

METHODS TO ASSESS LACTIC ACID BACTERIA DIVERSITY AND COMPATIBILITY IN FOOD

Short running title: LAB diversity and compatibility in food

M. GERIA, A. CARIDI*

Department of Agro-Forestry and Environmental Sciences and Technologies,
Mediterranea University of Reggio Calabria, Via Feo di Vito, I-89122 Reggio
Calabria. Italy

Food microflora is a complex and mutable ecosystem where the effects of microbial culture addition are still not entirely foreseeable due to microbial diversity. Starter, probiotic, and adjunct microorganisms are widely selected and used in food to improve quality and safety; they may be formulated as monostrain or multistrain cultures. Lactic acid bacteria are included among the main groups deemed useful for these aims. Compatibility tests can constitute an effective way to assess interactions among lactic acid bacteria. Food microflora composition is generally examined using both culture-dependent and culture-independent methods. The existing limits of each method can be overcome by combining them, so that they give more information on microbial complexity. Since mixed cultures of starter, probiotic, or adjunct lactic acid bacteria provide more beneficial effects than single cultures, future research should be guided by compatibility tests to show the most suitable and beneficial mixed cultures.

Keywords: food, lactic acid bacteria, adjunct cultures, probiotic cultures, starter cultures, microbial diversity, compatibility test

* PHONE: 0039 0965 680578; fax: 0039 0965 680578; e-mail: acaridi@unirc.it

Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are widely selected and used to guarantee food quality and safety; they play an important role in the improvement of required characteristics (HOLZAPFEL, 2007). It is necessary to avoid combinations of strains which display mutually inhibitory properties (KAILASAPATHY & CHIN, 2000). On the contrary, it may be very useful to combine LAB strains based on their compatibility and/or coexistence tests: bacteriocin production by *Lactobacillus plantarum* can be increased significantly under co-culture with certain LAB strains (MAN et al., 2012). It is interesting to note that bacteriocins have unconsciously been consumed for centuries with food normally containing bacteriocinogenic strains of LAB (CLEVELAND et al., 2001). Even if LAB are usually responsible for the fermentative processing and preservation of many foods, LAB dominance is not always complete. In table olive production, a starter strain of *Lactobacillus plantarum* was found to be compatible with the great majority of natural lactic populations, enhancing the dominance of the lactic microflora over the other microorganisms, leading to an improvement in the lactic fermentation process and good quality results in table olives, particularly as regards the level of bloater spoilage (LAMZIRA et al., 2005). Effectively, it may be very useful to investigate the effects of starter cultures of selected LAB on LAB communities (JUNG et al., 2012). The functionality of a multistrain starter culture could be more effective and consistent compared to a monostrain one, owing to a greater chance of effectively and fully performing the fermentation process. However, most research on LAB selection has been aimed at monostrain cultures, due to the easier study design and patent application. The development of methods suitable to determine compatibility among LAB will improve the possibilities of combining monostrain into multistrain cultures.

1. Compatibility tests for starter, probiotic and adjunct cultures

1.1. General remarks

Natural multispecies starter cultures are currently used to produce food. The sourdough microflora contains established and compatible associations of different LAB, above all *Lactobacillus* spp., and yeasts (DE VUYST & NEYSENS, 2005). Although produced in non-aseptic environments, such associations may continue over very long periods of time. This enduring coexistence is partly due to their equal growth rates, determined by a multitude of ecological factors. Probiotics are defined as live microorganisms which when administered in adequate amounts confer a health benefit on the host (JENSEN et al., 2012). Although a quality parameter in probiotic LAB is the ability to monitor them, there are only a few reports that have described the enumeration of probiotic LAB - such as *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Lactobacillus casei*, and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* - in the presence of non-probiotic LAB - such as *Streptococcus thermophilus*, *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* (CHAMPAGNE et al., 1997; RAVULA & SHAH, 1998; BOGOVIĆ MATIJAŠIĆ & ROGELJ, 1999; WANG et al., 2010). The selective media developed to perform this enumeration have been reviewed (COGAN et al., 2007). Multistrain probiotic cultures usually show enhanced efficacy with a variety of strain-specific probiotic properties. A comparison of functionality and efficacy between monostrain and multistrain probiotics has been carried out, showing that: (a) usage of multistrain probiotics should be developed and supported; (b) strains and species should be previously tested for their compatibility or for their synergistic action (TIMMERMAN et al., 2004). Selected non-starter LAB adjunct cultures may be used in food production to: (a) accelerate ripening, (b) produce desirable flavour or (c) protect against foodborne pathogens by competition for specific compounds, production of antimicrobials and

