



**Università degli Studi Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria**  
Archivio Istituzionale dei prodotti della ricerca

Comparing different schemes of agricultural wastewater lagooning: depuration performance and microbiological characteristics

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

*Original*

Comparing different schemes of agricultural wastewater lagooning: depuration performance and microbiological characteristics / Zema, D. A.; Andiloro, S.; Bombino, G.; Caridi, A.; Sidari, R.; Tamburino, V. - In: WATER AIR AND SOIL POLLUTION. - ISSN 0049-6979. - 227:439(2016). [10.1007/s11270-016-3132-4]

*Availability:*

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12318/786> since: 2020-11-13T14:25:09Z

*Published*

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-016-3132-4>

The final published version is available online at: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11270-016-3132-4>

*Terms of use:*

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website

*Publisher copyright*

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria (<https://iris.unirc.it/>) When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

# Water, Air, & Soil Pollution

## COMPARING DIFFERENT SCHEMES OF AGRICULTURAL WASTEWATER LAGOONING: DEPURATION PERFORMANCE AND MICROBIOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS

--Manuscript Draft--

<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	WATE-D-16-01155R1	
<b>Full Title:</b>	COMPARING DIFFERENT SCHEMES OF AGRICULTURAL WASTEWATER LAGOONING: DEPURATION PERFORMANCE AND MICROBIOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS	
<b>Article Type:</b>	Full research paper	
<b>Keywords:</b>	Wastewater treatment; aerated lagooning; agro-industrial wastewater; energy efficiency; essential oils; microbial population.	
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	Demetrio Antonio Zema, Assistant professor Universita degli Studi Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria Reggio Calabria, ITALY	
<b>Corresponding Author's Institution:</b>	Universita degli Studi Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria	
<b>First Author:</b>	Demetrio Antonio Zema, Assistant professor	
<b>Order of Authors:</b>	Demetrio Antonio Zema, Assistant professor	
	Serafina Andiloro, Ph.D.	
	Giuseppe Bombino, Researcher and Assistant Professor	
	Andrea Caridi, Associate Professor	
	Rossana Sidari, Researcher and Assistant Professor	
	Vincenzo Tamburino, Full Professor	
<b>Funding Information:</b>	Italian Ministry of University (PRIN 2008 Tamburino)	Prof. Vincenzo Tamburino
<b>Abstract:</b>	<p>Since the number of factors influencing depuration efficiency and energy requirement is high, the choice of the most suitable aeration scheme in aerated lagooning systems treating agricultural wastewater is a difficult task. This study provides technicians and researchers with guidelines on air diffuser position, presence of inoculum and characteristics of microbial mass, focusing organic matter degradation and energy performance of aerated ponds treating citrus processing wastewater. Four experimental batch tanks were set up: three tanks were subject to low air flow rates and times, while a fourth tank was not aerated. The organic matter degradation in aerated tanks was on average six times higher compared to the non-aerated tank. Positioning the air diffuser at mid-depth and consequent separation of tank contents into two layers determined a decrease in the depuration performance, presumably due to reduced transfer of oxygen to wastewater. Although the ability of spontaneous microflora to adapt to essential oil concentrations up to 1400 mL L<sup>-1</sup> was detected, the effect of inoculum (50% of wastewater with spontaneous microflora already adapted to high essential oil concentration) was not noticeable. The results of the investigation confirm the suitability of aerated lagoons subjected to fine bubble aeration also for agricultural wastewater depuration.</p>	
<b>Response to Reviewers:</b>	<p>Dear Prof. Trevors,</p> <p>we would like to thank You for accepting the proposed manuscript, also giving us the possibility to revise our manuscript. We have appreciated very much the revision work and valorised the suggestions made by the referee. All changes made to the MS are reported in red characters.</p> <p>In the following we report a detailed reply to the referee's comments.</p> <p>1.Referee's comment: I recommend authors, to shorten sentence in the introduction</p>	

	<p>(line 45-50), as well as to change style of citation in this sentence "wastewater of different origin e.g. agricultural wastewater (Kimball, 1999), livestock wastewater (Trias et al., 2004),".</p> <p>Authors' reply: we have shortened the sentence and changed the style of citations.</p> <p>2.Referee's comment: line 64: it is not needed to write inoculum in italics, after this word, I recommend to drop brackets and word "that is" and after word inoculum continue with comma and characterization of inoculum.</p> <p>Authors' reply: We have removed italics from the word "inoculum", dropped the words "that is" and explained shortly characterization of an inoculum after comma.</p> <p>3.Referee's comment: Chapter results and discussion should be more discussed.</p> <p>Authors' reply: we have enlarged this section, with more discussion about performance of lagooning systems in depurating citrus wastewater (also by comparison with activated sludge plants, commonly used for this kind of agro-industrial wastewater) and analysis of the microbial mass behaviour in depuration tanks. Finally, we have added other bibliographic citations to support the further discussion.</p>
--	--

**Additional Information:**

Question	Response
<p><b>***PLEASE READ THIS ALERT BEFORE YOU BEGIN***</b></p> <p>Water, Air and Soil Pollution will not tolerate unethical submission and/or attempted publication practices. This applies to all authors listed on the articles. We have both plagiarism-detection software and other methods to detect such practices to ensure the highest publication standards. Serious consequences, including Informing the author's institution, may result from unethical behaviour. If you require more information about what constitutes unethical behaviour, including what constitutes plagiarism, please see the journal's author instructions on the journal's homepage before you submit to the journal. If you have read and understood this alert, please indicate YES in the response box.</p>	Yes
<p>Have you read the 'Letter from the Editor-in-Chief' and the full journal author instructions, located at the journal homepage <a href="http://www.springer.com/11270?">www.springer.com/11270?</a></p>	Yes
<p>Has this material (or data) been literally or substantially submitted (or will it be) for simultaneous consideration in another publication in English or another language? <b>If Yes, do not continue with the submission.</b></p>	No
<p>Has this material (or data) been literally or substantially published elsewhere in English or another language? <b>If Yes, do not continue with the submission.</b></p>	No
<p>Once the article is submitted for review, no changes in authorship, the order of</p>	Yes

