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#### Abstract

We propose a simple method based on Aperture Antennas Theory to assess limitations of OAM antennas in farfield links. Additional insight is also given by a Singular Value Decomposition of the operators defining source and far-field distributions for a given order of the vortex. The outcomes fully agree with the results recently achieved by Edfors, Craeye, and co-authors, and emphasize some additional draw-back.
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## I. A premise

Amongst the novel techniques developed for utilizing the radio spectrum with maximum efficiency, great attention has been recently devoted to Orbital Angular Momentum (OAM) antennas [1]-[17]. Such systems have been in some cases proposed as means to improve almost "indefinitely" the channel capacity in a link amongst two antennas [1].

Roughly speaking, the idea is to take profit from the fact that an antenna could simultaneously generate different fields each associated to a different amount of 'orbital momentum', i.e., to a different angular variation of the phase around the target direction (such as $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{j} \phi}, \mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{j} \phi}$, and so on, $\phi$ denoting the azimuth angle in the observation domain). Then, by associating a different information to each of these patterns, one could realize an "OAM multiplexing" [14] and eventually enlarge "at will" the channel capacity [1]. Saying it in other words, it is like using different modes of a channel, where the modes are associated to a free space link rather than to a guiding structure.

A large interest has been devoted to such a topic, including spectacular public demonstrations for mass media (e.g., Piazza San Marco, Venice, Italy, June $24^{\text {th }} 2011$ [1]) as well as contributions on top scientific journals (e.g., [2],[3]).

Since then, doubts [4] and objections [5],[7] become to appear, emphasizing some expected limitations.

In the attempt to contribute to such a debate, we propose in the following a very simple yet instructive point of view on the subject. In particular, we focus on the possibility to get such a multiplication of channels [1] in the far-field zone,
which is the usual framework for antenna links. Moreover, we will focus on the case of aperture antennas. Notably, this assumption does not impair the general validity of the discussion which follows. In fact, any antenna can be regarded as an aperture antenna by a proper choice of the aperture plane [18]. Also, the arguments which follow could be rephrased in terms of multipole expansions as well as in terms of generic radiation integrals. Additional insight is also given by an analysis of the operators defining source and field behaviors for a given order of the vortex. The outcomes confirm limitations indicated in [4],[5], and emphasize some further draw-back of the proposed multiplexing scheme.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sections II and III, respectively, we review some properties of the Hankel transform and exploit them to prove a couple of fundamental limitations of OAM Antennas. Then, in Section IV, we provide an even deeper understanding through a Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) analysis of the operators relating the far field 'vortices' to the corresponding sources. Finally, examples witnessing typical behaviors and performances are given in Section V. Conclusions follow.

## II. Understanding limitations through Hankel TRANSFORMS

As the far field of an aperture antenna is proportional (but for a kind of 'element factor') to the Fourier transform of the aperture field [18], a convenient mathematical setting is furnished by the Hankel transforms, which are extensively analyzed and discussed in [19],[20]. With respect to our specific problem, such a tool can be exploited as follows.

Let $f\left(\rho^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)$ denote the component of interest of the aperture field, $\rho^{\prime}$ and $\phi^{\prime}$ respectively being the radial and angular variables spanning the aperture (which is supposed to be circular and located in the $x y$ plane, see Fig. 1). By virtue of (9.14) in [20], $f\left(\rho^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)$ can be expanded in a multipole series as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\rho^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} f_{l}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right) e^{j\left(\phi^{\prime}\right.} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\ell}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f\left(\rho^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right) e^{-j \ell \phi^{\prime}} d \phi^{\prime} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]If $k$ and $\phi$ respectively denote the radial and azimuth coordinates in the spectral domain, then the Fourier transform of the source (1) is given by $\{$ see (9.15) in [20]\}:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(k, \phi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho^{\prime} d \rho^{\prime} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f\left(\rho^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right) e^{-j k \rho^{\prime} \cos \left(\phi^{\prime}-\phi\right)} d \phi^{\prime} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can in turn be expanded in a multipole series as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(k, \phi)=\sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} F_{\ell}(k) e^{j \ell \phi} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\ell}(k)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} F(k, \phi) e^{-j \ell \phi} d \phi \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, by substituting (1) into (3) and using (4) one achieves \{see (9.17) in [20]\}:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\ell}(k)=\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{\ell}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right) J_{\ell}\left(k \rho^{\prime}\right) \rho^{\prime} d \rho^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}_{\ell}\left\{f_{\ell}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{\ell}$ is the $\ell$-th order Bessel function of first kind, and expression (6) is indeed the Hankel transform [19] of order $\ell$ of the function $f_{t}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$.