nutrient depletion. Clearly, this practice requires an evaluation of multispecies interaction among adjuncts, starters and pathogens. LAB can also produce antimicrobial substances, such as organic acids, diacetyl, hydrogen peroxide, and bacteriocins, thus inhibiting food spoilers and pathogenic bacteria (SZIGETI, 2001; PÁLMAI & BUCHANAN, 2002; PÁLMAI & KISKÓ, 2003), as well as strains of the same or closely related species (PERIN et al., 2012). So, in the design of every adjunct LAB selection study, one of the screening procedures is the evaluation of the strains' antimicrobial activity. However, in multistrain cultures, the isolates showing antagonism might cause loss of viability of the other strains, leading to a diminished efficacy. Growth of LAB itself determines inhibition of unwanted microorganisms; however, their ability to produce bacteriocins is of basic importance in biological strategies of food preservation (biopreservation) which extends shelf-life and improves food safety using microorganisms and/or their metabolites (ROSS et al., 2002). Bacteriocinogenic adjunct cultures able to antagonize undesirable bacteria with no effect toward useful starter and non-starter LAB would be an ideal food preservative. Both direct - using the microorganism – and indirect - using the bacteriocin - compatibility tests have been performed.

1.2. Direct tests

A simple coexistence assay has been performed using a cross-streak method. The isolates, identified as *Lactobacillus salivarius* and *Lactobacillus reuteri*, were streaked perpendicularly across each other on MRS agar plates. The plates were cultured at 37°C for 48 h anaerobically to observe their antagonism against each other and to determine whether they are compatible in vitro. However, whether the strains' in vivo compatibility corresponds with their in vitro behaviour should be confirmed (GUO et al.,

2010). The production of bacteriocin-like compounds by sourdough LAB has important effects on the starter microflora. To increase knowledge of LAB interactions during sourdough fermentation, compatibility tests have been performed using 77 strains from different species of *Lactobacillus* isolated from sourdoughs showing antagonistic activity against other sourdough lactobacilli (CORSETTI et al., 1996). An agar-spot deferred method and a well-diffusion assay were used (SCHILLINGER & LÜCKE, 1989). Antimicrobial activity has been detected in 47% of *Lactococcus*, 21% of *Lactobacillus* and 41% of *Enterococcus* among 755 wild LAB isolated from Egyptian dairy products. To accelerate the screening procedures to obtain starter, adjunct and protective culture strains, LAB of identical genus were examined by internal interaction: so each strain was applied as an inhibitor microorganism while another was taken as indicator microorganism (AYAD et al., 2004). Compatibility among adjunct dairy LAB identified as *Lactobacillus paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* and *Lactobacillus brevis* and non-starter dairy microflora of the species *Enterococcus durans*, *Enterococcus faecium* and *Pediococcus* spp. was evaluated using the agar-spot method (SPELHAUG & HARLANDER, 1989) and measuring the inhibition zones by Autodesk AutoCAD 2007 (GERIA, 2008). To screen LAB for associative growth in mixed cultivation, a tube containing 4 mL of 10% skim milk was inoculated with 1% of 2 different strains in pure and mixed culture: the size of the inoculum in the mixed culture was therefore at the 0.5% level for each strain. The cultures were incubated at 30°C or 37°C for 24 h, at which time the pH value of the culture was determined. Any interaction occurring in mixed cultures is reflected by acid production. Effectively, the acidification of growth medium in batch culture is a good reflection of bacterial growth, which is why pH measurement is sometimes used to track growth (KIMOTO-NIRA et al., 2012). Another screening assay to evaluate the

compatibility between different LAB can be performed by using the plate diffusion technique (MALDONADO et al., 2012). Aliquots (35 μ L) of cell-free supernatant obtained from the early stationary phase of the third subculture of the microorganisms grown in MRS broth were placed into holes (4 mm diameter) of MRS 1% agar plates with 10^9 CFU/mL, 10^7 CFU/mL and 10^5 CFU/mL with the indicator lactobacilli strains. The plates were incubated for 2 h at room temperature and then 48 h at 37°C, when inhibition was assessed.