<p>authors, or designation of the corresponding author will be permitted.</p> <p>Have all authors been actively involved in making a substantial scholarly contribution to the design and completion of this research, interpretation of data and conclusions, assisted in drafting and revising the manuscript, and read and approved this submission? (YES/NO). If NO, please do not submit your manuscript. Please review the authorship guidelines on the journal's homepage for guidance.</p>	
<p>Does the article report existing science applied to a local situation?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>If yes, how is this research significant to furthering worldwide knowledge on this topic</p>	<p>The results of this investigation could be considered as guidelines for choosing the most suitable scheme allowing the maximum reduction of energy needs in depuration processes of citrus wastewater. Nevertheless, more research is expected about treatment of agricultural wastewater of different origin (e.g. olive oil mill wastewater, winery effluents) also by tests in plants at full scale, in order to confirm the suitability of aerated lagoons subjected to fine bubble aeration for agricultural wastewater. If so, the adoption of extensive technologies, as lagooning, seems to be a valid alternative to the most common activated sludge plants, since COD and EO are removed from agricultural wastewater more efficiently and with lower energy costs.</p>
<p>Is the article of local, national or international value? (Choose one.)</p>	<p>International</p>
<p>Please provide the name, affiliation and address, and e-mail address of <b>three</b> potential reviewers who do not pose a conflict of interest. Note that this information will be checked to ensure it is credible.</p>	<p>Prof. Giuseppe Luigi Cirelli, University of Catania, Dept. GESA, Catania, Italy, giuseppe.cirelli@unict.it</p> <p>Dr. Luciano Mateos, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cientificas, Instituto de Agricultura Sostenible, Cordoba, Spain - ag1mainl@uco.es</p> <p>Prof. Salvatore Barbagallo, University of Catania, Dept. GESA, Catania, Italy, salvo.barbagallo@unict.it</p>

[Click here to view linked References](#)

1 **COMPARING DIFFERENT SCHEMES OF AGRICULTURAL WASTEWATER**  
2 **LAGOONING: DEPURATION PERFORMANCE AND MICROBIOLOGICAL**  
3 **CHARACTERISTICS**

4  
5 Demetrio Antonio Zema<sup>(\*)</sup>, Serafina Andiloro, Giuseppe Bombino, Andrea Caridi, Rossana  
6 Sidari, Vincenzo Tamburino

7  
8 *Mediterranea University of Reggio Calabria, Department AGRARIA, loc. Feo di Vito, I-*  
9 *89122 Reggio Calabria, Italy.*

10  
11 *(\*) corresponding author; dzema@unirc.it.*

12  
13 **Abstract**

14  
15 *Since the number of factors influencing depuration efficiency and energy requirement is high,*  
16 *the choice of the most suitable aeration scheme in aerated lagooning systems treating*  
17 *agricultural wastewater is a difficult task. This study provides technicians and researchers*  
18 *with guidelines on air diffuser position, presence of inoculum and characteristics of microbial*  
19 *mass, focusing organic matter degradation and energy performance of aerated ponds treating*  
20 *citrus processing wastewater. Four experimental batch tanks were set up: three tanks were*  
21 *subject to low air flow rates and times, while a fourth tank was not aerated. The organic*  
22 *matter degradation in aerated tanks was on average six times higher compared to the non-*  
23 *aerated tank. Positioning the air diffuser at mid-depth and consequent separation of tank*  
24 *contents into two layers determined a decrease in the depuration performance, presumably*

25 *due to reduced transfer of oxygen to wastewater. Although the ability of spontaneous*  
26 *microflora to adapt to essential oil concentrations up to 1400 mL L<sup>-1</sup> was detected, the effect*  
27 *of inoculum (50% of wastewater with spontaneous microflora already adapted to high*  
28 *essential oil concentration) was not noticeable. The results of the investigation confirm the*  
29 *suitability of aerated lagoons subjected to fine bubble aeration also for agricultural*  
30 *wastewater depuration.*

31

32 **Keywords:** Wastewater treatment; aerated lagooning; agro-industrial wastewater; energy  
33 efficiency; essential oils; microbial population.

34

35

## 36 **1. Introduction**

37

38 In treating agricultural wastewater, the depuration performance of activated sludge plants is  
39 negatively conditioned by characteristics of the effluents, such as qualitative and quantitative  
40 variability, high acidity, lack of nutrients, presence of inhibiting compounds, high energy  
41 consumptions (Zema et al. 2012); moreover, the economic sustainability of these plants is  
42 limited by the high energy requirement. Aerated lagooning systems have been proposed as a  
43 suitable alternative to activated sludge plants for treatment of urban wastewater (e.g. Juanicó  
44 & Milstein 2004; Salgot et al. 2006). The use of lagooning is suitable also for wastewater of  
45 different origin (e.g. agricultural, industrial and livestock wastewater, **Kimball 1999; Trias et**  
46 **al. 2004; Chan et al. 2009**). **However**, little work has been done about lagooning of agro-  
47 industrial effluents (Tamburino et al. 2007; Jail et al. 2010) and, in particular, wastewater  
48 **produced by citrus industries.**

49 In aerated ponds, wastewater is usually stored in wide and deep ponds, with long retention

50 time and pneumatic or mechanical aeration. Thanks to these characteristics, the  
51 microorganisms (especially bacteria) are able to adapt to the high variability of wastewater  
52 physico-chemical properties. In fact, the high volumes of wastewater, previously depurated in  
53 the pond, dilute influent wastewater, lowering the concentration of organic matter and  
54 nutrients, and increasing pH. The long retention time allows slow and gradual bio-chemical  
55 processes, whose oxidation efficiency is further enhanced by aeration. Aerated ponds do not  
56 require the constant settleability of large sludge flocs, which is instead reduced by the  
57 presence of inhibiting compounds (as essential oils in citrus wastewater) in activated sludge  
58 plants.