As well known, the visible part of the spectrum, i.e., $k \leq \beta$ ( $\beta=2 \pi / \lambda$ denoting the wavenumber, being $\lambda$ the wavelength) determines the actual far field behavior. In particular, by denoting with $\theta$ the elevation angle with respect to boresight and adopting the usual correspondence with the spectral variables $u=\beta \sin \theta \cos \phi$ and $\nu=\beta \sin \theta \sin \phi$, one finds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\sqrt{u^{2}+v^{2}}=\beta \sin \theta \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (1)-(7) allow a number of interesting statements:
i. as obvious, an angular variation of order $\ell$ of the source in terms of the $\phi$ variable corresponds to an angular variation of order $\ell$ of the far field in terms of the $\phi$ variable. Hence, a natural diagonalization of the relationship between the aperture field and the corresponding spectrum occurs;
ii. For any fixed order $\ell$ of angular variation, the function $f_{\ell}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$ univocally determines the corresponding function $F_{t}(k)$ and vice versa. Both the forward and backward relations are ruled by a Hankel transform of order $\ell$;
iii. the Hankel-transform relationships (6) determines (but for a slowly-varying factor) the far-field power pattern associated to each source component $f_{t}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{j} \ell \phi}$.
The last point above can also be considered in the reverse fashion: once a desired power pattern is specified in terms of $\theta$ and $\phi$, and some reasonable prolongation is used for the invisible part of the spectrum [21], relations above univocally define the corresponding source. In particular, if a given angular variation of the kind $\mathrm{e}^{j \ell \phi}$ is desired in conjunction with a given elevation behavior specified by some $F_{\ell}(\beta \sin \theta)$ function, then the inverse Hankel transform will allow to
determine the corresponding source. In fact, after prolonging the $F_{t}(k)$ function in the invisible part of the spectrum, by using the inverse Hankel transform \{see (9.18) in [20]\}, the sought source will be given (but for the implicit angular variation) by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\ell}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{\ell}^{-1}\left\{F_{\ell}(k)\right\}=\int_{0}^{\infty} F_{\ell}(k) J_{\ell}\left(k \rho^{\prime}\right) k d k \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## III. A COUPLE OF STRAIGHTFORWARD CONSEQUENCES

With the aim of getting some preliminary understanding, let us look to the forward (6) and backward (8) relationships. In particular, let us have a look of what is going to happen with increasing values of $\ell$ (and limited sizes of the source).

As a crucial circumstance, $\forall \ell \neq 0$ Bessel functions $J_{\ell}$ present a $|\ell|$-th order zero in the origin [22]. Moreover, the $J_{\ell}$ function is the unique term of (6) depending on $k$. Then, whatever the source at hand, $\forall \ell \neq 0$ the corresponding spectrum (and hence the far field) will have a 'hole' (or better, a null) in the boresight direction while still being different from zero elsewhere. Notably, because of the properties of Bessel functions [see also (10) below], such a hole will have increasing size and depth with $|\ell|$.


Fig. 1. Simple communication link wherein the receiving aperture antenna (on the right) is located in the broadside direction of the transmitting aperture antenna (on the left)

Under such circumstances, even assuming a receiver positioned at the broadside direction (see Fig. 1) is able to detect and understand the (weaker and weaker) signal associated to the $\ell$-th order vortex, a huge price is paid. In fact, the majority of the power radiated by the transmitting antenna is moved out of the broadside direction, with two related consequences. First, the field level is uselessly large in a number of spatial directions, potentially violating radiation limits. Second, spectral resources are wasted. In fact, a number of potential space channels (which could be for instance exploited to realize a multibeam link) are occupied by the toroidal power patterns associated to the vortices.