1.3. Indirect tests

Compatibility among 31 selected strains of dairy LAB has been indirectly determined using the agar well diffusion assay (CASLA et al., 1996); the following LAB species were tested for their antagonistic activity one against another: *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis*, *L. lactis* subsp. *cremoris*, *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* var. *diacetylactis*, *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* subsp. *dextranicum*, *L. mesenteroides* subsp. *mesenteroides*, *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Lactobacillus brevis*, *Lactobacillus casei* subsp. *casei* (HERREROS et al., 2005). Enterococci are used in many different applications as adjunct cultures; the inhibitory effect of *Enterococcus faecalis* (VILLANI et al., 1993) and *Enterococcus faecium* (COCOLIN et al., 2007) cell-free culture supernatant against LAB has been tested by using the agar well diffusion assay as described by TAGG et al. (1976) and by SCHILLINGER & LÜCKE (1987), respectively. The following LAB were examined: *Carnobacterium divergens*, *Carnobacterium piscicola*, *Enterococcus casseoviflavus*, *Enterococcus durans*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Enterococcus faecium*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Lactobacillus casei*, *Lactobacillus curvatus*, *Lactobacillus delbrueckii*, *Lactobacillus fermentum*, *Lactobacillus helveticus*, *Lactobacillus*

leichmanni, *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Lactobacillus sakei*, *Lactobacillus salivarius*, *Lactococcus lactis*, *Leuconostoc cremoris*, *Leuconostoc mesenteroides*, *Pediococcus pentosaceus*, *Streptococcus thermophilus*, *Weissella paramesenteroides* (VILLANI et al., 1993; COCOLIN et al., 2007). The antimicrobial activity of kefir is ascribed to metabolites or bacteriocins produced by LAB. A strain of *Lactobacillus plantarum*, isolated from kefir, produced a bacteriocin active against a few pathogens, but also against *Lb. casei*, *Lb. salivarius*, and *Lb. curvatus*, as detected using the cell-free supernatant adjusted to pH 6.0 (POWELL et al., 2007).

2. Study of LAB community dynamics as a possible system to evaluate LAB compatibility

The dynamic changes of LAB microflora in food can be assessed by culturing methods that allow the identification of different LAB species (XIONG et al., 2012); however, these methods are time-consuming, expensive, and sometimes still provide uncertain results. The trend is towards culture-independent methods, characterised by rapidity and reliability. The main motivation for their use is the lack of knowledge of the real conditions under which most bacteria grow in their natural habitat and the difficulty of developing media for cultivation which accurately resemble these conditions (Ercolini, 2004). Most of these methods are based on direct analysis of DNA extracted from food, amplification of genes encoding 16S rRNA and analysis of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products using a genetic fingerprinting technique. Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis (DGGE) is the most commonly used culture-independent fingerprinting technique; this electrophoretic method is capable of detecting differences between DNA fragments of the same size but with different sequences. These fragments are separated