59 The choice of the most suitable depuration scheme is a difficult task, because many factors  
60 must be considered in order to optimise the removal efficiency and energy requirement. Some  
61 of these factors are: concentration of inhibiting compounds, air flow rate, aeration time,  
62 aerator position, presence of **inoculum, a microbial mass already adapted to wastewater**, and  
63 characteristics of microbial mass. A previous study (Zema et al. 2012) investigated the first  
64 three of the above mentioned factors, monitoring depuration processes of citrus wastewater  
65 with high concentration of essential oil (EO) in aerated lagoons. The results highlighted the  
66 possibility of increasing efficiency by limiting aeration to the 12 night hours, with a cost  
67 saving of 20%, or by halving the night air flow rate to  $7 \text{ L h}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-3}$ , with a further reduction of  
68 40% of energy costs. Depuration processes in aerated lagoons showed tolerance to a  
69 concentration of EO up to 1400 ppm, presumably due to microbial adaptation to the terpenes  
70 contained in the EO.

71 On the basis of the previous outcomes, the other factors playing an important influence on  
72 depuration efficiency and energy requirement of aerated lagoons are analysed here, **also in**  
73 **comparison with the performance of activated sludge plants**. In more detail, this study

74 compares the main physico-chemical parameters, the removal rates of the organic load and  
75 the microbial population characteristics of citrus wastewater treated in the following  
76 lagooning schemes: (i) a tank subjected to fine bubble aeration from an air diffuser placed  
77 above its bottom; (ii) a tank aerated at the bottom and containing a microflora already adapted  
78 to high EO concentrations; (iii) a tank with mid-depth aeration, in which anaerobic and  
79 aerobic processes were specialised by separating wastewater layers; (iv) an anaerobic tank  
80 without aeration. The study provides guidelines for technicians and researchers in  
81 management of aerated lagooning systems treating agricultural wastewater.

82

83

## 84 **2. Material and methods**

85

86 Citrus processing wastewater (water from washing fruits, machinery, floors as well as from  
87 the extraction of juice and essential oils, peel drying and cooling, Kimball 1999) was treated  
88 from December to June in four cylindrical batch tanks (volume of 1 m<sup>3</sup>): three tanks were  
89 subject to fine bubble aeration through a diffuser fed by a blower (Ferplast Airfzz) and  
90 another one was not aerated. During the seven months of treatment the temperature of the  
91 wastewater ranged from 7.4 °C (February) to 29.5 °C (June).

92 Fresh wastewater was collected in a citrus processing factory and poured into the tanks at the  
93 start point of the cycle treatment. The following experimental schemes were adopted and  
94 tested:

- 95 1) a tank, indicated as “T<sub>0</sub>”, where the air diffuser (flow rate 7 L h<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>) was placed 0.05 m  
96 above the bottom (0.85 m below the wastewater surface) (Fig. 1);
- 97 2) a tank (“T<sub>IN</sub>”), similar to “T<sub>0</sub>”, where 50% of fresh citrus industry effluent was initially  
98 mixed with citrus wastewater coming from a previous treatment cycle (in aerated ponds

99 simulating the upper aerobic layer of aerated lagoons treating citrus wastewater, Zema et  
100 al. 2012), containing a spontaneous microflora already adapted to EO concentration  
101 within the range of 600-1000 mL L<sup>-1</sup> (600-1000 ppm, Fig. 1);

102 3) a tank (“T<sub>1/2</sub>”) where the diffuser was placed at mid-depth, in order to separate two layers,  
103 the upper aerobic, the lower anaerobic; the lower layer was partially separated from the  
104 upper one by a wooden disk, thus limiting wastewater mixing due to air bubble lift with  
105 the aim of adapting microflora (Fig. 1); this tank simulates the integrated anaerobic-  
106 aerobic bioreactor proposed by Chan et al. (2009);

107 4) a tank (“T<sub>NA</sub>”) without aeration (Fig. 1).

108

109 In the aerated tanks T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>IN</sub>, and T<sub>1/2</sub> the air flow rates (7 L h<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>) and times (12 night hours:  
110 7 pm – 7 am) were chosen on the basis of a previous study (Zema et al. 2012), which  
111 highlighted the possibility of increasing energy costs by limiting the aeration to the 12 night  
112 hours (since in Italy energy is cheaper at night) and reducing the night air flow rate to 7 L h<sup>-1</sup>  
113 m<sup>-3</sup>. The power per unit volume (0.6 W m<sup>-3</sup>) of the experimental tanks was set according to  
114 the lowest values adopted in aerated aerobic-anaerobic ponds at full scale (Tamburino et al.  
115 2007; Bombino et al. 2009). Daily air flow rate was equal to 84 L m<sup>-3</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. In 210 days, a total  
116 supply of 6.3 kg of oxygen was measured and 7.7 kWh of electrical energy was estimated to  
117 have been consumed.

118 The initial COD concentration of wastewater was close to 6600 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and the ratio COD:N:P  
119 to 600:5:1. During the experiment, COD was kept within the range of 3.5-7.7 (tank T<sub>NA</sub>), 3.0-  
120 8.5 (T<sub>0</sub>), 5.4-11.4 (T<sub>1/2</sub>), 5.7-11.8 (T<sub>IN</sub>) g L<sup>-1</sup>, typical of citrus wastewater (Kimball 1999;  
121 Tamburino et al. 2007), restoring the organic matter consumed by microorganisms. To this  
122 purpose, saccharose was added in late January and early April for a total amount of 3150 (tank

123  $T_{NA}$ ), 16520 ( $T_0$ ), 10950 ( $T_{1/2}$ ), and 12870 ( $T_{IN}$ )  $\text{mg L}^{-1}$  of COD.

124 The initial EO concentration was close to  $610 \text{ mL L}^{-1}$  and constantly maintained within the  
125 range of  $500\text{-}625 \text{ mL L}^{-1}$  by periodical additions: a total amount of EO of  $2400 \text{ mL L}^{-1}$  per  
126 tank was added. EO concentration in this range slows down biological processes and thus  
127 reduces depuration efficiency of treatment plants (Kimball 1999; Zema et al. 2012).