Therefore, unless the receiving antenna is so large to intercept the power maxima, one will have a waste of both power and potential space-diversity-based channels, as well as an unjustified electromagnetic pollution. As a further draw-

[^1]back, $\forall|\ell|>0$ the received signal will undergo a very rapid decrease with the distance. In fact, if $R$ denotes the link distance while $a_{2}$ and $\theta_{1}$ respectively denote the radius of the receiving antenna and the elevation angle corresponding to its borders, one will have (see Fig. 1):
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \theta_{1}=\frac{a_{2}}{\sqrt{R^{2}+a_{2}^{2}}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Therefore, if $R \gg a_{2}$ (which is verified in the far-field region) then $\sin \theta_{1} \approx\left(a_{2} / R\right) \ll 1$. Hence, in the overall cone $0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_{1}$ the following asymptotic form $\{$ see (9.1.7) in [22]\} comes into play:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|J_{\ell}\left(\rho^{\prime} \beta \sin \theta\right)\right| \approx \frac{1}{|\ell|!}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime} \beta \sin \theta}{2}\right)^{|\ell|}  \tag{10}\\
\forall \ell: 0<\rho^{\prime} \beta \sin \theta \ll \sqrt{|\ell|+1}
\end{array}
$$

so that the borders of the antenna correspond to the maximal power density which can be intercepted.

Notably, (9) and (10) also entail that in the overall cone $0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_{1}$ the usual $(1 / R)^{2}$ power attenuation will be complemented by a $(1 / R)^{2|\ell|}$ factor for the $\ell$-th order vortex field. Such a circumstance has been already recognized and emphasized, on the basis of a different argument, in [5].

In order to remove electromagnetic pollution, and to avoid waste of potential space diversity based channels, one may consider very-high-order vortices (such that the power spectra maxima lie outside the visible part of the spectrum). In the same line of reasoning, for a fixed order $\ell$ one may consider very fast radial oscillations, which also would correspond to spectra having their maxima into the invisible region. In both cases one would anyway end up with a so-called superdirective source [23],[24], having a high $Q$ factor, a small bandwidth, and a gain much lower than directivity (because of the losses associated to the very high-energy aperture fields which would be required). A further deepening of such a point is given in the following Section.

## IV. FURTHER TOOLS AND ANALYSIS

Additional insights into the analysis carried out in the previous Section can be achieved by exploiting the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) [25] of the relevant operators. The usefulness of such a tool has been already shown in the analysis of OAM antennas in [4], wherein authors compared the OAM antennas' performance to that of traditional Multiple-In-Multiple-Out (MIMO) systems by applying the SVD to the channel matrix of the latter.

Herein, the use of SVD is completely different. In fact, we analyze in this Section the behavior of finite-dimensional sources by performing the SVD of the $\ell$-th order Hankel transform. By so doing, we will be able to define which kinds of far field one can realize by exploiting given angularlyvarying aperture fields, as well as how much energy is needed
for generating angularly-varying far fields having a given $\ell$ value while possibly guaranteeing given performances in the broadside direction.

In order to apply the SVD to (6), it proves convenient to re-write the latter in the case of a generic source defined over a circular aperture having a limited radius $a$. Under this assumption, one achieves (apart from inessential constants):

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\ell}(k)=\int_{0}^{a} f_{\ell}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right) J_{\ell}\left(k \rho^{\prime}\right) \rho^{\prime} d \rho^{\prime} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (11) leads to the following formulation in terms of the operator $A_{\ell}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\ell}=A_{\ell} f_{\ell} \tag{12.a}
\end{equation*}
$$

wherein:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\ell}: f_{\ell} \in L_{2}(0, a) \rightarrow A_{\ell} f_{\ell} \in L_{2}(0, \beta) \tag{12.b}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to get the SVD of $A_{\ell}$ in a simple and efficient fashion [26], it proves convenient to introduce the auxiliary operator $\bar{A}_{\ell}$ defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{A}_{\ell} f_{\ell}=\int_{0}^{a} f_{\ell}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right) J_{\ell}\left(k \rho^{\prime}\right) \sqrt{k} \sqrt{\rho^{\prime}} d \rho^{\prime} \tag{13.a}
\end{equation*}
$$

wherein:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{A}_{\ell}: f_{\ell} \in L_{2}(0, a) \rightarrow \bar{A}_{\ell} f_{\ell} \in L_{2}(0, \beta) \tag{13.b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, let us denote by $\left\{\bar{v}_{\ell, n}, \sigma_{\ell, n}, \bar{u}_{\ell, n}\right\}$ the SVD of $\bar{A}_{\ell}$, i.e., the functions and scalars such that:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{A}_{\ell} \bar{v}_{\ell, n}=\sigma_{\ell, n} \bar{u}_{\ell, n} \\
\bar{A}_{\ell}^{+} \bar{u}_{\ell, n}=\sigma_{\ell, n} \bar{v}_{\ell, n} \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

wherein $\bar{A}_{\ell}^{+}$is the adjoint of $\bar{A}_{\ell}$, and functions $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$, which can be computed by numerical discretization, are orthonormal in the intervals $[0, \beta]$ and $[0, a]$, respectively.