using a denaturing gradient gel - containing a mixture of urea and formamide - based on their differential melting profile. The technique was profitably used to identify LAB in mixed culture, thus monitoring LAB dynamics during fermentation of pozol (AMPE et al., 1999), sour cassava starch (AMPE et al., 2001), soy sauce (TANAKA et al., 2012), sausage (KESMEN et al., 2012), sourdough (MEROETH et al., 2003), malt whisky (VAN BEEK & PRIEST, 2002), probiotic products (TEMMERMAN et al., 2003) and, primarily, dairy products (APONTE et al., 2008). Temporal Temperature Gel Electrophoresis (TTGE), or Temperature Gradient Gel Electrophoresis (TGGE), is also able to separate DNA fragments of the same length but with different sequences. In this case separation is based on the decreased electrophoretic mobility of a partially melted double-stranded DNA molecule in polyacrylamide gels containing a linear temperature gradient. The TTGE technique is usually employed to identify LAB microflora in dairy products (FALENTIN et al., 2012). Pulsed Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE) employs an alternating field of electrophoresis to allow the separation of the large DNA fragments obtained from restriction digests with rare-cutting enzymes, with increasing pulse times throughout the run, and the resulting fingerprint profiles can be explored for culture identification. As such, the technique can be more time-consuming than other fingerprinting strategies. However, the profile generated by PFGE represents whole genome and this technique has a good discriminatory power. PFGE was used for differentiation at strain level of LAB isolates during storage of storage of black olives to monitor the structure and succession of the community (DOULGERAKI et al., 2012). Terminal Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (TRFLP or sometimes T-RFLP) is a molecular biology technique to profile microbial communities according to the position of a restriction site closest to a labelled end of an amplified gene. The method,

which allows separation of large genomic fragments, is based on digesting a mixture of PCR amplified variants of a single gene using one or more restriction enzymes and detecting the size of each of the individual resulting terminal fragments using a DNA sequencer. The TRFLP method is used to study LAB microflora in dairy products (SAMELIS et al., 2011) and to detect and differentiate the species of LAB in wine and beer (BOKULICH & MILLS, 2012). Length Heterogeneity PCR (LH-PCR) analysis is similar to the T-RFLP method. The difference is that LH-PCR analysis distinguishes different organisms based on natural variations in the length of the 16S rRNA sequences. The major advantage of LH-PCR over other methods of analysis is that it is efficient, reliable and highly reproducible: it is theoretically possible to obtain an estimate of both qualitative and quantitative composition of dominant populations within a microbial community (POGAČIĆ et al., 2010). The LH-PCR method is commonly used to study LAB microflora in dairy products (MARTIN-PLATERO et al., 2009). Single-Strand Conformation Polymorphism (SSCP), or Single-Strand Chain Polymorphism, studies conformational difference of single-stranded nucleotide sequences of identical length using either an acrylamide gel-based or a capillary-based automated sequencer for the separation of denatured PCR products. This allows the sequences to be distinguished by means of gel electrophoresis, which separates the different conformations. The SSCP technique has a low detection threshold and high resolution and the DNA samples can be analysed automatically; it allows the description of dairy LAB community (SAMELIS et al., 2011). Repetitive-sequence-based PCR (rep-PCR) fingerprinting is a relatively simple, rapid, and sensitive method for discriminating between closely related strains, also employed for the primary differentiation and grouping of the LAB isolates. This technique is used first to classify

and then to type the strains. Unknown LAB strains isolated from food samples can be initially screened and grouped by using rep-PCR fingerprinting for cost-efficient speciation and typing (KESMEN et al., 2012). The Rep-PCR method is used to differentiate - at species, subspecies and potentially strain level - a wide range of LAB isolated from dairy products (FEUTRY et al., 2012) or fermented sausages (KESMEN et al., 2012). Pyrosequencing, an automated high-throughput sequencing technique that involves the synthesis of single-stranded deoxyribonucleic acid and the detection of the light generated by pyrophosphate released in a coupled reaction with luciferase, was recently proposed to study food fermentations (JUNG et al., 2011). This technique allows the rapid and accurate sequencing of nucleotide sequences that can then be used to analyse the population structure, gene content and metabolic potential of the microbial communities in an ecosystem. Pyrosequencing was used to study the microbial diversity and community dynamics of the LAB populations of kefir grains (LEITE et al., 2012). Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) with 16S rRNA gene probes is a culture-independent molecular method enabling microbial identification and physical detection of microorganisms in a food matrix. It also provides information about the distribution of microbial populations in environmental samples. In food microbiology, FISH is used for the identification of bacteria in situ, without the need of isolation. It is a 'non-PCR-based' molecular technique that uses a fluorescently labelled 16S rRNA bacterial domain probe to allow observation of colonies of microbial cells distributed in a food matrix such as cheese (POGAČIĆ et al., 2010). Culture-independent molecular approaches still fail to completely identify microbiota. Therefore, it is worthwhile devoting effort to improving the detection limits of culture-independent methods. The study of gene expression and translation into proteins within natural environments are two emerging