128 COD and EO concentrations of wastewater were periodically evaluated using standard  
129 methods (Scott & Veldhuis 1966; APHA, AWWA & WEF 1998). Sample collection was  
130 performed every month by taking, after mixing,  $500 \text{ mL}$  of wastewater in duplicate. Timing of  
131 the sampling operations was similar to the values of the hydraulic retention time (longer than  
132 3-4 weeks) of lagooning systems, where wastewater is subject to slow and gradual changes in  
133 its physical and chemical properties.

134 Dissolved oxygen (DO), temperature, pH and oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) of  
135 wastewater ( $0.2$ ,  $0.45$  and  $0.8 \text{ m}$  below the wastewater surface, Fig. 1), were weekly measured  
136 using a digital multimeter (HACH Lange HQ40).

137 Since the efficiency of oxygen transfer to the wastewater depends on the oxygen deficit  
138 (OxDef), the latter was calculated as the difference between the saturation concentration at the  
139 measured temperature “ $T$ ” ( $\text{DO}_{\text{sat}}(T)$ ) and the actual DO ( $\text{DO}_{\text{act}}$ ) concentration ( $\text{OxDef} =$   
140  $\text{DO}_{\text{sat}}(T) - \text{DO}_{\text{act}}$ ). The ratio between the supplied energy ( $7.7 \text{ kWh}$ , equal for the tanks  
141  $T_0, T_{1/2}, T_{IN}$ ) and the COD removal rates was calculated to estimate the energy efficiency in  
142 the aerated tanks. The COD removal rate was quantified measuring monthly the COD  
143 degraded by the biological processes and the amount of saccharose added (converted to COD  
144 equivalent) in each tank.

145 To identify the microorganism class based on oxygen requirement in the wastewater  
146 differently treated, the total microbial count (TMC) was carried out at  $30$ ,  $60$  and  $210$  days

147 from the start of the treatment both in aerobic and in anaerobic conditions at 25°C for three  
148 days, either with or without the addition of 1400 mL L<sup>-1</sup> of EO to Plate Count Agar (BioKar  
149 Diagnostics, Beauvais, France). Different types of colonies were selected from the plates and  
150 were observed by optical microscope (Zeiss Standard 20).

151

152

### 153 **3. Results and discussions**

154

#### 155 *3.1. Evolution of the main physical-chemical properties of wastewater*

156

157 The evolution of pH, averaged on the tank vertical profile, showed small differences among  
158 the investigated tanks (T<sub>NA</sub>, T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1/2</sub> and T<sub>IN</sub>). The initial acidity of wastewater (pH = 5.1-5.5)  
159 was due to the organic acid components of citrus fruits and fermentation of sugars and other  
160 carbohydrates, which produce organic acids under oxygen shortage. **In activated sludge  
161 plants, where pH must be in the range 6.0-8.5 with very gradual temporal variations, the  
162 acidity of wastewater could have negative effects on biological processes (Masotti 2002) with  
163 slowdown of block of depuration capacity.**

164 During the investigation the pH increased up to values close to 6.5 in the aerated tanks, due to  
165 degradation of organic acids in the oxidation processes; the highest increase of pH was  
166 detected in tank T<sub>1/2</sub>. A lower increase was recorded in tank T<sub>NA</sub> (from 5.5 to 5.7), due to less  
167 intense oxidation processes in the absence of aeration. When the organic matter was added in  
168 late January and early April, a pH reduction (-0.9) was noted, presumably due to the  
169 fermentation of sugars. **Nor compounds (as, for instance, lime) were added to limit the  
170 negative effects of high acidity of wastewater, as usually operated in activated sludge plants**

171 (Tamburino et al. 2007), neither nutrients. Nutrient scarcity of citrus wastewater produces  
172 serious troubles in depuration processes and especially in activated sludge plants (due to the  
173 difficult sedimentation of sludge). In such kind of depuration plants, a COD/N/P ratio of about  
174 200/5/1 is normally suggested (Masotti, 2002), which can be obtained by adding nutrients.  
175 Nitrogen and phosphorous supply should be made with care to avoid to exceed the discharge  
176 limits of acceptance in water bodies. Vice versa, in biological ponds (anaerobic and aerated  
177 lagoons) a ratio of 400/5/1 can also be tolerated (Masotti, 2002). In the lagooning systems  
178 nutrient supply can be very limited (or even null, as in our experience) thanks to the nitrogen  
179 and phosphorous recycling from sludge digestion.

180 EO content was quickly removed in a few weeks. The tolerance of biological ponds to high  
181 EO concentrations (whose antimicrobial activity is well known, particularly in activated  
182 sludge plants, Lane 1983; Ratcliff 1990; Kimball 1999) may be presumably attributed to  
183 microbial adaptation to terpenes contained in EO and to the low airflow rate (Zema et al.  
184 2012).

185 The values of ORP in the aerated tanks, averaged on the vertical profile, decreased from  
186 initial values of 93-103 mV to 21-82 mV. In wastewater treated in tank T<sub>NA</sub> the ORP fell in a  
187 narrower range (75-85 mV), because of the slowness of the anaerobic biochemical processes  
188 and reduced variations of pH. As noted for pH, a decrease in ORP was detected after organic  
189 matter supply, presumably due to the oxygen demand of the degradation processes, followed  
190 by a quick increase after the organic degradation. Values of ORP were strongly correlated ( $r^2$   
191 = 0.93, Fig. 2) to pH and weakly ( $r^2 = 0.11$ ) to DO concentration.

192 Values of DO (Table 1), averaged on the vertical profile of the tanks, were within the range of  
193 0.01-0.8 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. These values are much lower than the typical DO concentrations needed by  
194 activated sludge plants (more than 2 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, Masotti 2002; Metcalf & Eddy 2006), in order to

195 assure the presence of settleable sludge flocs. This means that in aerated lagooning systems  
196 the electrical energy can be supplied with lower power equipment ( $1-2 \text{ W m}^{-3}$ , Zema et al.  
197 2012), thus with higher money savings compared to activated sludge plants (in which electric  
198 power of about  $10 \text{ W m}^{-3}$  is usual, Masotti et al. 2002).