It is then simple to prove that the SVD of $A_{\ell}$ is given by $\left\{v_{\ell, n}, \sigma_{\ell, n}, u_{\ell, n}\right\}$ with:

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{\ell, n}=\frac{\bar{v}_{\ell, n}}{\sqrt{\rho^{\prime}}}  \tag{15.a}\\
& u_{\ell, n}=\frac{\bar{u}_{\ell, n}}{\sqrt{k}} \tag{15.b}
\end{align*}
$$

and functions $v_{\ell, n}$ and $u_{\ell, n}$ are orthonormal in the spaces of sources and spectra ( $k$ being defined in $[0, \beta]$ ). In fact, by substituting expressions (15) into (11) and (12.a) one finds:

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{\ell} v_{\ell, n}=\int_{0}^{a} J_{\ell}\left(k \rho^{\prime}\right) \rho^{\prime} & \frac{\bar{v}_{\ell, n}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{\rho^{\prime}}} d \rho^{\prime}= \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \int_{0}^{a} J_{\ell}\left(k \rho^{\prime}\right) \sqrt{k} \sqrt{\rho^{\prime}} \bar{v}_{\ell, n}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right) d \rho^{\prime}= \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

[^2]$$
=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sigma_{\ell, n} \bar{u}_{\ell, n}=\sigma_{\ell, n} u_{\ell, n}
$$

Moreover, $\forall \ell$ it is also:
$\int_{0}^{a} v_{\ell, n}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right) v_{\ell, p} *\left(\rho^{\prime}\right) \rho^{\prime} d \rho^{\prime}=\int_{0}^{\beta} u_{\ell, n}(k) u_{\ell, p} *(k) k d k=\delta_{n, p}$
wherein $\delta$ denotes the Kronecker Delta function and * means complex conjugation.

Therefore, since the left-hand and right-hand singular functions of the radiation operator are respectively a basis for the source and the corresponding spectra, one can write:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{\ell}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\ell, n} \cdot v_{\ell, n}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)  \tag{18.a}\\
& F_{\ell}(k)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{\ell, n} \cdot u_{\ell, n}(k) \tag{18.b}
\end{align*}
$$

where a suitable truncation of expansions, which affects the rate of variation of the aperture field along the radial coordinate, will be used in actual instances. In fact (see below) truncation will allow to regularize the inversion procedure from the desired spectrum to the aperture field, thus circumventing superdirectivity problems [24].

As well known, the $b_{\ell, n}$ coefficients are simply related to the $\alpha_{\ell, n}$ coefficients as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\ell, n}=\sigma_{\ell, n} \alpha_{\ell, n} \tag{18.c}
\end{equation*}
$$

wherein $\sigma_{\ell, n}$ is the $n$-th singular value associated to the $\ell$-th OAM mode. In fact, the singular values can be thought as scalar (gain) factors by which each source $v_{\ell, n}$ is multiplied to give the corresponding far field $u_{\ell, n}$.

Notably, (18) provide two different (related) ways for understanding some important OAM antennas' limitations.

First, one can observe the behavior of the singular values and functions associated to a given source size and different vortex orders.

Second, one can solve an ad-hoc synthesis problem with reference to the simple communication link depicted in Fig. 1, wherein a receiving aperture antenna (see Section II for the definition of the parameters $a_{2}, R$, and $\theta_{1}$ ) is located in front of a transmitting OAM antenna having a radius equal to $a_{1}$. In particular, we are interested in understanding how much power is required on the aperture for getting an equal power at the receiving points (and for identical collecting areas).