fields in microbial ecology that hold special promise in the study of bacterial function (POGAČIĆ et al., 2010). Moreover, massive parallel sequencing, metagenomics and metatranscriptomics will allow us to study microbial diversity in greater depth (CARDENAS & TIEDJE, 2008). The approach of combining 16S rRNA gene profiles and the profiles of functional genes may enable the structure of microbiota to be related to the function in the ecosystem. Functional diversity, which is closely related to the complexity of the food microbiota, plays a crucial role in flavour compound development; therefore, structure-function studies should provide new insights into the role of complex LAB community (IRLINGER et al., 2009). A combination of culture-dependent and culture-independent methods often allows a better investigation of LAB succession, connection, and interaction, giving more complete information on the microbial complexity (APONTE et al., 2008; SAMELIS et al., 2011; FALENTIN et al., 2012; FEUTRY et al., 2012; KESMEN et al., 2012).

3. Conclusion

Direct compatibility tests represent a simple and interesting way to predict interaction among LAB in food. On the other hand, culture-independent methods are more effective to understand LAB composition and dynamic changes of LAB microflora. Although highly sensitive and reliable, both categories of method have limits that may be overcome by combining them, thus giving more information on microbial complexity. Based on the discussion, a polyphasic approach with the combination of culture dependent and culture-independent methods may be the best strategy to study microbial communities. Certainly, multistrain cultures are more effective and consistent compared to monostrain ones (SETTANNI & MOSCHETTI, 2010). Since mixed cultures of starter,

probiotic, or adjunct lactic acid bacteria provide more beneficial effects than single cultures, future research should be guided by compatibility tests to show the most suitable and beneficial mixed cultures.

*

This work was supported by the grant of the Calabria Region *APQ-Action QUASIORA*. An anonymous reviewer is acknowledged for critical reading and improvement of the manuscript.

References

- AMPE, F., BEN OMAR, N., MOIZAN, C., WACHER, C. & GUYOT, J.-P. (1999): Polyphasic study of the spatial distribution of microorganisms in Mexican pozol, a fermented maize dough, demonstrates the need for cultivation-independent methods to investigate traditional fermentations. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, *65*, 5464–5473.
- AMPE, F., SIRVENT, A. & ZAKHIA, N. (2001): Dynamics of the microbial community responsible for traditional sour cassava starch fermentation studied by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis and quantitative rRNA hybridization. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, *65*, 45–54.
- APONTE, M., FUSCO, V., ANDOLFI, R. & COPPOLA, S. (2008): Lactic acid bacteria occurring during manufacture and ripening of Provolone del Monaco cheese: detection by different analytical approaches. *Int. Dairy J.*, *18*, 403–413.
- AYAD, E.H.E., NASHAT, S., EL-SADEK, N., METWALY, H. & EL-SODA, M. (2004): Selection of wild lactic acid bacteria isolated from traditional Egyptian dairy products according to production and technological criteria. *Food Microbiol.*, *21*, 715–725.