199 In all the experimental tanks DO decreased from surface ( $0.7-0.8 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ) to the bottom,  
200 where the lowest values were recorded ( $0.01-0.02 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ). The lowest mean DO  
201 concentration was measured in tank  $T_{NA}$  ( $0.4 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ). Tanks  $T_0$  and  $T_{1/2}$  showed DO  
202 concentrations about 10% higher than  $0.5 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ . In all tanks the mean DO deficit was close  
203 to  $9.4 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ , thus 95-98% of the saturation values, which confirms that DO saturation deficit  
204 is not a limiting factor of oxygen transfer to wastewater. This very high DO deficit, which  
205 induces a very high efficiency of oxygen transfer to the wastewater, is over 20% more than  
206 standard activated sludge plants, in which an oxygen deficit around 80% is usually recorded.  
207 Moreover, the low aeration supplied to wastewater has avoided unpleasant smells, typical of  
208 anaerobic processes (often beyond the tolerable limits). Sludge accumulation in the deep tank  
209 layer (that is, under the air diffuser) was in a small amount, confirming what found in the  
210 previous experimental tests (Zema et al., 2012) and reported also by Juanicò and Milstein  
211 (2004).

212

213

### 214 3.2. *Organic matter degradation and energy efficiency*

215

216 The organic load of citrus processing wastewater is very high (COD in the range  $0.05-170 \text{ g}$   
217  $\text{L}^{-1}$ , Di Giacomo & Calvarano 1987) and variable in time. This parameter is one order of  
218 magnitude higher than urban wastewater treated in activated sludge plants (e.g. Masotti 2002;

219 Tchobanoglous et al. 2002).

220 In our experience, on average, the COD monthly removal rate in the aerated tanks ( $2.17 \text{ g L}^{-1}$   
221  $\text{month}^{-1}$ ) was six times higher (statistically significant at  $P < 0.05$ ) compared to tank  $T_{NA}$  ( $0.36$   
222  $\text{g L}^{-1} \text{ month}^{-1}$ ). The highest COD monthly removal rate ( $2.64 \text{ g L}^{-1} \text{ month}^{-1}$ ) was measured in  
223 tank  $T_0$  (Fig. 3). The effect of inoculum (tank  $T_{IN}$ ) was not noticeable, since COD removal  
224 rate ( $2.29 \text{ g L}^{-1} \text{ month}^{-1}$ ) was 13% lower than in tank  $T_0$  (not significant at  $P < 0.05$ ).

225 The COD monthly removal rate detected in tank  $T_{1/2}$  ( $1.58 \text{ g L}^{-1} \text{ month}^{-1}$ ) was 40% lower than  
226 in tank  $T_0$  and the difference between these values was significant at  $P < 0.05$  (Fig. 3). This  
227 may be due to the fact that the lower organic load removal rate of the strictly anaerobic  
228 processes in the deep wastewater layer (where DO concentration was close to zero) was not  
229 balanced by an increase in the upper layer (where the oxygen supply rate per unit volume of  
230 wastewater is two times the value of tank  $T_0$ ). Since the DO saturation deficit was similar  
231 (with mean differences lower than 1%), we deduced that part of the oxygen supplied in the  
232 upper layer was not transferred to wastewater, presumably to the halved water depth  
233 (compared to tank  $T_0$ ) that reduces noticeably the contact time among air bubbles and  
234 wastewater. This confirms the influence of diffuser submergence on oxygen transfer  
235 efficiency, as reported in other studies (e.g. Hodkinson et al. 1998) and suggests to maximise  
236 the depth of the aerated layer.

237 In Fig. 4 the correlations between COD removal rates and temperature of tank  $T_{NA}$  are  
238 compared to the literature model by Mara & Pearson (1998), analysing the influence of  
239 temperature to the rate of organic load degradation per volume and time units. This  
240 comparison showed that the organic load removal rate in the experimental tank, well  
241 correlated to the temperature ( $r^2 > 0.88$ ), is much lower especially at temperatures of 20-30 °C  
242 ( $0.4\text{-}0.6 \text{ g L}^{-1} \text{ month}^{-1}$  versus  $11.2\text{-}14.7 \text{ g L}^{-1} \text{ month}^{-1}$ , Fig. 4). Moreover, in the tank  $T_{NA}$  the

243 increase of COD removal rate with temperature (i.e. the slope of the regression line,  $0.015 \text{ g}$   
244  $\text{L}^{-1} \text{ month}^{-1} \text{ }^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ ) is much lower compared to the reported model ( $0.78\text{-}0.84 \text{ g L}^{-1} \text{ month}^{-1} \text{ }^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ ).  
245 The reasons of the reduced anaerobic activity could be identified in the presence of  
246 inhibiting compounds (as EO), nutrient scarcity and acidity of wastewater, which slowdown  
247 biological processes if falling out of the range 6-8 (Burak & Orhan 2002; Ruiz & Flotats  
248 2014).

249 In relation to the other tanks (i.e.  $T_0$ ,  $T_{1/2}$  and  $T_{IN}$ ), given that the simultaneous presence of  
250 both aerobic and anaerobic processes in the aerated tanks (due to the limited air flow rates and  
251 times), we hypothesized that the COD removal rate of the depuration process is the sum of  
252 two components, of which one is aerobic and the other is anaerobic (this latter equal to the  
253 COD removal rate measured in tank  $T_{NA}$  at the same temperature). The residual COD removal  
254 rate due to the aerobic process showed a low correlation ( $r^2 < 0.28$ ) with the temperature.  
255 These results are consistent with those of other experiences, which highlighted the rather  
256 limited influence of temperature in aerobic ponds (e.g. Tchobanoglous et al. 2002).

257 Weak correlations ( $r^2 < 0.21$ ) were also noted between COD removal rate and DO (data not  
258 shown), which may be due to the low variability of DO concentrations. In the experimental  
259 tanks the air flow rates are limited and, as a consequence, the supplied oxygen is quickly  
260 utilised in the aerobic degradation of the organic matter, thus constantly keeping low  
261 concentrations of DO. This confirms the high efficiency of oxygen exploitation shown by the  
262 lagooning systems compared to activated sludge plants, in which conversely a significant part  
263 of the air supplied is not consumed by microorganisms.