## V. AnAlysis and synthesis of OAM antennas

By exploiting the tools above, we discuss herein the typical outcomes experienced in the analysis and synthesis of OAM antennas. In particular, in Subsection V.A we show and comment the singular values and functions associated to OAM sources generating different OAM modes, while in Subsection V.B we present the results achieved by solving the problem mentioned at the end of the previous Section for different values of $\ell, a_{1}=a_{2}$, and $R$. In all cases, at least 60 points per
wavelength have been used in both the spatial and spectral domains in order to discretize the $\bar{A}_{\ell}$ operators and performing their SVD.
V.A The forward problem: outcomes from the SVD of the different radiation operators $A_{\ell}$

In order to understand the typical behavior of the singular values and singular functions associated to the different $A_{\ell}$ operators, one needs:
i. the SVD of the auxiliary $\bar{A}_{\ell}$ operators;
ii. some robust and accurate implementation of (12).

Notably, in the case $\ell=0$ the problem at hand corresponds to the evaluation of the 'prolate spheroidal' wave functions discussed in [27].

Obviously, step (i) does not require any particular care (but for a sufficiently large number of discretization points). Step (ii) requires instead some trick in order to avoid the difficulties related to the presence of singularities at the denominator. Such a difficulty can be however circumvented by using the simple method described in the Appendix.

By using such a method we computed the SVD of the radiation operator $A_{\ell}$ for different values of $\ell$ as well as for different sizes of the aperture. As a typical behavior, the singular values corresponding to the case $a_{1}=4 \lambda$ are shown in Fig. 2(a) for values of $\ell=0,1,3,5,7$.

As it can be seen, for any fixed value of $\ell$ the singular values exhibit a step-like behavior, with an exponential decay after a given value of the index $n$ (let us say $N_{\ell}$ ). Then, if $\ell$ and $n$ are such that $n>N_{\ell}$, realization of the field $u_{\ell, n}$ implies [because of (18.c)] a very strong increase of the coefficient $\alpha_{\ell, n}$ (and hence of the energy of the corresponding source). Moreover, whenever $n>N_{\ell}$ [see for example Fig. 2(b), which is representative of all these cases], the singular function $v_{\ell, n}$ is extremely fast oscillating, thus leading to a high-energy, fast oscillating (and hence difficult to be realized) source behavior. As fast oscillations imply a large content in the invisible part of the spectrum and hence a very high $Q$ factor [23], such a source would also have a very narrow band.

It can also be noted from Fig. 2(a) that $N_{\ell}$ is smaller and smaller for increasing values of $|\ell|$. Such a circumstance implies that the larger $|\ell|$, the lower the number of linearly independent patterns which can be realized by finite-energy sources. This is not surprising, as for increasing $|\ell|$ values the fields have to accommodate wider and wider holes in front of the transmitting antennas [see for example Fig. 2(c)], while necessarily being bandlimited elsewhere [28].

As a final comment, let us note that all the analysis is fully coherent with the general theory of the 'degrees of freedom' of the fields radiated by finite-dimensional sources given in [28]. In fact, our numerical experiments (performed for very many possible sizes of the source) and theoretical arguments (see below) suggest that the values of $N_{\ell}$ obey a rule of the kind:

[^3]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\ell}=\frac{1}{\pi}(\beta a-|\ell|)=\frac{2 a}{\lambda}-\frac{|\ell|}{\pi}=N_{0}-\frac{|\ell|}{\pi} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

In fact, the first addendum is equal to $a /(\lambda / 2)$, which is known to be $\{$ see the statement above (34) in [29]\} the number of degrees of freedom associated to a circularly-symmetric source of radius $a$. Moreover, as already discussed, the circumstance that the field must have an $\ell$-th order zero in the origin suggests that $N_{\ell}$ must decrease with $|\ell|$. Both results in Fig. 2(a) (and many others) as well as an a-posteriori check (see below) suggest that $1 / \pi$ is the correct coefficient for such a decrease.

The rule (19) has two interesting consequences. First, the maximum-order vortex which can be excited by nonsuperdirective sources, i.e., the maximum feasible value of $\ell$, is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{M A X}=\frac{2 \pi a}{\lambda} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is easily achieved by enforcing $N_{\ell_{\text {MAX }}}=0$. Second, the overall number of degrees of freedom of the source, which is equal to the sum of all feasible $N_{\ell}$ values, results:

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{\text {TOT }}= & \sum_{\mid \ell \leq \ell \ell_{\text {MaX }}} N_{\ell}= \\
= & N_{0}+2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{\ell_{\text {max }}} N_{\ell}=N_{0}+2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{2 \pi a / \lambda}\left(\frac{2 a}{\lambda}-\frac{\ell}{\pi}\right)=  \tag{21}\\
& =\frac{2 a}{\lambda}+2 \frac{2 a}{\lambda} \frac{2 \pi a}{\lambda}-\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2 \pi a / \lambda} \ell=\frac{4 \pi a^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