- BOGOVIČ MATIJAŠIĆ, B. & ROGELJ, I. (1999): Bacteriocinogenic activity of lactobacilli isolated from cheese and baby faeces. *Food Technol. Biotechnol.*, *37*, 93–100.
- BOKULICH, N.A. & MILLS, D.A. (2012): Differentiation of mixed lactic acid bacteria communities in beverage fermentations using targeted terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism. *Food Microbiol.*, *31*, 126–132.
- CARDENAS, E. & TIEDJE, J.M. (2008): New tools for discovering and characterizing microbial diversity, *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, *19*, 544–549.
- CASLA, D., REQUENA, T. & GÓMEZ, R. (1996): Antimicrobial activity of lactic acid bacteria isolated from goat's milk and artisanal cheeses: characteristics of a bacteriocin produced by *Lactobacillus curvatus* IFPL 105. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.*, *81*, 35–41.
- CHAMPAGNE, C.P., ROY, D. & LAFOND, A. (1997): Selective enumeration of *Lactobacillus casei* in yoghurt-type fermented milks based on a 15°C incubation temperature. *Biotechnol. Techniq.*, *11*, 567–569.
- CLEVELAND, J., MONTVILLE, T.J., NES, I.F. & CHIKINDAS, M.L. (2001): Bacteriocins: safe, natural antimicrobials for food preservation. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, *71*, 1–20.
- COCOLIN, L., FOSCHINO, R., COMI, G. & FORTINA, M.G. (2007): Description of the bacteriocins produced by two strains of *Enterococcus faecium* isolated from Italian goat milk. *Food Microbiol.*, *24*, 752–758.
- COGAN, T.M., BERESFORD, T.P., STEELE, J., BROADBENT, J., SHAH, N.P. & USTUNOL, Z. (2007): Advances in starter cultures and cultured foods. *J. Dairy Sci.*, *90*, 4005–4021.

- CORSETTI, A., GOBBETTI, M. & SMACCHI, E. (1996): Antibacterial activity of sourdough lactic acid bacteria: isolation of a bacteriocin-like inhibitory substance from *Lactobacillus sanfrancisco* C57. *Food Microbiol.*, *13*, 447–456.
- DE VUYST, L. & NEYSENS, P. (2005): The sourdough microflora: biodiversity and metabolic interactions. *Trends Food Sci. Tech.*, *16*, 43–56.
- DOULGERAKI, A.I., HONDRODIMOU, O., ILIOPOULOS, V. & PANAGO, E.Z. (2012): Lactic acid bacteria and yeast heterogeneity during aerobic and modified atmosphere packaging storage of natural black *Conservolea* olives in polyethylene pouches. *Food Control*, *26*, 49–57.
- ERCOLINI, D. (2004): PCR-DGGE fingerprinting: novel strategies for detection of microbes in food. *J. Microbiol. Meth.*, *56*, 297–314.
- FALENTIN, H., HENAFF, N., LE BIVIC, P., DEUTSCH, S.-M., PARAYRE, S., RICHOUX, R., SOHIER, D., THIERRY, A., LORTAL, S. & POSTOLLEC, F. (2012): Reverse transcription quantitative PCR revealed persistency of thermophilic lactic acid bacteria metabolic activity until the end of the ripening of Emmental cheese. *Food Microbiol.*, *29*, 132–140.
- FEUTRY, F., ONECA, M., BERTHIER, F. & TORRE, P. (2012): Biodiversity and growth dynamics of lactic acid bacteria in artisanal PDO Ossau-Iraty cheeses made from raw ewe's milk with different starters. *Food Microbiol.*, *29*, 33–42.
- GERIA, M. (2008): Lactic acid bacteria from raw milk cheeses: pro dairy characteristics and microbial antagonism. PhD Thesis. *Mediterranea* University of Reggio Calabria, Italy, pp. 129 (in Italian).

- GUO, X.-H., KIM, J.-M., NAM, H.-M., PARK, S.-Y. & KIM, J.-M. (2010): Screening lactic acid bacteria from swine origins for multistrain probiotics based on in vitro functional properties. *Anaerobe*, 16, 321–326.
- HERREROS, M.A., SANDOVAL, H., GONZÁLEZ, L., CASTRO, J.M., FRESNO, J.M. & TORNADIJO, M.E. (2005): Antimicrobial activity and antibiotic resistance of lactic acid bacteria isolated from Armada cheese (a Spanish goats' milk cheese). *Food Microbiol.*, 22, 455–459.
- HOLZAPFEL, M. (2007): Lactic acid bacteria within the context of safety, functionality and novel applications. *Acta Aliment.*, 36, 3–6.
- IRLINGER, F. & MOUNIER, J. (2009): Microbial interactions in cheese: implications for cheese quality and safety, *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 20, 142–148.
- JENSEN, H., GRIMMER, S., NATERSTAD, K. & AXELSSON, L. (2012): In vitro testing of commercial and potential probiotic lactic acid bacteria. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, 153, 216–222.
- JUNG, J.Y., LEE, S.H., KIM, J.M., PARK, M.S., BAE, J.W., HAHN, Y., MADSEN, E.L. & JEON, C.O. (2011): Metagenomic analysis of kimchi, a traditional Korean fermented food. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 77, 2264–2274.
- JUNG, J.Y., LEE, S.H., LEE, H.J., SEO, H.-Y., PARK, W.-S. & JEON, C.O. (2012): Effects of *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* starter cultures on microbial communities and metabolites during kimchi fermentation. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, 153, 378–387.
- KAILASAPATHY, K. & CHIN, J. (2000): Survival and therapeutic potential of probiotic organisms with reference to *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *Bifidobacterium* spp.. *Immunol. Cell Biol.*, 78, 80–88.