264 On the basis of the COD removal rates detected in the aerated tanks, the lowest oxygen and  
265 energy requirement per unit of COD removed was detected in tank  $T_0$  ( $0.59 \text{ kgO}_2 \text{ kgCOD}^{-1}$  and  
266  $0.16 \text{ kWh kgCOD}^{-1}$ ). These values were respectively 20% and 50% lower compared to tanks

267  $T_{IN}$  ( $0.72 \text{ kgO}_2 \text{ kgCOD}^{-1}$  and  $0.2 \text{ kWh kgCOD}^{-1}$ ) and  $T_{1/2}$  ( $1.14 \text{ kgO}_2 \text{ kgCOD}^{-1}$  and  $0.32 \text{ kWh}$   
268  $\text{kgCOD}^{-1}$ ) (Fig. 3). The energy requirements detected in the experimental aerated tanks are quite  
269 low compared to the typical values of activated sludge plants. These plants show specific  
270 energy consumption of about  $0.5 \text{ kWh kg}^{-1}_{\text{COD}}$  when treating urban wastewater (Masotti 2002;  
271 Tchobanoglous et al. 2002). This energy efficiency is very difficult to achieve in the case of  
272 agricultural wastewater, due to the fact that little organic matter is settleable. Therefore,  
273 depuration processes of citrus wastewater in activated sludge plants show energy requirement  
274 which can reach  $2.0 \text{ kWh kg}^{-1}_{\text{COD}}$  (Cheng et al. 2011).

275

### 276 3.3. Analysis of microbial population characteristics

277

278 In a previous study, Zema et al. (2012) studied the microflora of citrus wastewater. It was  
279 mainly composed of immobile bacteria; the cells were spherical in shape, single and also  
280 arranged either in tetrads or in chains. These bacteria were mainly aerobic with nearly 81%  
281 resistant to EO. In this study, the above described pool of bacteria spontaneously evolved  
282 were used as starting point of the process.

283 Table 2 reports the evolution of the microflora during the treatment. Both in aerobic and  
284 anaerobic test conditions, the microbial population showed different trend of growth in the  
285 four tanks. Concerning the aerobic microflora (Table 2a), the count observed in  $T_{NA}$  can be  
286 explained considering the growth of facultative aerobic microorganisms; similarly for the  
287 anaerobic microflora (Table 2b) the count observed in  $T_0$  e  $T_{IN}$  can be explained considering  
288 the growth of facultative anaerobic microorganisms. Both in aerobic and anaerobic test  
289 conditions, the presence of EO induced a decrease in microbial count probably due to the EO  
290 concentration used. The effect of inoculum (50% of wastewater with spontaneous microflora

291 already adapted to high EO concentration) in the tank T<sub>IN</sub> was not significant.

292 At the end of the cycle treatment (210 days), the wastewater showed a different microbial  
293 composition in each tank. In tank T<sub>NA</sub> the aerobic microflora was prevalently filamentous rod  
294 and spherical-rod shaped, immobile bacteria, often arranged in chains; the strictly anaerobic  
295 microflora was spherical-rod shaped, mobile or immobile bacteria. The prevalent aerobic  
296 microflora naturally adapted to 1400 mL L<sup>-1</sup> of EO was rod-shaped, immobile bacteria, often  
297 arranged in chains; the strictly anaerobic microflora naturally adapted to 1400 mL L<sup>-1</sup> of EO  
298 was single rod-shaped immobile bacteria. In tank T<sub>0</sub> the aerobic microflora was mainly  
299 composed by short rod-shaped immobile bacteria, often arranged in chains; the strictly  
300 anaerobic microflora was spherical-rod shaped, mobile bacteria, also arranged in chains. The  
301 prevalent aerobic microflora naturally adapted to 1400 mL L<sup>-1</sup> of EO was spherical-rod  
302 shaped, mobile bacteria; the strictly anaerobic microflora naturally adapted to 1400 mL L<sup>-1</sup> of  
303 EO was rod or spherical-shaped, immobile bacteria. In tank T<sub>1/2</sub> the aerobic microflora was  
304 prevalently rod-shaped, mobile bacteria; the strictly anaerobic microflora was large rod-  
305 shaped, immobile bacteria. The prevalent aerobic microflora naturally adapted to 1400 mL L<sup>-1</sup>  
306 of EO was rod-shaped immobile bacteria; the strictly anaerobic microflora naturally adapted  
307 to 1400 mL L<sup>-1</sup> of EO was rod-shaped, immobile bacteria. In tank T<sub>IN</sub> the aerobic microflora  
308 was prevalently filamentous rod-shaped, immobile bacteria; the strictly anaerobic microflora  
309 was spherical-rod shaped, immobile bacteria. The prevalent aerobic microflora naturally  
310 adapted to 1400 mL L<sup>-1</sup> of EO was spherical-rod shaped, mobile bacteria; the strictly  
311 anaerobic microflora naturally adapted to 1400 mL L<sup>-1</sup> of EO was thin filamentous rod-  
312 shaped, immobile bacteria, also arranged in chains.

313 In general, the evolution of the spontaneous microflora was somewhat in accordance with the  
314 aeration scheme of the tanks: (a) the aerobic microflora was stable in tank T<sub>NA</sub>, decreased

315 both in tanks  $T_{1/2}$  and  $T_{IN}$ , while increased in tank  $T_0$ ; (b) the anaerobic microflora was stable  
316 in tank  $T_{NA}$ , while decreased, as expected, in the other three tanks. Depending on the oxygen  
317 availability in the tanks, part of the microbial population (aerobic and anaerobic in tank  $T_{NA}$ ,  
318 aerobic in  $T_0$  and  $T_{1/2}$  and anaerobic in  $T_{IN}$ ) showed a greater ability to adapt to high EO  
319 concentration ( $1400 \text{ mL L}^{-1}$ ), whose explanation would require further studies. Essential oils  
320 affect, at the same time, different functions of the microbial cell, thus the microbial mass finds  
321 difficulty in surviving in an environment rich in EO (Ruiz & Flotats 2014). Although the  
322 exposure of the microbial population, sampled from each tank, to high EO concentration  
323 ( $1400 \text{ mL L}^{-1}$ ) resulted in a decrease in microbial load, our results confirm the bacteria ability  
324 to adaptation, modifying their cell structure (Ruiz & Flotats 2014). This ability is in  
325 accordance to Bakkali et al. (2008).