This number is identical to the one given by (26) of [29], i.e.:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\text {тот }}=\frac{\text { Area of the source }}{(\lambda / 2)^{2}}=4 \pi\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)^{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equality also provides an a-posteriori check of (19).
Of course, all these considerations go against the pretension [1],[6],[8] of arbitrarily enlarging the channel capacity. In fact, fields exhibiting azimuthal variations of arbitrarily-large order are de facto unfeasible when using finite-dimensional sources. A further discussion of actual possibilities is given with reference to a specific synthesis problem in the following Subsection.

(a)

(b)

(c)

Fig. 2. Singular values (a) and some left-hand (b) and right-hand (c) singular functions associated the radiation operator at hand for $a_{1}=4 \lambda$ and different values of $\ell$ and $n$. In subplot (b) the singular values and left-hand functions corresponding to $\ell=3$ are compared for different $n$ values [note that (19) gives $\left.\mathrm{N}_{3} \approx 7\right]$.
V.B Synthesis of the aperture field required to generate a vortex field having a given intensity at the receiver

This is the post-print of the following article: A. F. Morabito, L. Di Donato, and T. Isernia, "Orbital Angular Momentum Antennas:
Understanding Actual Possibilities Through the Aperture Antennas Theory," IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine, vol. 60, n. 2, pp. 59-67, 2018. Article has been published in final form at: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8298521. 10.1109/MAP.2018.2796445.

1045-9243 © [2018] IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works, for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works."

In order to understand actual possibilities of multiplexing through vortices, we have solved for different values of $\ell \neq 0$, the following Convex Programming (CP) problem in the unknowns $\alpha_{\ell, 1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\ell, N}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Minimize: } & P_{A P}\left(\alpha_{\ell, 1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\ell, N}\right)=\int_{0}^{a}\left|f_{\ell}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} \rho^{\prime} d \rho^{\prime} \\
\text { Subject to: } & \operatorname{Re}\left[F_{\ell}\left(\theta_{1}\right)\right] \geq 1 \\
& \operatorname{Im}\left[F_{\ell}\left(\theta_{1}\right)\right]=0 \tag{23.c}
\end{array}
$$

wherein the functional in (23.a), which is a real and positive quadratic form of the unknowns, is equal to the aperture power associated to transmitting antenna (but for a $2 \pi$ factor), while the linear constraints (23.b) and (23.c) guarantee a spectrum amplitude of at least 0 dB for $\theta=\theta_{1}$. Therefore, the aim of the synthesis problem (23) is that of identifying the minimum energy required in order to generate a far field having a given $\ell$-th order and being able, at the same time, to guarantee a given signal intensity at the edge of the receiving antenna. As the fields received (at the borders) can be properly recombined in order to maximize the received signal, solution of the proposed synthesis problem also will allow to compare the efforts required to have an identical received power (through equal collecting areas) ${ }^{1}$.

In the different trials, in order to avoid superdirective sources (see above) we used a truncation of (18.a),(18.b) by adopting an index slightly larger than $N_{\ell}$. Then, we solved problem (23) for $\ell=1, \ell=2$, and $\ell=3$ and for different values of $a_{1}=a_{2}$. Concerning the $R$ value, we limited the analysis to distances not much larger than the Fraunhofer value $R_{F}=8 a_{1}^{2} / \lambda$. This choice has been induced by the circumstance that the OAM antennas' performance in terms of ratio between received and transmitted powers decays as $R^{-2(|\ell|+1)}$ \{see (20) in [13], and also [4],[5],[7],[9]\}. For each combination of $\ell$, $a_{1}=a_{2}, N_{\ell}$, and $R$, in order to evaluate the energy necessary to generate a particular vortex order, the achieved $P_{A P}$ value has been compared with that pertaining to the zero-order field (let us say $\left.P_{A P O}\right)$.

Two kinds of analysis have been carried out as discussed in the following.

