington, R. J.: Food and wine pairing: A sensory experience. Hobokoen: John Wiley and
- 305 Sons, 2007. ISBN: 978-0-471-79407-3.
- 306 13. Styger, G. Prior, B. Bauer, F. F.: Wine flavor and aroma. Journal of Industrial Microbiology
- and Biotechnology, 38, 2011, pp. 1145–1159. DOI:10.1007/s10295-011-1018-4.
- 308 14. Philipp, C. Sari, S. Eder, P. Patzl-Fischerleitner, E. Eder, R.: Austrian Pinot blanc wines:
- 309 Typicity, wine styles and the influence of different oenological decisions on the volatile profile of
- wines. BIO Web of Conferences, 15, 2019, article 02005. DOI: 10.1051/bioconf/20191502005.
- 311 15. De Matos, A. D. Longo, E. Chiotti, D. Pedri, U. Eisenstecken, D. Sanoll, C. -
- Robatscher, P. Boselli, E.: Pinot Blanc: Impact of the winemaking variables on the evolution of
- 313 the phenolic, volatile and sensory profiles. Foods, 9, 2020, article 499. DOI:
- 314 10.3390/foods9040499.

318

319

320

321

322

- 315 16. Temerdashev, Z. A. Khalafyan, A. A. Yakuba, Y. F.: Comparative assessment of amino
- acids and volatile compounds role in the formation of wines sensor properties by means of
- 317 covariation analysis. Heliyon, 5, 2019, e02626. DOI: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02626.