- KESMEN, Z., YETIMAN, A.E., GULLUCE, A., KACMAZ, N., SAGDIC, O., CETIN, B., ADIGUZEL, A., SAHIN, F. & YETIM, H. (2012): Combination of culture-dependent and culture-independent molecular methods for the determination of lactic microbiota in sucuk. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, 153, 428–435.
- KIMOTO-NIRA, H., AOKI, R., MIZUMACHI, K., SASAKI, K., NAITO, H., SAWADA, T. & SUZUKI, C. (2012): Interaction between *Lactococcus lactis* and *Lactococcus raffinolactis* during growth in milk: development of a new starter culture. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 95, 2176–2185.
- LAMZIRA, Z., ASEHRAOUL, A., BRITO, D., OLIVEIRA, M., FAID, M. & PERES, C. (2005): Reducing the bloater spoilage during lactic fermentation of Moroccan green olives. *Food Technol. Biotechnol.*, 43, 373–377.
- LEITE, A.M.O., MAYO, B., RACHID, C.T.C.C., PEIXOTO, R.S., SILVA, J.T., PASCHOALIN, V.M.F. & DELGADO, S. (2012): Assessment of the microbial diversity of Brazilian kefir grains by PCR-DGGE and pyrosequencing analysis. *Food Microbiol.*, 31, 215–221.
- MAN, L.-L., MENG, X.-C. & ZHAO, R.-H. (2012): Induction of plantaricin MG under co-culture with certain lactic acid bacterial strains and identification of LuxS mediated quorum sensing system in *Lactobacillus plantarum* KLDS1.0391. *Food Control*, 23, 462–469.
- MALDONADO, N.C., DE RUIZ, C.S., OTERO, M.C., SESMA, F. & NADER-MACÍAS, M.E. (2012): Lactic acid bacteria isolated from young calves. Characterization and potential as probiotics. *Res. Vet. Sci.*, 92, 342–349.
- MARTIN-PLATERO, A.M., MAQUEDA, M., VALDIVIA, E., PURSWANI, J. & MARTINEZ-BUENO, M. (2009): Polyphasic study of microbial communities of two Spanish

- farmhouse goats' milk cheeses from Sierra de Aracena, *Food Microbiol.* 26, 294–304.
- MEROTH, C.B., WALTER, J., HERTEL, C., BRANDT, M.J. & HAMMES, W.P. (2003): Monitoring the bacterial population dynamics in sourdough fermentation processes by using PCR-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 69, 475–482.
- PÁLMAI, M. & BUCHANAN, L. (2002): The effect of *Lactococcus lactis* on the growth characteristics of *Listeria monocytogenes* in alfalfa sprout broth. *Acta Aliment.*, 31, 379–392.
- PÁLMAI, M. & KISKÓ, G. (2003): Studies on the growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Lactobacillus casei* in mixed cultures. *Acta Aliment.*, 32, 103–111.
- PERIN, L.M., MENDONÇA MORAES, P., SILVA, A. JR. & NERO, L.A. (2012): Lantibiotics biosynthesis genes and bacteriocinogenic activity of *Lactobacillus* spp. isolated from raw milk and cheese. *Folia Microbiol.*, 57, 183–190.
- POGAČIĆ, T., KELAVA, N., ZAMBERLIN, Š., DOLENČIĆ-ŠPEHAR, I. & SAMARŽIJA, D. (2010): Methods for culture-independent identification of lactic acid bacteria in dairy products. *Food Technol. Biotechnol.*, 48, 3–10.
- POWELL, J.E., WITTHUHN, R.C., TODOROV, S.D. & DICKS, L.M.T. (2007): Characterization of bacteriocin ST8KF produced by a kefir isolate *Lactobacillus plantarum* ST8KF. *Int. Dairy J.*, 17, 190–198.
- RAVULA, R.R. & SHAH, N.P. (1998): Selective enumeration of *Lactobacillus casei* from yogurt and fermented milk drinks. *Biotechnol. Techniq.*, 12, 819–822.
- ROSS, R.P., MORGAN, S. & HILL, C. (2002): Preservation and fermentation: past, present and future. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, 79, 3–16.