As a first set of numerical trials, we fixed $a_{1}=a_{2}=5 \lambda$, and repeatedly solved problem (23) for $R €\left[R_{F}, 4 R_{F}\right]$. The achieved results are summarized in Fig. 3, wherein the ratio between the aperture powers $P_{A P}$ and $P_{A P O}$ is plotted as a function of the ratio between the actual and Fraunhofer link distances. As it can be seen, two important statements can be made:

- for a fixed link distance, a small increase of $|\ell|$ corresponds to a huge increase of the required aperture power;

[^4]- whatever the $\ell$ value, the energy required to generate a given OAM state is a monotonically increasing function of the link distance. For $R \approx 4 R_{F}$, even if $\ell$ is low, the curves already achieve extremely high values, e.g., the power required to generate an angular variation of order $\ell=3$ is about 80 dB higher than the one needed in case $\ell=0$.
Such results confirm that it is preferable avoiding adoption of OAM antennas in long-range communication links and that, anyway, it is not possible to realize arbitrarily-large values of $\ell$ (and hence "arbitrarily-large" channel capacities).

All these considerations agree with the ones made in [4],[5],[7],[9] as well as with the outcomes of the few experimental proofs provided up to now. Moreover, it is worth noting that the results in Fig. 3 (green curve) seem to be coherent with the ones in [17]. In the latter paper, in fact, authors simultaneously employ an amplifier on the $\ell= \pm 1$ antennas and an attenuator on the $\ell=0$ antenna (for a total of 24 dB ) in order to equalize received power.


Fig. 3. Aperture power required to generate different OAM states as a function of the link distance.

As a second set of numerical trials, by using $a_{1}=a_{2}$ and $R=R_{F}$, we repeatedly solved problem (23) for $2 a_{1} \epsilon(0 \lambda, 15 \lambda)$. The achieved results are summarized in Fig. 4, wherein the ratio between the aperture powers $P_{A P}$ and $P_{A P 0}$ is plotted as a function of the antennas size. The synthesis outcomes reveal two important circumstances:

- whatever the antennas size, a huge increase of the aperture power is required to realize a small increase of $|\ell|$;
- whatever the $\ell$ value, the input power is a monotonically decreasing function of the antenna size. This is due to the fact that increasing $a_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)$ allows to deal with larger $\theta_{1}$ values, thus mitigating the effects of the 'holes' present in the field pattern around the boresight direction.

[^5]Such results confirm the OAM antennas' limitations identified in the previous paragraphs and Subsections and, again, agree with results in [4],[5],[7],[9].

## VI. Conclusions

The classical Aperture Antennas Theory has been exploited to give a further point of view on the actual capabilities of OAM antennas. Such an approach suggests the use of Hankel transforms as a convenient and simple tool for understanding the ultimate limitations of these radiating systems. The adopted theoretical framework, equipped with the analysis of the SVD of the auxiliary 'radiation operators' at hand, allows stating that the adoption of OAM antennas is not convenient in very-long-range communication links. From this point of view, our results agree with observations made by Edfors and Johansson [4] and by Craeye and co-authors [5],[7],[9] on the basis of different tools. Moreover, an extensive numerical analysis allows to understand how performances of OAM systems vary with varying sizes and distances.

The overall outcomes indicate that for a fixed size of the source a huge price is paid for generating vortices corresponding to higher and higher values of $|\ell|$, and that an 'arbitrarily large' multiplication of channels in the far-field zone is de facto unfeasible.

It is hoped that the proposed tools can allow a better understanding of actual possibilities of OAM antennas.


Fig. 4. Aperture power required to generate different OAM states as a function of the antenna size.

## APPENDIX

The aim of this Appendix is to describe a simple method to avoid the difficulties related to the presence of singularities at the denominator of (15.a) and (15.b), respectively.

The divisions required by (15.a) and (15.b) can be numerically performed in an accurate and reliable fashion by means of the following three-steps procedure:

1. multiply the functions $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$ by $k^{1 / 2}$ and $\rho^{, 1 / 2}$, respectively;
2. perform a polynomial fitting of the functions coming out from step 1 ;
3. erase the constant term of the polynomial coming out from step 2, and lower by one the order of all other terms.
In fact, since the functions coming out from step 1 have a zero in the origin, they cannot contain a constant term and hence step 3 is equivalent to respectively divide them by $k$ and $\rho$ '.
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[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Because of the presence of larger and larger holes in the spectrum for $0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_{1}$ and $|\ell|$ different from zero, performances would result even worse for the different vortices of increasing order when considering in (23.a),(23.b) the power collected over the whole receiver's area (rather than $\left|F_{\ell}\left(\theta_{1}\right)\right|$ ).
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