326

327

#### 328 **4. Summary and conclusions**

329

330 This paper investigated organic matter degradation and energy performance of aerated ponds  
331 treating citrus processing wastewater during a period of seven months.

332 The highest COD monthly removal rate was measured in the tank with air diffuser just above  
333 the bottom. The depuration efficiency in the aerated tanks was on average six times higher  
334 compared to the non-aerated tank; this latter showed COD removal rates much lower  
335 compared to the values reported in literature for the treatment of urban wastewater.  
336 Positioning the air diffuser at tank mid-depth determined a decrease of the COD removal rate,  
337 presumably due to a reduced oxygen absorption by the wastewater.

338 The spontaneous microflora differently evolved in the tanks and their variability is in  
339 accordance with the aeration scheme of the tanks. In general, the microflora exposed in the  
340 tanks to EO concentration within the range of 500-650 mL L<sup>-1</sup> showed the ability to adapt up  
341 to 1400 mL L<sup>-1</sup>. From the experimental tests it emerges that the effect of *inoculum* (that is,  
342 50% of fresh citrus industry effluent with citrus wastewater already depurated as far as much  
343 lower organic matter and EO concentrations) was not noticeable.

344 **Considering that in depuration plant design, both effluent characteristics and bacterial cultures**  
345 **should be taken into account (Moura et al. 2009),** the results of this investigation could be  
346 considered as guidelines for choosing the most suitable scheme allowing the maximum  
347 reduction of energy needs in depuration processes of citrus wastewater. Nevertheless, more  
348 research is expected about treatment of agricultural wastewater of different origin (e.g. olive  
349 oil mill wastewater, winery effluents) also by tests in plants at full scale, in order to confirm  
350 the suitability of aerated lagoons subjected to fine bubble aeration for agricultural wastewater.  
351 If so, the adoption of extensive technologies, as lagooning, seems to be a valid alternative to  
352 the most common activated sludge plants, since COD and EO are removed from agricultural  
353 wastewater more efficiently and with lower energy costs.

354

355

### 356 **Acknowledgements**

357

358 This work was supported by PRIN 2008 “Depuration and Reuse of Citrus Processing  
359 Wastewater” (Scientific responsible Vincenzo Tamburino).

360

361 **References**

362

363 Al-Sàed, R. (2007). Sustainability of natural and mechanized aerated ponds for domestic and  
364 municipal wastewater treatment in Palestine. *Water International*, 32, 310–324.

365 APHA, AWWA & WEF (1998). APHA, AWWA and WEF, Standard methods for the  
366 examination of water and wastewater (20<sup>th</sup> ed.). APHA, Washington, DC, USA.

367 Bakkali, F., Averbeck, S., Averbeck, D., & Idaomar, M. (2008). Biological effects of essential  
368 oils - a review. *Food Chemistry and Toxicology*, 46, 446-475.

369 Bombino, G., Tamburino, V., Zema, D.A., & Zimbone, S.M. (2009). Depuration of citrus  
370 processing wastewater in aerated biological ponds. In Proceedings of the Congress of the Italian  
371 Association of Agricultural Engineers. Ischia (Italy), 12-16 September.

372 Burak, D., & Orhan, Y. (2002). Two-phase anaerobic digestion processes: a review. *Journal of*  
373 *Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 77(7), 743-755.

374 Chan, Y.J., Chong, M.F., Law, C.L., & Hassell, D.G. (2009). A review on anaerobic–aerobic  
375 treatment of industrial and municipal wastewater. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 155, 1–18.

376 Cheng, K.Y., Cord-Ruwisch, R., & Ho, G. (2011). Rotatable bio-electrochemical contactor for  
377 energy efficient treatment of organic wastestreams. In Proceedings of the international  
378 conference on solid waste 2011 “Moving towards sustainable resource management”, Hong  
379 Kong SAR, P.R. China, 2 – 6 May.

380 Di Giacomo, A., & Calvarano, I. (1987). Il problema degli effluenti dell’industria agrumaria e  
381 le prospettive di utilizzazione degli scarti di lavorazione. Proceedings of the Congress  
382 “Ambiente e risorse alternative nell’industria agro-alimentare”, Università della Calabria,  
383 Italy, May 16 (in Italian).

384 Hodkinson, B.J., Williams, J.B., & Ha, T.N. (1998). Effects of plastic support media on the

385 diffusion of air in a submerged aerated filter. *Water and Environment Journal*, 12, 188–190.

386 Jail, A., Boukhoubza, F., Nejmeddine, A., Duarte, J.C., Sayadi, S., & Hassani, L. (2010).  
387 Traitement des effluents d'huileries par un procédé combinant un traitement intensif (Jet\_Loop  
388 Reactor) suivi d'un traitement extensif (bassins de stabilisation). *Environmental Technology*, 31,  
389 533-543.

390 Juanicó, M., & Milstein, A. (2004). Semi-intensive treatment plants for wastewater reuse in  
391 irrigation. *Water Science and Technology*, 50(2), 55–60.

392 Kimball, D.A. (1999). *Citrus processing*, 2nd Edition. Aspen Publishers, Inc. Gaithersburg, MD,  
393 USA.

394 Lane, A.G. (1983). Removal of peel oil from citrus peel press liquors before anaerobic  
395 digestion. *Environmental Technology Letters*, 4, 65-72.

396 Mara, D.D. & Pearson, H.W. (1998). Design manual for waste stabilization pond in  
397 Mediterranean countries. Lagoon Technology International, Leeds (United Kingdom).