- SAMELIS, J., BLEICHER, A., DELBÈS-PAUS, C., KAKOURI, A., NEUHAUS, K. & MONTEL, M.-C. (2011): FTIR-based polyphasic identification of lactic acid bacteria isolated from traditional Greek Graviera cheese. *Food Microbiol.*, 28, 76–83.
- SCHILLINGER, U. & LÜCKE, F.K. (1987): Identification of lactobacilli from meat and meat products. *Food Microbiol.*, 4, 199–208.
- SCHILLINGER, U. & LÜCKE, F.K. (1989): Antibacterial activity of *Lactobacillus sake* isolated from meat. *Appl. Environ. Microb.*, 55, 1901–1906.
- SETTANNI, L. & MOSCHETTI, G. (2010): Non-starter lactic acid bacteria used to improve cheese quality and provide health benefits. *Food Microbiol.*, 27, 691–697.
- SPELHAUG, S.R. & HARLANDER, S.K. (1989): Inhibition of food-borne bacterial pathogens by bacteriocins from *Lactococcus lactis* and *Pediococcus pentosaceus*. *Int. J. Food Protect.*, 52, 856–862.
- SZIGETI, E. (2001): Interaction between *Lactococcus lactis* strains and *Listeria monocytogenes* in mixed cultures. *Acta Aliment.*, 30, 247–265.
- TAGG, J.R., DAJANI, A.S. & WANNAMAKER, L.W. (1976): Bacteriocins of gram-positive bacteria. *Bacteriol. Rev.*, 40, 722–756.
- TANAKA, Y., WATANABE, J. & MOGI, Y. (2012): Monitoring of the microbial communities involved in the soy sauce manufacturing process by PCR-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. *Food Microbiol.*, 31, 100–106.
- TEMMERMAN, R., SCHEIRLINCK, I., HUYS, G. & SWINGS J. (2003): Culture-independent analysis of probiotic products by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. *Appl. Environ. Microb.*, 69, 220–226.

- TIMMERMAN, H.M., KONING, C.J., MULDER, L., ROMBOUTS, F.M. & BEYNEN, A.C. (2004): Monostrain, multistrain and multispecies probiotics. A comparison of functionality and efficacy. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, *96*, 219–233.
- VAN BEEK, S. & PRIEST, F.G. (2002): Evolution of the lactic acid bacterial community during malt whisky fermentation: a polyphasic study. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, *68*, 297–305.
- VILLANI, F., SALZANO, G., SORRENTINO, E., PEPE, O., MARINO, P. & COPPOLA, S. (1993): Enterocin 226NWC, a bacteriocin produced by *Enterococcus faecalis* 226, active against *Listeria monocytogenes*. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.*, *74*, 380–387.
- WANG, H.K., DONG, C., CHEN, Y.F., CUI, L.M. & ZHANG, H.P. (2010): A new probiotic Cheddar cheese with high ACE-inhibitory activity and γ -aminobutyric acid content produced with Koumiss-derived *Lactobacillus casei* Zhang. *Food Technol. Biotechnol.*, *48*, 62–70.
- XIONG, T., GUAN, Q., SONG, S., HAO, M. & XIE, M. (2012): Dynamic changes of lactic acid bacteria flora during Chinese sauerkraut fermentation. *Food Control*, *26*, 178–181.