398 Masotti L. 2002. *Depurazione delle acque*. Ed. Il Sole 24 ore Edagricole, Bologna.

399 Moura, A., Tacao, M., Henriques, I., Dias, J., Ferreira, P., & Correia, A. Characterization of  
400 bacterial diversity in two aerated lagoons of a wastewater treatment plant using PCR–DGGE  
401 analysis. *Microbiological Research* 164, 560-569.

402 Ratcliff, M.W. (1990). *Citrus processing waste prevention, handling and treatment*. Citrus  
403 world, Lake Wales, Florida, USA.

404 Ruiz, B., & Flotats, X. (2014). Citrus essential oils and their influence on the anaerobic  
405 digestion process: An overview. *Waste Management*, 34, 2063-2079.

406 Salgot, M., Huertas, E., Weber, S., Dott, W., & Hollender, J. (2006). Wastewater reuse and risk:  
407 definition of key objectives. *Desalination* 187, 29–40.

408 Scott, W.C., & Veldhuis, M.K. (1966) Rapid estimation of recoverable oil in citrus juices by

409 bromate titration. *Journal of AOAC International*, 49, 628–633.

410 Tamburino, V., Zema, D.A., & Zimbone, S.M. (2007). Depuration processes of citrus  
411 wastewater. In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium of CIGR Section VI “Food and  
412 agricultural products: processing and innovations”*. Naples (Italy), 24-26 September.

413 Tchobanoglous, G., Burton, F.L., Burton, F., & Stensel, H.D. (2002). *Wastewater engineering.  
414 Treatment and reuse*. Inc Metcalf & Eddy, McGraw-Hill Company, New York.

415 Travieso, L., Sánchez, E., Borja, R., Benítez, F., León, F., & Colmenarejo, M.F. (2004).  
416 Evaluation of a laboratory and full-scale microalgae pond for tertiary treatment of piggery  
417 wastes, *Environmental Technology*, 25, 565–576.

418 Trias, M., Hu, Z., Mortula, M.M., Gordon, R.J., & Gagnon, G.A. (2004). Impact of seasonal  
419 variation on treatment of swine wastewater. *Environmental Technology*, 25, 775-781.

420 Van Dyke, S., Jones, S., & Ong, S.K. (2003). Cold weather nitrogen removal deficiencies of  
421 aerated lagoons. *Environmental Technology*, 24, 767-777.

422 Zema, D.A., Andiloro, S., Bombino, G., Tamburino, V., Sidari, R., & Caridi, A. (2012).  
423 Depuration in aerated ponds of citrus processing wastewater with a high concentration of  
424 essential oils. *Environmental Technology*, 33, 1255-1260.

425

426 **TABLES**

427

428 Table 1. Values of dissolved oxygen (DO) and its saturation deficit of citrus wastewater  
 429 depurated in tanks simulating aerated ponds.

430

	<b>DO (mg L<sup>-1</sup>)*</b>				<b>DO saturation deficit (mg L<sup>-1</sup>)*</b>			
	<i>T<sub>NA</sub></i>	<i>T<sub>0</sub></i>	<i>T<sub>1/2</sub></i>	<i>T<sub>IN</sub></i>	<i>T<sub>NA</sub></i>	<i>T<sub>0</sub></i>	<i>T<sub>1/2</sub></i>	<i>T<sub>IN</sub></i>
<i>Minimum</i>	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	7.88	7.85	7.84	7.91
<i>Maximum</i>	0.74	0.75	0.79	0.73	10.79	10.78	10.80	10.79
<i>Mean</i>	0.39	0.46	0.44	0.41	9.42	9.34	9.37	9.40
<i>Standard deviation</i>	0.22	0.21	0.23	0.24	1.17	1.15	1.17	1.16
<i>Coefficient of variation</i>	0.57	0.46	0.52	0.57	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.12

431 (\*) Averaged on three values measured over the vertical profile of the tank.

432

433 Table 2a, b. Evolution of the total microbial count (values measured in  $\text{mL}^{-1} \times 10^6$ ) of citrus

434 wastewater depurated in tanks simulating aerated ponds:

435 (a) aerobic microflora; (b) anaerobic microflora.

436

Time after treatment start (days)	Aerobic microflora				Aerobic microflora in the presence of $1400 \text{ mL L}^{-1}$ of EO			
	$T_{\text{NA}}$	$T_0$	$T_{1/2}$	$T_{\text{IN}}$	$T_{\text{NA}}$	$T_0$	$T_{1/2}$	$T_{\text{IN}}$
30	8.1	1.4	93.0	16.0	0.6	0.4	18.0	0.4
60	100.0	4.0	15.0	72.0	6.2	0.4	4.4	4.5
210	10.0	33.0	30.0	7.5	2.1	0.3	0.4	1.0

437

(a)

Time after treatment start (days)	Anaerobic microflora				Anaerobic microflora in the presence of $1400 \text{ mL L}^{-1}$ of EO			
	$T_{\text{NA}}$	$T_0$	$T_{1/2}$	$T_{\text{IN}}$	$T_{\text{NA}}$	$T_0$	$T_{1/2}$	$T_{\text{IN}}$
30	14.0	24.0	120.0	44.0	1.1	1.1	39.0	3.2
60	87.0	3.6	24.0	31.0	6.6	0.4	8.0	4.3
210	10.0	1.7	0.2	7.6	2.4	0.1	0.2	1.9

438

(b)

439

440 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

441

442 **Fig. 1** Scheme of the tanks (volume of 1 m<sup>3</sup>) simulating aerated ponds (measures in metres)

443

444 **Fig. 2** Correlation between pH and oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) in citrus wastewater  
445 depurated in tanks T<sub>NA</sub>, T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1/2</sub>, T<sub>IN</sub>

446

447 **Fig. 3** Means of COD removal rate as well as of oxygen and energy supply per unit of COD  
448 removed in tanks T<sub>NA</sub>, T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1/2</sub>, T<sub>IN</sub> (different letters indicate significant differences in the  
449 values, Tukey's test, p < 0.05)

450

451 **Fig. 4** Variation of COD removal rate with temperature in tank T<sub>NA</sub> in comparison to the  
452 model of Mara & Pearson (1998)







